



LGMSD 2022/23

Wakiso District

(Vote Code: 555)

Assessment	Scores
Crosscutting Minimum Conditions	100%
Education Minimum Conditions	100%
Health Minimum Conditions	100%
Water & Environment Minimum Conditions	90%
Micro-scale Irrigation Minimum Conditions	100%
Crosscutting Performance Measures	89%
Educational Performance Measures	73%
Health Performance Measures	69%
Water & Environment Performance Measures	89%
Micro-scale Irrigation Performance Measures	84%

**Crosscutting
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Service Delivery Outcomes of DDEG investments</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence that infrastructure projects implemented using DDEG funding are functional and utilized as per the purpose of the project(s): • If so: Score 4 or else 0 	<p>There was only one DDEG project of Construction of district recreation garden/park Chairman’s garden UGX100,238,000, page 120 quarter 4. The construction of district recreation garden/park was work in progress , the DDEG fund was fully utilised . The garden/park was functional.</p>	4

N23_Service Delivery Performance

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

The average score in the overall LLG performance assessment increased from previous assessment.

- By more than 5%, score 3
- 1 to 5% increase, score 2
- If no increase, score 0

NB: If the previous average score was 95% and above, Score 3 for any increase.

FY 2021/2022 1159/15 =77%

FY 2022/2023 1244/15 =83%

There was an increase overall of 6% as follows:

1. Wakiso town council 82%, increased to 89%;
2. Wakiso Sub county 82%, increased to 84%;
3. Mende Sub county 78%, increased to 91%;
4. Kakiri Tc 72%, increased to 85%;
5. Kakiro Sub county 70%, increased to 85%;
6. Namaynumba Town council 84%, decreased to 71%;
7. Namayumba Sub county 73%, increased to 83%;
8. Masulita Sub county 64%, increased to 75%;
9. Masulity TC 60%, increased to 74%
10. Kyengerera Town Council 89%, decreased to 83%;
11. Kasanjje Town Council 73% increased to 88%;
12. Busi Sub county 86%, decreased to 83%;
13. Katabi Town Council 80%, increased to 85%;
14. Kajjansi Town Council 88%, decreased to 84%; and
15. Kasangati Town Council 78%, increased to 84%.

N23_Service Delivery Performance

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

b. Evidence that the DDEG funded investment projects implemented in the previous FY were completed as per performance contract (with AWP) by end of the FY.

- If 100% the projects were completed : Score 3
- If 80-99%: Score 2
- If below 80%: 0

There was evidence that the DDEG funded investment projects implemented in the previous FY was completed as per performance contract (with AWP) by end of the FY. There was only one DDEG project of construction of district recreation garden/park (Chairman's garden) UGX100,238,000, page 120 quarter 4 all spent

3	Investment Performance Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	a. If the LG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2 or else score 0.	The LG budgeted for UGX 287,717,089 and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: 1. Construction of district recreation garden/park Chairman's garden UGX 238,326,000, page 120 quarter 2. Furnishing of Council Chambers UGX 39,000,000-page 45 Quarte 4 3. Electronic document management system UGX 61,815,0000-page 126 quarter 4 4. Capacity building UGX 28,887,876, page 35 Quarter 4 5. Monitoring and supervision UGX 57,7575,887, page 125 and 126	2
3	Investment Performance Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	b. If the variations in the contract price for sample of DDEG funded infrastructure investments for the previous FY are within +/-20% of the LG Engineers estimates, score 2 or else score 0	There was only one project under DDEG in the previous FY as follows: Project: Phased construction of the district gardens (Boundary wall phase I and II) Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00582 Contractor: Bravo Land and Construction Company Ltd Engineer's sum: UGX 95,738,462 Contract sum: UGX 100,000,000 Variation: 4.26% This lies within +/-20% of the LG Engineers estimates.	2

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

4	<p>Accuracy of reported information</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that information on the positions filled in LLGs as per minimum staffing standards is accurate, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that information on the positions filled in LLGs as per minimum staffing standards is accurate</p> <p>Wakiso SC: Has 18 staff as per the staff list dated 30.06.2023</p> <p>Masulita SC: Has 12 staff as per staff list dated 30.06.2023</p> <p>Masulita TC: Has 24 staff as per staff list dated 30.06.2023</p>	2
4	<p>Accuracy of reported information</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that infrastructure constructed using the DDEG is in place as per reports produced by the LG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100 % in place: Score 2, else score 0. <p>Note: if there are no reports produced to review: Score 0</p>	<p>As per the report dated 4/08/2023,, titled progress report for the second phase of the construction of the District Garden (Chairman’s Garden) , the DDEG fund was fully used as per the report in quarter 4 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of district recreation garden/park Chairman’s garden UGX100,238,000, page 120 quarter 4 all spent. 	2
5	<p>N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG conducted a credible assessment of LLGs as verified during the National Local Government Performance Assessment Exercise;</p> <p>If there is no difference in the assessment results of the LG and national assessment in all LLGs</p> <p>score 4 or else 0</p> <p>NB: The Source is the OPAMS Data Generated by OPM.</p>	<p>The result of the LLG assessment were as extracted from OPAMS and generated by OPM were as follows</p> <p>Masulita SC 93%, IVA 95 % variation +2%;</p> <p>Mende SC 91%, IVA 94% variation of +3%;</p> <p>Wakiso SC 88%-IVA 90% variation of +2%; and</p> <p>Masulita TC 78% IVA 80% variation of +2%.</p> <p>Variation was within 10%</p>	4

5	<p>N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. The District/ Municipality has developed performance improvement plans for at least 30% of the lowest performing LLGs for the current FY, based on the previous assessment results.</p>	<p>There was evidence that the district has developed performance improvement plans for at least 30% of the lowest performing LLGs for the current FY, based on the previous assessment results.</p>	2
		<p>Score: 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Wakiso DLG performance improvement Plan 2023/2024.</p>	
			<p>July 2023. Discussed in TPC on 25/8/2023, prepared by HR unit.</p>	
			<p>To support the lowest performing LLGs that is Mende SC 48%, Kakiri SC 49%, Masulita TC 47%, and Katabi TC 28%.</p>	
5	<p>N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>c. The District/ Municipality has implemented the PIP for the 30 % lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY:</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District has implemented the PIP for the 30 % lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY</p>	2
		<p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Wakiso DLG mentorship of Lower Government staff dated 2023</p>	
			<p>The LLGs supported included is Mende SC 48%, Kakiri SC 49%, Masulita TC 47%, and Katabi TC 28%.</p>	

Human Resource Management and Development

6	<p>Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG has consolidated and submitted the staffing requirements for the coming FY to the MoPS by September 30th of the current FY, with copy to the respective MDAs and MoFPED.</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG had consolidated and submitted the staffing requirements for the coming FY to the MoPS by September 30th, with copy to the respective MDAs and MoFPED.</p>	2
		<p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Submitted on 24/08/2023, Health department 37 positions Urban staff 23 positions</p>	

Performance management

Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure

a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has conducted a tracking and analysis of staff attendance (as guided by Ministry of Public Service CSI):

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence the LG had conducted a tracking and analysis of staff attendance (as guided by Ministry of Public Service CSI):

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of June dated 12th July 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of May 2023 dated 27th June 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of April 2023, dated 10th may 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of March 2023, dated 10th may 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of February 2023 dated 7th March 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of January 2023, Dated 7th March 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of December 2022, Dated 23rd January 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of November 2022, dated 17th January 2023

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of October 2022, dated 17th November 2022

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of September 2022, dated 10th October 2022

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of August 2022, dated 30th September 2022

Submission of attendance analysis for wakiso District staff for the month of July 2022, dated 14th September 2022

7	Performance management Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure	i. Evidence that the LG has conducted an appraisal with the following features: HODs have been appraised as per guidelines issued by MoPS during the previous FY: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG had appraised the HODs. Galabuzi Paddy (District Planner) appraised on 30/06/2023 Ssekandi Michael (CFO) appraised on 28/06/2023 Ssabaganzi Rebecca (DNRO) appraised on 27/06/2023 Fredrick Kiyingi Kinobe (DEO) appraised on 14/06/2023 Kyejjusa John (DCDO) appraised on 15/06/2023 Mabala Moses (DISTRICT COMMERCIAL OFFICER) appraised on 23/06/2023. Mwesigwa Samuel (DE) appraised 28/06/2023 Lubulwa Michael (DPO) appraised on 28/06/2023 Mukisa Emmauel (DHO) on interdiction All heads of departments appraised by Alfred Malinga (CAO)	1
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

7	Performance management Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure	ii. (in addition to "a" above) has also implemented administrative rewards and sanctions on time as provided for in the guidelines: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG had also implemented administrative rewards and sanctions on time as provided for in the guidelines Submission of Minutes and action papers for the rewards and sanctions committee meetings held on 22/9/21 and 23/9/2022. compiled by Namatovu stella. Approved by Byamukama Alfred Submission of minutes and action paper for rewards and sanctions committee meeting held 30/11/2022 dated 22/03/2023 signed by Byamukama Alfred Submission of minutes and action paper for rewards and sanctions committee meeting held on 1/12/2022 The LG holds a rewards function at end of year.	1
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Performance management

Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure

iii. Has established a Consultative Committee (CC) for staff grievance redress which is functional.

Score 1 or else 0

There was evidence that the LG had established a Consultative Committee (CC) for staff grievance redress which is functional

Minutes of Negotiating, Consultative and disputes settlement committee on 17/08/2022 signed by katamba Mathias (secretary) and Lutalo John (PACAO/ chairperson)

Minutes of Negotiating, Consultative and disputes settlement committee on 13/12/2022 signed by katamba Mathias (secretary) and Lutalo John (PACAO/ chairperson)

Minutes of Negotiating, Consultative and disputes settlement committee on 20/03/2023 signed by katamba Mathias (secretary) and Lutalo John (PACAO/ chairperson)

Minutes of Negotiating, Consultative and disputes settlement committee on 7/6/2023 signed by katamba Mathias (secretary) and Lutalo John (PACAO/ chairperson)

Payroll management

Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure or else score 0

a. Evidence that 100% of the staff recruited during the previous FY have accessed the salary payroll not later than two months after appointment:

Score 1.

There was evidence that 100% of the staff recruited during the previous FY have accessed the salary payroll not later than two months after appointment:

Nakitandwe Monica assumption of duty 2/2/2023, month accessed on the payroll 1/4/2023

Nalubowa Joanita, assumption of duty 2/2/2023, Month accessed on the payroll 1/3/2023

Nsamba Badru assumption of duty 4/6/2022, month accessed on the payroll 1/7/0222

Kato Kiggundu Ashiraf assumption of duty assumption of duty 6/2/2023, Month accessed on the payroll 1/4/2023

Magembe Joseph assumption of duty 13/2/2023, Month accessed on the payroll 1/4/2023

Kijjambu Joseph assumption of duty 3/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nansubuga Teopista assumption of duty 24/2/2023, accessed payroll 1/4/2023

Sserwadda Henry assumption of duty 6/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nayiga Noelina assumption of duty 1/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nayiga Maxensia assumption of duty 1/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Namusisi Victoria assumption of duty 1/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Tumukugize Violet assumption of duty 2/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Karachi Emmanuel assumption of duty 1/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nassali Maxensia assumption of duty 2/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nantaba Zuena assumption of duty 3/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

Nakakande Ruth assumption of duty 6/2/2023, accessed the payroll 1/4/2023

9

Pension Payroll management

Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure or else score 0

a. Evidence that 100% of staff that retired during the previous FY have accessed the pension payroll not later than two months after retirement:

Score 1.

There was evidence that 100% of staff that retired during the previous FY have accessed the pension payroll not later than two months after retirement:

Janet Wakibi Retired 25/12/2022 and accessed the pension payroll February

Bukyanagandi Miria retired 21/10/2022 and accessed the pension pay December

Nanyanzi Mary, retired 2/1/2023 and accessed march

Mujuni Julius, retired 30/6/2023 and accessed July

Kato Joseph retired 29/3/2023 and accessed April

Namusisi Grace retired 15/5/2023 and accessed July

Mudabangula Moses retired 30/5/2023 and accessed July

Mbeda Eseza retired 5/6/2023 and accessed July

Namugerwa Josephine retired 12/3/2023 and accessed April

Lwanga Yunia retired 19/08/2022 and accessed October

Mubezi Majorie retired 10/9/2022 and accessed October

1

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

10

N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery

Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure

a. If direct transfers (DDEG) to LLGs were executed in accordance with the requirements of the budget in previous FY:

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG transferred DDEG funds to the LLG in accordance to the requirements as follows:

The LG received UGX446,323,374 and transferred as follows:

Quarter 2 , transferred on 17/10/2022

1. Wakiso Town council UGX 33,586,348

2. Wakiso Sub county UGX 45,365,739

3. Mende Sub county UGX 9,948,627

4. Kakiri Town council UGX 16,937,901

5. Kakiri Sub county UGX 12,961,640

6. Namayumba Town council UGX 15,243,590

7. Namayumba Sub county UGX 8,029,963

8. Masulita Sub county UGX 6,267,635

2

9. Masulita Town Council UGX
15,022,593

10. Kyengera Town Council UGX
88,590,067

11. Kasanjje Town Council UGX
20,915,849

12. Busi Sub county UGX 7,475,683

13. Katabi Town Council UGX 52,076,
437

14. Kajjansi Town Council UGX
46,895,284

15. Kasangati Town Council UGX
67,006,018; and

Quarter 3: transferred amount UGX
892,646,747 on 20/01/2023

1. Wakiso Town council UGX 67,172,696;

2. Wakiso Sub county UGX 90,731,478;

3. Mende Sub county UGX 19,897,254;

4. Kakiri Town Council UGX 33,875,802;

5. Kakiri Sub county UGX25,923,280;

6. Namayumba Town council
UGX30,487,180;

7. Namayumba Sub county
UGX16,059,926;

8. Masulita Sub county UGX12,535,270;

9. Masulita Town Council UGX
30,045,186;

10. Kyengera Town Council
UGX177,180,133;

11. Kasanjje Town Council UGX
41,831,697;

12. Busi Sub county UGX14,951,365;

13. Katabi Town Council
UGX104,152,875;

14. Kajjansi Town Council
UGX93,790,567; and

15. Kasangati Town Council
UGX134,012,036.

10	N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery	b. If the LG did timely warranting/ verification of direct DDEG transfers to LLGs for the last FY, in accordance to the requirements of the budget:Note: Timely warranting for a LG means: 5 working days from the date of upload of releases by MoFPED).	The LG did not timely warrant of direct DDEG transfers to the LLG for the previous FY for the two quarters as follows: Quarter 2 Cash limit of UGX 446,323,374 was released on 7/10/2022 warranted 31/10/2022, after 18days; and Quarter 3. Cash of UGX 892,646,747 was released 5/01/2023, warranted on 23/01/2023, after 14 days	0
	Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure	Score: 2 or else score 0		
10	N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery	c. If the LG invoiced and communicated all DDEG transfers for the previous FY to LLGs within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the funds release in each quarter:	The LG did not invoice and communicate all DDEG transfers for the previous FY to LLGs within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the funds as follows: Quarter 2: Cash limit was on 7/10/2022, CAO communicated on 25/10/2022 invoicing 17/10/2022; and Quarter 3, Cash limit was on 5/01/2023, CAO communicated on 17/01/2023, invoicing 20/01/2023.	0
	Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure	Score 2 or else score 0		
11	Routine oversight and monitoring	a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has supervised or mentored all LLGs in the District /Municipality at least once per quarter consistent with guidelines:	There was evidence that the District supervised and mentored all LLGs in the District as follows: Quarter 1;Report dated 6/07/2022 Training on templates for submitting information to the HLG, the recently updated chart of accounts. The subcounty chiefs, the sub accounts, CDOs and economic planners, Quarter 2: 10/10/2022: Training on first call budget circular of FY 2023/2024, sub accounts, twon clerks, CDos and Economic planners Quarter 3: 25/01/2023 Training on second budget call circular for FY 2023/2024 Dissemination of IPS= indicative planning figures, town clerks, SACAOs, SASS Quarter 4 dated 16/06/2023 Dissemination budget execution circular for 2023/2024	2
	Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure	Score 2 or else score 0		

11	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the results/reports of support supervision and monitoring visits were discussed in the TPC, used by the District/ Municipality to make recommendations for corrective actions and followed-up:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the results/reports of support supervision and monitoring visits were discussed in the TPC, used by the District to make recommendations for corrective actions and followed-up as follows:</p> <p>Quarter1. Meeting was held on 26/07/2022</p> <p>The committee discussed the issue of land ownership in all government schools, and committee of 5 people were tasked to visit schools to investigate the land issue</p> <p>Quarter 2: meeting held on 31/10/2022</p> <p>The committee discussed the issue of the budget training that was carried in the LLG and recommended that more of such training should be arranged so that the LLG are able to plan within the requirement of the law and the guidelines issued by the government</p> <p>Quarter 3: Meeting was held on 30/01/2023</p> <p>The committee discussed the challenge that was raised on the delay on giving out of loans and the issue of the requirement for members to have national identity card in order to qualify. Most potential members had no national ID and the committee recommended that the condition of ID be waived off</p> <p>Quarter 4: Meeting was held on 11/07/2023. There was follow up discussion of the dissemination of DDEG guideline , ensuring that the LLG follow the guidelines as per the guidance given to them by the district</p>
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Investment Management

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the District/Municipality maintains an up-dated assets register covering details on buildings, vehicle, etc. as per format in the accounting manual:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p> <p>Note: the assets covered must include, but not limited to: land, buildings, vehicles and infrastructure. If those core assets are missing score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG updated the Asset register on 30/06/2023</p> <p>The following were the assets:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Buildings UGX14,298,568,198; 2. Land UGX12,579,193,200; 3. Transport Equipment UGX 9,586,925,563; 4. ICT Equipment UGX894,625,075; and 5. Furniture and Fitting UGX724,370,400. 	2
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the District/Municipality has used the Board of Survey Report of the previous FY to make Assets Management decisions including procurement of new assets, maintenance of existing assets and disposal of assets:</p> <p>Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District/Municipality used the Board of Survey Report of the FY 2022 to make Assets Management decisions including procurement of new assets, maintenance of existing assets and disposal of assets;</p> <p>Board of survey was reported on 31/08/2022, and the following recommendations were made:</p> <p>The engineer to assess the performance of grinding mill to ascertain more friendly provision of power;</p> <p>The accounting Officer advise to commence the disposal of all unserviceable asset;</p> <p>Production officer to carry out operation and maintenance activity in demonstration garden and also engrave newly acquired hatchery; and</p> <p>The principal town officer Katabii advise to roof the building before rain - administration block.</p>	1

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that District/Municipality has a functional physical planning committee in place which has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD. If so Score 2. Otherwise Score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that District had a functional physical planning committee in place and submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD.</p> <p>The committee was established on 23/09/2013 composed of the following members:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CAO; 2. District Physical Planner; 3. District Engineer; 4. Water Officer; 5. District Staff surveyor; 6. District Environmental Officer; 7. Natural Resources Officer; 8. District agricultural Officer 9. 9Town clerks;; 10. District Health Officer; 11. District Education Officer; 12. District Production Officer; and 13. District Community Development Officer. <p>The 4 sets of reports were submitted as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Submitted on 20/12/2022;</p> <p>Quarter 2 Submitted on 01/03/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3 Submitted on 05/06/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4 Submitted on 24/08/2023.</p>
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>d.For DDEG financed projects; Evidence that the District/Municipality has conducted a desk appraisal for all projects in the budget - to establish whether the prioritized investments are: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDP III); (ii) eligible for expenditure as per sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. DDEG). If desk appraisal is conducted and if all projects are derived from the LGDP:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District conducted a desk appraisal for all projects in the budget and prioritized investments were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan; (ii) eligible for expenditure as per sector guidelines and funding source as follows:</p> <p>Desk appraisal was done on 10/03/2022 for the only one DDEG project;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of the grene garden parks (Chairman’s garden) UGX 238,326,000, page 120 quarter 4
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>For DDEG financed projects:</p> <p>e. Evidence that LG conducted field appraisal to check for (i) technical feasibility, (ii) Environmental and social acceptability and (iii) customized design for investment projects of the previous FY:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG carried field appraisal on 23/06/ 2022 for the previous FY as follows:</p> <p>1. Chairman’s garden UGX 238,326,000, page 120 quarter 4</p>	2
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>f. Evidence that project profiles with costing have been developed and discussed by TPC for all investments in the AWP for the current FY, as per LG Planning guideline and DDEG guidelines:</p> <p>Score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that project profiles with costing were developed and discussed by TPC for all investments in the AWP for the current FY, as per LG Planning guideline and DDEG guidelines:</p> <p>The TPC held meeting on 08/12/2022 Min 031/12/DTPC/2022/23</p> <p>And discussed the following project profiles with costing found from page 88-153 of the Development Plan as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 2 classroom blocks in 7 selected schools (Katussu, RPC, Namanyumba Sub county, Biti Jombe, Kabungu UMEA, St Kizito Katwe, Gombero Primary school, Kitenzi CFD, St Francis Bujjimba) UGX700,000,000 page 15 AWP; 2. Completion of new health facility – Buloba- Kitawuzi Health and Mutoba I Nangabo Health Center III UGX 370,000,000; 3. Completion of a general ward at Kajjansi health Center IV UGX525,582,000; 4. Phased up grading to paved surface of Ndejje Bitiko UGX 330,000,000; 5. Phased upgrading to a paved surface of Bweya – Namulanda Kajjansi Road UGX 325,000,000; 6. Construction of Busi Solar piped water supply system UGX 747,940,000; 7. Drilling of deep borehole in Kyengera town council and Wakiso town council UGX 100,000,000; 8. Eco-sytem management cost UGX 8,481,000; 9. Construction of teachers in 6 schools (Setema Quran , Busi Parents, Kizobwa mixed , Gayaza Girls, Bukonda Chance, Nazinga SDA) UGX 600,000,000; 10. Construction of 5 stance pit latrine in 10 schools (Nsingi Mixed, Bitiru Lutisi, 	1

Marikirit UMEA, Kyengera Moslem, Kitayita Chance, Busi Gombe , Setima CoU, Tuzukuke Primary , Katuso RPC, Buyenge Girls) UGX 300,000,000;

11. Rehabilitation of 4 classroom block in 2 school (Kasingeje CoU and Masulita JR) UGX 200,000,000;

12. Construction of two stance lined pit latrine in 6 schools(Setema Quran, Busi Parents, Kizibwa mix , Gayza Girls and Bukondo Chance, Nazinga SDA UGX 90,000,000; and

13. Construction of teachers' house at Setama Quran, Busi Parnet < Kizibwa Mix, Gayaza girls, Bukondo Chance and Nasinga SDA UGX 600,000,000.

12	Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure	g. Evidence that the LG has screened for environmental and social risks/impact and put mitigation measures where required before being approved for construction using checklists: Score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG had screened for environmental and social risks/impact and put mitigation measures before projects funded by the DDEG were approved for construction for the current FY (2023-2024) as exemplified by following phased DDEG projects whose screening was done in the previous two years. 1.Landscaping and construction of district Gardens at the district headquarters dated 18/1/2022, signed by the SEO and PCDO; 2.Construction of Buloba-Kitawuluzi, Buloba village, Buloba parish, Wakiso sub-county dated 14/10/2022, signed by the SEO and PCDO; 3.Construction of New Mutuba 1, Nangabo HC III, Nangabo ward, Kasangati Town Council dated 18/10/22, signed by the SEO and PCDO.	2
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	a. Evidence that all infrastructure projects for the current FY to be implemented using the DDEG were incorporated in the LG approved procurement plan Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that projects under DDEG for the current FY were incorporated in the in the approved procurement plan as follows: Construction of 2 unit staff quarters at Mende HCIII - item 39, page 7 Construction of 2 unit staff quarters at Bulondo HCIII - item 40, page 7 Construction of the district recreation park - item 89, page 12	1

13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	b. Evidence that all infrastructure projects to be implemented in the current FY using DDEG were approved by the Contracts Committee before commencement of construction: Score 1 or else score 0	No DDEG project for the current FY had commenced.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	c. Evidence that the LG has properly established the Project Implementation team as specified in the sector guidelines: Score 1 or else 0	The LG established the Project Implementation Team (PIT) for the Construction of the district Gardens Phase II on 04/05/2023 and it included the following; Physical planner, Senior Environment Officer, Community Development Officer and the District engineer.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	d. Evidence that all infrastructure projects implemented using DDEG followed the standard technical designs provided by the LG Engineer: Score 1 or else score 0	The project under DDEG followed the technical designs; DDEG funds were used to construct a boundary wall around the district gardens. The setting out of the structure also conformed to the designs. The wall had a stone masonry plinth wall 300mm wide with a ground beam 300mm x 300mm and columns 300mm x 300mm spaced at 4m intervals with steel grills	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	e. Evidence that the LG has provided supervision by the relevant technical officers of each infrastructure project prior to verification and certification of works in previous FY. Score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the LG conducted only one supervision meeting on 23/03/2023 for the Phased construction of the district gardens (Boundary wall phase I and II).	0
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	f. The LG has verified works (certified) and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes as per contract (within 2 months if no agreement): Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that the LG verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframe as follows: Project I: Upgrade of Buloba HCII to HC III Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008 Contract Signed: 17/11/2022 Contractor: Hanan Contractors Ltd Requisition Amount: UGX 266,260,832 (Advance) Requisition Date: 28/11/2022 DEng sign: 28/11/2022 CFO sign: not dated	1

CAO sign: 15/12/2023

Amount Paid: UGX 252,722,146

Payment Date: 21/12/2022

Voucher No. 2994070

EFT No. 2994070

This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;

Requisition II

Contractor: Hanan Contractors Ltd

Requisition Amount: UGX 330,035,046

Requisition Date: 09/05/2023

Environment Officer Sign: 16/05/2023

CDO sign: 16/05/2023

DEng sign: 16/05/2023

CFO sign: 15/06/2023

CAO sign: 14/06/2023

Requisition III

Contractor: Hanan Contractors Ltd

Requisition Amount: UGX 119,820,846

Requisition Date: 12/06/2023

Environment Officer Sign: 15/06/2023

CDO sign: 14/06/2023

DEng sign: 14/06/2023

CFO sign: 15/06/2023

CAO sign: 14/06/2023

Payment (for Requisition II and III)

Amount Paid: UGX 211,634,144

Payment Date: 27/06/2023

Voucher No. 6409384

EFT No. 6409384

This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;

Project II: Construction of new HC III in Kasangati

Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008

Contract Signed: 16/11/2022

Contractor: Haso Enginners Ltd

Requisition Amount: UGX 215,493,320

Requisition Date: 30/05/2023

Environment Officer Sign: 14/06/2023

CDO sign: 14/06/2023

DEng sign: 14/06/2023

CFO sign: 15/06/2023

CAO sign: 14/06/2023

Amount Paid: UGX 187,353,234

Payment Date: 27/06/2023

Voucher No. 6411798

EFT No. 6411798

This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;

Project III: Phased construction of Bussi Solar powered piped water supply system Phase III

Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00374

Contract Signed: 18/05/2023

Contractor: SB Innovations Ltd

Requisition Amount: 411,363,456

Requisition Date: 29/05/2023

Environment Officer Sign: 30/05/2023

CDO sign: 30/05/2023

DEng sign: 30/05/2023

CFO sign: 06/06/2023

CAO sign: 05/06/2023

Amount Paid: UGX 390,446,671

Payment Date: 15/06/2023

Voucher No. 5905951

EFT No. 5905951

This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;

13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	g. The LG has a complete procurement file in place for each contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence of a complete procurement file in place for all the awarded contracts sampled as follows: File Name: Phased construction of the district gardens (Boundary wall phase I and II) Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00582 Contract Signed: 25/04/2023 Contractor: Bravo Land and Construction Company Ltd Evaluation report: 16/03/2023 Contracts Committee Meeting date: 24/03/2023 Min No: 015/DCC/2022-23	1
----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	Grievance redress mechanism operational. Maximum 5 points on this performance measure	a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has i) designated a person to coordinate response to feedback (grievance /complaints) and ii) established a centralized Grievance Redress Committee (GRC), with optional co-option of relevant departmental heads/staff as relevant. Score: 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that Wakiso had designated a person to coordinate response to feedback on grievances/complaints as exemplified by a letter by the CAO, dated 23/9/2022, designating the Principal Assistant Chief Administrative Officer as the Focal Point Person to coordinate response to feedback (grievance /complaints). Wakiso district LG had established a centralized Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) as evidenced by individual letters of appointment to the GRC, date 5/7/2021, prepared by the CAO and addressed to 5 committee members.	2
14	Grievance redress mechanism operational. Maximum 5 points on this performance measure	b. The LG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a centralized complaints log with clear information and reference for onward action (a defined complaints referral path), and public display of information at district/municipal offices. If so: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG had specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances and had a defined complaints referral path and public display of information at LG offices as exemplified by the following. 1. A Grievance Register opened on 13/7/2022; 2. Minutes of GRC meeting held on 30/3/2022, signed by Committee secretary and Chairperson; and 3. Minutes of GRC meeting held on 9/2/2021, signed by Committee secretary and Chairperson.	2

14	Grievance redress mechanism operational.	c. District/Municipality has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress.	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG had publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties would know where to report and get redress as exemplified by a notice to the public about displayed on the LG noticeboard, dated 12/7/2022.	1
	Maximum 5 points on this performance measure	If so: Score 1 or else 0		
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.	a. Evidence that Environment, Social and Climate change interventions have been integrated into LG Development Plans, annual work plans and budgets complied with: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that Environment, Social and Climate change interventions were integrated into LG Development Plans, annual work plans and budgets as follows:	1
	Maximum 11 points on this performance measure		1. Completion of new health facility – Buloba- Kitawuzi Health and Mutoba I Nangabo Health Center III UGX 370,000,000, page 47 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost UGX5,000,000;	
			2. Completion of a general ward at Kajjansi health Center IV UGX525,582,000, page 48 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost UGX3,000,000;	
			3. Phased up grading to paved surface of Ndejje Butiro UGX 330,000,000-page 74 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost 2,500,000;	
			4. Phased upgrading to a paved surface of Bweya – Namulanda Kajjansi Road UGX 325,000,000 page 74 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost UGX 6,000,000;	
			5. Construction of Busi Solar piped water supply system UGX 747,940,000 page 77 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost UGX 2,500,000; and	
			6. Drilling of deep borehole in Kyengera town council and Wakiso town council UGX 100,000,000 page 77 LGDP III	
			Environmental, social and climate intervention cost UGX3,000,000.	

15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	b. Evidence that LGs have disseminated to LLGs the enhanced DDEG guidelines (strengthened to include environment, climate change mitigation (green infrastructures, waste management equipment and infrastructures) and adaptation and social risk management score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG disseminated the DDEG guideline on 27/02/2023. Those available were heads of departments, town clerks and the Senior Assistant Secretaries	1
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	(For investments financed from the DDEG other than health, education, water, and irrigation): c. Evidence that the LG incorporated costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for DDEG infrastructure projects of the previous FY, where necessary: score 3 or else score 0	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG incorporated costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for DDEG infrastructure projects of the previous FY (2022-2023) as exemplified by the ESMP report for the project of landscaping and construction of district Gardens at the district headquarters dated 18/1/2022, signed by the SEO and PCDO. The ESMPs were incorporated in the BoQs dated 31/3/2022, Item 3: Environment and Social Safeguards.	3
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	d. Examples of projects with costing of the additional impact from climate change. Score 3 or else score 0	There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG had infrastructure projects with costing of the additional impact from climate change for the FY 2022-2023.	0
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	e. Evidence that all DDEG projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of ownership, access, and availability (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that all DDEG projects in Wakiso District LG were implemented on land where the LG had proof of ownership, access, and availability as exemplified by the Certificate of Titles registered and issued on 20/9/200, Land Leasehold registered, volume 3511, Folio, Plot no. 190, Block 274, Busiro, measuring approximately 4.047 hectares, .	1

15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	f. Evidence that environmental officer and CDO conducts support supervision and monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that Wakiso District LG conducts support supervision and monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports for the previous FY 2022/2023 as exemplified by two monitoring reports for the project of land scoping and construction of district gardens dated 22/3/2023 and 19/5/2023, endorsed by the SEO and the DCDO.	1
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	g. Evidence that E&S compliance Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer and CDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that the E & S compliance certification forms at Wakiso District LG are completed and signed by the Environmental Officer and DCDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the payment certificate for the project of landscaping and construction of district Gardens at the district headquarters dated 18/5/2023, contract no. Waki555/wrks/22-23/000313, signed by the SEO and PCDO.	1

Financial management

16	<p>LG makes monthly Bank reconciliations</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG makes monthly bank reconciliations and are up to-date at the point of time of the assessment:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG made monthly bank reconciliations as follows:</p> <p>Account Name: Wakiso District Council Revenue Collection</p> <p>Bank name: Centenary</p> <p>Account No: 3410500054</p> <p>Date of reconciliation</p> <p>August, on 04/09/2023</p> <p>Sept, On 03/10/2023</p> <p>Octo on 01//11/2023;</p> <p>Account Name: Wakiso District Imprest Account</p> <p>Bank name: Centenary</p> <p>Account No: 3410500141</p> <p>Date of reconciliation</p> <p>Aug, 04/09/2023</p> <p>Sept, On 03/10/2023</p> <p>Oct, on 01/11/2023; and</p> <p>Account Name: Wakiso District Market Rent</p> <p>Bank name: DFCU</p> <p>Account No: 01983501006034</p> <p>Date of reconciliation</p> <p>Aug, 04/09/2023</p> <p>Sept, 03/10/2023</p> <p>Oct, 01/11/2023.</p> <p>The LG Planned revenue 2022/2023 UGX18,134,594,000 page 18</p> <p>Actual Revenue 2022/2023 UGX11,469,746,946</p> <p>The revenue realization was 63% within +/-10%</p>
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

17	<p>LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that LG has produced all quarterly internal audit (IA) reports for the previous FY.</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG prepared all the 4 quarterly reports as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 submitted on 27/11/2022</p> <p>Some of the Issues raised were:</p> <p>Failure to implement quarter 1 work plan as approved by the council;</p> <p>Local revenue UGX 501,943,061 not</p>
----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

collect;

Collection of trading licence tendering in disregard to cabinet decision /circular issued by the ministry;

Service providers implemented project without signing contract agreement.

Quarter 2 submitted on 27/03/2023

Some of the Issues raised were:

Undelivered drug worth UGX
326,383,368

Drug delivered discrepancies at
Namayumba Health Center IV

Grounded road equipment should be
repaired

Delayed project implementation

Quarter 3 submitted on 28/04/2023

Some of the Issues raised were:

Delayed district website information
embarrassing

There was no updated client charter in
place

Failure to active and effect annual salary
increment;

Nyebando primary School of 3 classroom
block blown off by rain storm, no action
taken;

Quarter 4 submitted on 23/08/2023

Some of the Issues raised were:

Vacant post in Kakiri Sub-couty,
Namayumba town council, Wakiso
Health center IV

Poor storage of used items in Wakiso
District LG Block A

Kawanda Kayunga Road swamp require
store picking

Schools lack teachers house, st
Thereasza, St kizito, st Francis Kabazi.

LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

b. Evidence that the LG has provided information to the Council/ chairperson and the LG PAC on the status of implementation of internal audit findings for the previous FY i.e. information on follow up on audit queries from all quarterly audit reports.

Score 1 or else score 0

The LG provided information to the council and LG PAC on the status of the implementation of the internal audit finds for the Previous FY as follows:

Quarter 1 submitted on 17/01/2023;

Quarter 2 Submitted on 27/03/2023;

Quarter 3 Submitted on 28/04/2023; and

Quarter 4 Submitted on 24/08/2023.

LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

c. Evidence that internal audit reports for the previous FY were submitted to LG Accounting Officer, LG PAC and that LG PAC has reviewed them and followed-up:

Score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that internal audit reports for the previous FY were submitted to LG Accounting Officer, LG PAC and that LG PAC reviewed them and followed-up as follows:

Quarter 1. 22/08/2023

Special audit report on management of finances of Wakiso Sub county, community-based services, the NSSF development project, under declaration of revenue

Reviewing records of the sub counties of NSSF contribution

Whether revenue was banked in the recommended bank account, CAO to write to NSSF demanding for URA payment certificate worth 12,000,000

An audit on the allegation of the DCDO on mismanagement of the department funds= issues submitted to service commission

The SAOCAO did not remit the 35% share of revenue to district general collection account= officer in charge to write to CAO to ensure the payment plan by the SAOCAO

Quarter 1 2022/2023

HR staff who had overstayed in the work station= the transfer but not a must

Failure to approve implement first quarter work plan by finance= management to ensure plan as approved by council

Education irregularity in payment record worth UGX 44,400,000

Accountability was availed and the issue retired

Kasangeje had inadequate infrastructure , management planned to get tents to use as classroom, but they had also communicated to the ministry

The board of governor's mandate had expired and appointed the need board,

Local Revenues

18	<p>LG has collected local revenues as per budget (collection ratio)</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If revenue collection ratio (the percentage of local revenue collected against planned for the previous FY (budget realization) is within +/- 10 %: then score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The LG Planned revenue 2022/2023 was UGX18,134,594,000 page 18</p> <p>Actual Revenue 2022/2023 UGX11,469,746,946</p> <p>The revenue realisation was 63%, a shortfall of -37%</p>	0
19	<p>The LG has increased LG own source revenues in the last financial year compared to the one before the previous financial year (last FY year but one)</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure.</p>	<p>a. If increase in OSR (excluding one/off, e.g. sale of assets, but including arrears collected in the year) from previous FY but one to previous FY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If more than 10 %: score 2. • If the increase is from 5% - 10 %: score 1. • If the increase is less than 5 %: score 0. 	<p>The LG in FY 2022/2023 Actual revenue realized UGX11,469,746,946 1page 18 of the annual financial statement</p> <p>FY 2021/2022 Actual UGX6,887,832,883 -page 13 Annual financial statement 2021/2022</p> <p>Percentage increase was 66%%.</p>	2

Local revenue administration, allocation, and transparency

Maximum 2 points on this performance measure.

a. If the LG remitted the mandatory LLG share of local revenues during the previous FY: score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG remitted the mandatory LLG share of Local revenues during the previous FY as follows:

LG received UGX 2,118,923,286 as Local revenue to be share with sub counties and it was shared as per their distribution schedule hereunder:

1. Wakiso Sub county UGX 856,546,049
2. Mende Sub county UGX 232,394,656
3. Kakir1 Sub county UGX 135,201,251
4. Namuyumba Sub county UGX 43,481,974
5. Masulita Sub county UGX71,550,979
6. Bussi Sub county UGX 38,125,227

Town Councils received as follows:

1. Wakiso town council UGX 729,336,819
2. Kakiri Tc UGX 268,278,033
3. Namayumba Town council UGX 30,539,900
4. Masulita Sub county UGX 50,248,952
5. Kyengera Town Council UGX 1,817,340,952
6. Kasanjje Town Council UGX 188,708,066
7. Katabi Twn Council UGX 1,716,362,900
8. Kajjansi Town Council UGX 1,186,098,281
9. Kasangati Town Council UGX 1,485,024,657

Transparency and Accountability

21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the procurement plan and awarded contracts and all amounts are published: Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG published all the awarded contracts and amounts as sampled below:</p> <p>Upgrading of Buloba HC II to HC III, Procurement Ref: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008, Best evaluated bidder: Hanan Contractors (U) Ltd, Contract price: UGX 887,536,105</p> <p>Published on 28/09/2022 and removed 11/10/2022</p> <p>Phased construction of the district gardens (Boundary wall phase I and II), Procurement Ref: waki555/wrks/22-23/00582, Best evaluated bidder: Bravo Land and Construction Company Ltd, Contract price: UGX 100,000,000</p> <p>Published on 24/03/2023 and removed 06/04/2023</p> <p>Phase construction of Bussi solar powered piped water supply system - Phase III), Procurement Ref: waki555/wrks/22-23/00374, Best evaluated bidder: SB Innovations Ltd, Contract price: UGX 433,014,165</p> <p>Published on 06/03/2023 and removed 19/03/2023</p>	2
21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG performance assessment results and implications are published e.g. on the budget website for the previous year: Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG published the assessment result on the noticeboard on 09/03/2023 and also on their website</p> <p>Cross cutting minimum 100%</p> <p>Cross cutting performance measure 61</p> <p>Education 65</p> <p>Health 59</p> <p>Water 72</p> <p>Micro-scale irrigation 82%</p>	2

LG shares information with citizens

Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure

c. Evidence that the LG during the previous FY conducted discussions (e.g. municipal urban fora, barazas, radio programmes etc.) with the public to provide feed-back on status of activity implementation:
Score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG conducted barazas as follow:

Meeting held on 23/06/2023

The issue of garbage, Operation wealth creation, PDM beneficiaries, health department to check on the service providers, water trenches had blocked, requested for public toilets

Management of PDM process too long

Health inspectors to meet with VHTs to identify the gaps, RDC, PASS, town clerk of the town council, economic planners

Engineer, town council engineers

10/05/2023

PASS, RDC= PDM, education schools that received desks, schools that were constructed , youth livelihood,

Poor data captured in PDM MIS, could not allow submission without NIN numbers

Many beneficiaries could miss out due NIN

PDM- delay in accessing loan

Laxity of local leaders to ensure Local revenue is collected.

Returns from the central government

Meeting held 10/04/2023, Kakiri town council= Lack of USE school in the entire council = RDC, town clerk, town engineers and CDO,

Budget cuts, making it impossible to rehabilitate the road, lack of tax park, lack garzeted market, some areas of the town council lack piped water, special for health center III is very small, patients sit under trees, tents, UPE schools inadequate, only two public schools

Radio talk show 31/05/2023

Top radio during the launch of PDM - people asked how to be a member

21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the LG has made publicly available information on i) tax rates, ii) collection procedures, and iii) procedures for appeal: If all i, ii, iii complied with: Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence regarding the tax rates, collection and procedure for appeal displayed on the noticeboard on 28/06/2023.</p> <p>There was revenue enhancement policy dated 13/12/2022 for the period 2022/2023 to 2025/2026</p> <p>There was procedure for appeal in place composed of, sub-county chief, SASs, community development officers and heads of department</p>	1
22	<p>Reporting to IGG</p> <p>Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. LG has prepared a report on the status of implementation of the IGG recommendations which will include a list of cases of alleged fraud and corruption and their status incl. administrative and action taken/being taken, and the report has been presented and discussed in the council and other fora. Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not have any issue with IGG that required report preparation.</p>	1

**Educational
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Learning Outcomes: The LG has improved PLE and USE pass rates.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG PLE pass rate has improved between the previous school year but one and the previous year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If improvement by more than 5% score 4 • Between 1 and 5% score 2 • No improvement score 0 	<p>Results from UNEB indicate that Wakiso LG PLE pass rate for 2020 and 2022 improved by 2% as shown below:</p> <p>2020: Div. one was 7288; Div. two was 18,003, and Div. three was 4,585. The total number of passes, therefore, was 29,876 while the total number of registered candidates was 34,427 and the number of pupils who missed exams was 394 thus the total number of pupils that sat the exam was 34,033. Therefore, the calculated percentage for 2020 was; $29,876/34,033 \times 100 = 88\%$.</p> <p>2022: Div. one was 1,043, Div two was 1,975, and Div. three was 542. The total pass, therefore, was 3,560 while the total number of registered candidates was 4,017 and the number of pupils that missed exams was 59 while the total number of pupils that sat the exams was 3958.</p> <p>The calculated percentage for 2022 was, therefore, $3,560/3958 \times 100 = 90\%$.</p> <p>Therefore, $90\% - 88\% - 79\% = 2\%$ percentage improvement.</p>	2

Learning Outcomes:
The LG has improved
PLE and USE pass
rates.

Maximum 7 points on
this performance
measure

b) The LG UCE pass rate
has improved between
the previous school year
but one and the previous
year

- If improvement by more
than 5% score 3
- Between 1 and 5% score
2
- No improvement score 0

Results from UNEB indicate that Wakiso LG
UCE pass rate for 2020 and 2022 improved
by 5% as shown below:

2020: Div. one was 5091; Div. two was 5615,
and Div. three was 5055. The total pass,
therefore, was 15761; 34 candidates missed
the exam out of 22,123 registered
candidates thus the total number of
candidates that sat exams was 22,059.

Therefore, the calculated percentage for
2020 was; $15761/22,059 \times 100 = 71\%$.

2022: Div. one was 1477, Div two was 565,
and Div. three was 475. The total pass,
therefore, was 2517, 118 candidates missed
the exams out of 3425 registered
candidates, therefore the total number of
candidates that sat exams was 3307.

The calculated percentage for 2022 was,
therefore, $2517/3307 \times 100 = 76\%$.

Therefore, $76\% - 71\% = 5\%$ percentage
improvement.

2

N23_Service Delivery

Performance: Increase in the average score
in

2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Increase in the average score in the education LLG performance assessment.</p>	<p>a) Average score in the education LLG performance has improved between the previous year but one and the previous year</p>	<p>There was an average increase of 6% as per the following details:</p>	2
	<p>Maximum 2 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By more than 5%, score 2 • Between 1 and 5%, score 1 • No Improvement, score 0 	<p>In FY 2021/2022 average score was 1159/15 =77%</p>	
		<p>NB: If the previous average score was 95% and above, Score 2 for any increase.</p>	<p>In YT 2022/2023 average score was 1224/15 = 83%</p>	
			<p>Increase from 77% to 83% = 6%</p>	
			<p>1. Wakiso TC 82%, to 89%;</p>	
			<p>2. Wakiso SC 82%, to 84%;</p>	
			<p>3. Mende SC 78%, to 91%;</p>	
			<p>4. Kakiri TC 72%, to 85%;</p>	
			<p>5. Kakiro SC 70%, to 85%;</p>	
			<p>6. Namaynumba TC 84%, to 71%;</p>	
			<p>7. Namayumba SC 73%, to 83%;</p>	
			<p>8. Masulita SC 64%, to 75%;</p>	
			<p>9. Masulita TC 60%, to 74%</p>	
			<p>10. Kyengera TC 89%, to 83%;</p>	
			<p>11. Kasanjje TC 73% to 88%;</p>	
			<p>12. Busi SC 86%, to 83%;</p>	
			<p>13. Katabi TC80%, to 85%;</p>	
			<p>14. Kajjansi TC 88%, to 84%; and</p>	
			<p>15. Kasangati TC 78%, to 84%.</p>	
3	<p>Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines</p>	<p>a) If the education development grant has been used on eligible activities as defined in the sector guidelines: score 2; Else score 0</p>	<p>From the budget performance report dated 30/06/2023 under vote 060, it was evident that the LG received a sum of 4,800,000,000 UGX development grant which was on eligible activities as defined in the sector guidelines as shown below:</p>	2
	<p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>		<p>1. Construction of 17 two-classroom blocks in various schools.</p>	
			<p>2. Construction of 27 five-lined pit latrines in various schools</p>	
			<p>3. Renovation of 4 three -classroom blocks in various schools.</p>	
			<p>4. Construction of 13 teachers' houses with a 2-stance toilet in various schools.</p>	
3	<p>Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines</p>	<p>b) If the DEO, Environment Officer and CDO certified works on Education construction projects implemented in</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG made payments for sector infrastructure and executed as per contract as follows:</p>	2
			<p>Name of project: Supply of 3 seater wooden</p>	

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

the previous FY before the LG made payments to the contractors score 2 or else score 0

desks to 12 primary schools

Project NO: WAKI555/Supls/2022-2023/00006

Contract Sum: UGX93,739,200

Requisition was on 20/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 22/06/2023

CAO signed on 23/06/2023

Environment and CDO signed on 23/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX93,739,200 EFT 6409374;

Name of project: Construction of a 2 classroom block at BT Buwasa Primary school at Namanyumba and Nakedde

Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2021/22/ 00022-SLOT 2

Contract Sum: UGX191,192,684

Requisition was on: 13/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 19/06/2023

District Engineer signed on 19/06/2023

CAO signed on 19/06/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed 19/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX4,741,623 EFT 6409789; and

Name of project: Construction of teachers house with 2 stance latrine pit at Kassajje COU primary school and Kojja Chance Primary

Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2022-2023/00002 Lot -3

Contract Sum: UGX 199,834,180

Requisition was on 12/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 14/06/2022

District Engineer signed on 14/06/2022

CAO signed on 14/06/2022

Environment/CDO Officer signed 14/06/2022

Payment was on 23/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX62,956,132 EFT 6206595.

3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines	c) If the variations in the contract price are within +/-20% of the MoWT estimates score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the variations in priced projects under education for the previous FY were within +/-20% of the Engineers estimate as follows;	2
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure		Project: Construction of a 2 class block at Namusera Umea P/S and Gombe Kayunga P/S	
			Contract No: waki555/wrks/2022-23/0003 Lot 7	
			Contractor: Skylight general services Ltd	
			Contract sum: UGX 198,619,000	
			Engineers sum: UGX 200,000,000	
			Variation: 0.69%	
			Project: Construction of a 2 class block at St. Kizito Kitti P/S and Manyangwa P/S	
			Contract No: waki555/wrks/2022-23/0003 Lot 4	
			Contractor: P&D Traders & Contractors Ltd	
			Contract sum: UGX 199,843,620	
			Engineers sum: UGX 200,000,000	
			Variation: 0.08%	
3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines	d) Evidence that education projects (Seed Secondary Schools) were completed as per the work plan in the previous FY	Not applicable as there was no seed secondary school for the previous FY.	2
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 • Between 80 - 99% score 1 • Below 80% score 0 		
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met prescribed school staffing and infrastructure standards	a) Evidence that the LG has recruited primary school teachers as per the prescribed MoES staffing guidelines	There was evidence from the Human resource office, staffing structure, and teacher staff list for all 167 schools that the LG had 1,750 (95%) recruited primary school teachers out of 1,850 approved ceiling teachers as per the prescribed MoES staffing guidelines. Each of the 167 schools with seven classes had a minimum of seven and a head teacher.	2
	Maximum 6 points on this performance measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100%: score 3 • If 80 - 99%: score 2 • If 70 - 79% score: 1 • Below 70% score 0 		

Achievement of standards: The LG has met prescribed school staffing and infrastructure standards

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

b) Percent of schools in LG that meet basic requirements and minimum standards set out in the DES guidelines,

- If above 70% and above score: 3
- If between 60 - 69%, score: 2
- If between 50 - 59%, score: 1
- Below 50 score: 0

From the list of registered UPE and USE schools; and the consolidated Schools asset Register for both UPE and USE schools from the previous two FYs, it was evident that 120 (66%) schools out of 167 UPE and 14 USE meet the prescribed minimum standards of: classrooms 1:53 UPE and 1:60 USE, toilets 1:40, desks 1:3, accommodation for 4 teachers and one changing room.

Some of the schools that do not meet the basic minimum requirements included:

1. Busi Gombe P/S in Busi Island has only three classrooms

2. Kyebando UMEA in Wakiso Sub-county with an enrollment of 1750 has challenges of toilet facilities and classrooms that do not match with its enrollment.

3. Kayunga Gombe in wakiso town council .

4. Ziba P/S in Kasanje town council.

5. Namusera UMEA in Wakiso town council .

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has accurately reported on teaching staff in place, school infrastructure, and service performance.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

a) Evidence that the LG has accurately reported on teachers and where they are deployed.

- If the accuracy of information is 100% score 2
- Else score: 0

The LG had accurately reported on teachers and where they are deployed, the sampled schools had the same teachers as those on the deployment list as shown below: St ANN Girls P/S Naddangira in Kakiri sub-county had 12 teachers; Kyengera Primary school had 14 teachers while Gombe Kayunga in Wakiso town council had 18 teachers as shown below:

St Ann Girls Naddangira Primary School:

Nakate Rosemary (Head teacher),Sebaganda James, Among Rose,Nakayiba Sarah,Ntwantwa Stephen,Nabembezi Charles,Alungati Regina,Namutebi Hellen Lena,Katwesigye Wilberforce,Nakitto Maria Maxensia,Yebuuza Cissy and Busulwa Evelyne.

Kyengera Primary School

Nabajja Betty(Headteacher),Wangirigiri Specioza,Nsubuga Richard,Nakinobe Mary,Nankabirwa Ritah,Kiyenga Everest,Nakiguli Dorothy, Nakayiza Carolyne,Nagaddya Gorret,Nabulo Robinah, Bainomugisha Adeodat,Nakitende Rebecca,Nakibuuka Annet and Nabbosa Lamulah

Gombe Kayunga Primary school

Mujabi Patrick,Nantale Lydia,Nabulya Jesca Dorothy,Mukooza Isreal,Nakayima Calaudia,Kafeero Richard, Namutebi Winfred,Mukoda Aidah,Kabagabo Meble,Nakamanya Eva,Nakiwu Maria Gorret,Namale Suzan,Nandawula Lydia,Cherukut Sulaika,Namirembe Grace,Nakitende Cissy,Zzizinga Taddeo and Namutebi Rose(Headteacher)

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has accurately reported on teaching staff in place, school infrastructure, and service performance.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

b) Evidence that LG has a school asset register accurately reporting on the infrastructure in all registered primary schools.

- If the accuracy of information is 100% score 2
- Else score: 0

From the LG education office and from the sampled schools; it was evident that LG had a consolidated schools' asset register accurately reporting on the infrastructure in all registered primary schools as shown below: **ST ANN Naddangira Girls P/S** had 09 teachers' houses; 208 desks; 10 toilet stances and 8 classrooms: **Gombe Kayunga P/S** had 10 teachers' houses; 17 classrooms; 400 desks and 12 toilet stances while **Kyengera P/S** had 03 teachers' houses;18 classrooms;252 desks; and 14 toilet stances.

6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG has ensured that all registered primary schools have complied with MoES annual budgeting and reporting guidelines and that they have submitted reports (signed by the head teacher and chair of the SMC) to the DEO by January 30. Reports should include among others, i) highlights of school performance, ii) a reconciled cash flow statement, iii) an annual budget and expenditure report, and iv) an asset register:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% school submission to LG, score: 4 • Between 80 - 99% score: 2 • Below 80% score 0 	<p>There was no evidence from all the sampled schools to show that LG had ensured that all registered primary schools had complied with MoES annual budgeting and reporting guidelines and that they had submitted reports signed by the head teacher and chair of the SMC to the DEO by January 30 highlighting of school performance, a reconciled cash flow statement, an annual budget and expenditure report, and an asset register.</p>	0
6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) UPE schools supported to prepare and implement SIPs in line with inspection recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 50% score: 4 • Between 30- 49% score: 2 • Below 30% score 0 	<p>From all the three sampled schools which were; Gombe Kayunga, Kyengera P/S, and St Ann Naddangira Girls, it was evident that these schools were supported to prepare and implement SIPs in line with inspection recommendations: The above schools made their SIP on the following dates; St Ann Naddangira girls on 7/06/2023; Gombe Kayunga on 20/12/2022 and Kyengera P/S on 30/09/2022.</p>	4
6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) If the LG has collected and compiled EMIS return forms for all registered schools from the previous FY year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score: 4: • Between 90 - 99% score 2 • Below 90% score 0 	<p>The LG has collected and compiled OTIMS return forms for all registered schools from the previous FY year with an enrolment of 89,415 pupils in the 167 UPE schools and 8,369 in 20 USE schools.</p>	4

Human Resource Management and Development

7	Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision	a) Evidence that the LG has budgeted for a head teacher and a minimum of 7 teachers per school or a minimum of one teacher per class for schools with less than P.7 for the current FY:	The LG had budgeted 12,561,125,000 UGX to cater for a head teacher and a minimum of 7 teachers per school or a minimum of one teacher per class for schools with less than P.7 for the current FY (2023-2024). The total number of teachers budgeted for in the 167 UPE schools is 1,850.	4
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure	Score 4 or else, score: 0		
7	Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision	b) Evidence that the LG has deployed teachers as per sector guidelines in the current FY,	From the teacher deployment list, it was evident that the LG has deployed 1750 teachers in 167 schools as per sector guidelines in the current FY. This was further confirmed by the sampled schools which were St ANN Girls P/S Naddangira in Kakiri sub-county had 12 teachers; Kyengera Primary School had 14 teachers while Gombe Kayunga in Wakiso town council had 18 teachers as listed below:	3
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure	Score 3 else score: 0	St Ann Girls Naddangira Primary School: Nakate Rosemary (Head teacher),Sebaganda James, Among Rose,Nakayiba Sarah,Ntwantwa Stephen,Nabembezi Charles,Alungati Regina,Namutebi Hellen Lena,Katwesigye Wilberforce,Nakitto Maria Maxensia,Yebuuza Cissy and Busulwa Evelyne. Kyengera Primary School Nabajja Betty(Headteacher),Wangirigiri Specioza,Nsubuga Richard,Nakinobe Mary,Nankabirwa Ritah,Kiyenga Everest,Nakiguli Dorothy, Nakayiza Carolyne,Nagaddya Gorret,Nabulo Robinah, Bainomugisha Adeodat,Nakitende Rebecca,Nakibuuka Annet and Nabbosa Lamulah Gombe Kayunga Primary school Mujabi Patrick,Nantale Lydia,Nabulya Jesca Dorothy,Mukooza Isreal,Nakayima Calaudia,Kafeero Richard, Namutebi Winfred,Mukoda Aidah,Kabagabo Meble,Nakamanya Eva,Nakiwu Maria Gorret,Namale Suzan,Nandawula Lydia,Cherukut Sulaika,Namirembe Grace,Nakitende Cissy,Zzizinga Taddeo and Namutebi Rose(Headteacher)	

Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

c) If teacher deployment data has been disseminated or publicized on LG and or school notice board, score: 1 else, score: 0

From the LG departmental notice board and notice boards of respective sampled schools, it was evident that teacher deployment data has been disseminated or publicized for St ANN Girls P/S Naddangira in Kakiri sub-county had 12 teachers; Kyengera Primary School had 14 teachers while Gombe Kayunga in Wakiso town council had 18 teachers as shown below:

Kyengera Primary School

Nabajja Betty(Headteacher), Wangirigiri Specioza, Nsubuga Richard, Nakinobe Mary, Nankabirwa Ritah, Kiyenga Everest, Nakiguli Dorothy, Nakayiza Carolyne, Nagaddya Gorret, Nabulo Robinah, Bainomugisha Adeodat, Nakitende Rebecca, Nakibuuka Annet and Nabbossa Lamulah

Gombe Kayunga Primary school

Mujabi Patrick, Nantale Lydia, Nabulya Jesca Dorothy, Mukooza Isreal, Nakayima Calaudia, Kafeero Richard, Namutebi Winfred, Mukoda Aidah, Kabagabo Meble, Nakamanya Eva, Nakiwu Maria Gorret, Namale Suzan, Nandawula Lydia, Cherukut Sulaika, Namirembe Grace, Nakitende Cissy, Zzizinga Taddeo and Namutebi Rose(Headteacher)

St Ann Girls Naddangira Primary School:

Nakate Rosemary (Head teacher), Sebaganda James, Among Rose, Nakayiba Sarah, Ntwantwa Stephen, Nabembezi Charles, Alungati Regina, Namutebi Hellen Lena, Katwesigye Wilberforce, Nakitto Maria Maxensia, Yebuuza Cissy and Busulwa Evelyne.

Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

a) If all primary school head teachers have been appraised with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM with copy to DEO/MEO

Score: 2 or else, score: 0

There was evidence that the primary school head teachers have been appraised with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM with copy to DEO/MEO

Kasozi Vicent (St. Mark Bulenge PS.) appraised On 30/11/2022

Kabasangwa Jane Rose Baguma (St Joseph Nabbingo Ps.) appraised on 12/10/2022

Nabagala Suzan (Light grammar PS Katikamu) appraised on 2/11/2022

Mutundi Richard (Sentema C/S PS) appraised on 25/10/2022

Ilatum Florence (St. John Bosco Gayaza PS) appraised on 15/12/2022

Namuddu Suzan (wampeewo PS) appraised on 1/11/2022

Khainza Claire (Kabagezi PS) appraised on 2/11/2022

Ssettimba William (St. Pius Naddangira PS) on 23/10/2022

Natege Grace (Muzinda COU PS) on 22/11/2022

Nakyeyune Resty (St. Josephs Maya PS) on 18/10/2022

All appraised by Mr. LUTALO John (PACAO)

Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b) If all secondary school head teachers have been appraised by D/CAO (or Chair BoG) with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM

Score: 2 or else, score: 0

There was evidence that all secondary school head teachers have been appraised by D/CAO (or Chair BoG) with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM

Luswata Joseph (Kitala ss) appraised on 8/12/2022, by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Namulema Katongole Agnes Ssebayigga (Our Lady of Good Counsel) appraised on 21/12/2022 Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Musisi Lutale Mudathri (Naguru Seed) appraised on 8/12/2022, by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Okanya Alfred Patrick (Kasengejje SS) appraised 14/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Kazibwe John Fred (Kings college Budo) appraised on 8/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Namaganda Ruth (Mmaze SS) appraised on 14/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Bisaso Rebecca (Baliseka ss) appraised on 15/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

Kizito Robinah Katongole (Gayaza high school) appraised on 21/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred

Ssekaggo Mike (Wampewo Ntakke SS) appraised on 21/12/2022 by Byamukama Alfred

Lwasa Stephen (Kitende ss) appraised on 8/12/2022, by Byamukama Alfred (DCAO)

8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) If all staff in the LG Education department have been appraised against their performance plans score: 2. Else, score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that all staff in the LG Education department have been appraised against their performance plans</p> <p>Ssekamatte Simon inspector of schools appraised on 22/6/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Kabanda Denis inspector of schools appraised on 22/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Nakkazi Mary inspector of schools appraised on 22/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Namirembe Philomena inspector of schools appraised on 22/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Nakabugo Janat Ssali senior education officer appraised on 15/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Namayanja Jane Diana education officer guidance and Counseling appraised on 20/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Ssenyonga Brian Charles Sports officer appraised on 29/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Nanvunamwa Samalie Education Officer (special needs) appraised on 20/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p> <p>Nanyanzi Prim Ritah (Senior inspector of schools) appraised on 29/06/2023 By Kiyingi Fredrick Kinobe</p>	2
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d) The LG has prepared a training plan to address identified staff capacity gaps at the school and LG level, score: 2 Else, score: 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence to show that LG had prepared a training plan to address identified staff capacity gaps at the school and LG level.</p>	0
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG has confirmed in writing the list of schools, their enrolment, and budget allocation in the Programme Budgeting System (PBS) by December 15th annually.</p> <p>If 100% compliance, score:2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>It was evident that on 19/11/2022 before the 15th December annual deadline, the LG had confirmed in writing the list of schools, their enrolment, and budget allocation in the Programme Budgeting System (PBS) by communicating corrections and revision of the school list and enrolment of 89,415 pupils in 167schools.</p>	2
9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG made allocations to inspection and monitoring functions in line with the sector guidelines.</p> <p>If 100% compliance, score:2 else, score: 0</p>	<p>In line with The FY2021/22 education Management Services guidelines: Output 078401: Fixed rate 4.5 million plus 100,000 per school per year. School inspection: Output 078402: Fixed rate 4 million plus 112,000 per school per year the LG was expected to get 59,440,000 for inspection and 21,300,000/=The LG annual sector work plan for the financial year 2022/2023, indicated that the LG education department budgeted and received a total of 73,216,000 UGX and 25,100,000 for inspection and monitoring functions respectively to monitoring the teaching-learning process, sensitization of schools about standard operating procedures and enhancing the functionality of school management committees.</p>	2
9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) Evidence that LG submitted warrants for school's capitation within 5 days for the last 3 quarters</p> <p>If 100% compliance, score: 2 else score: 0</p>	<p>The LG did not submit the warrants for school capitation within 5 working days as below:</p> <p>Quarter 1 current FY: UGX627,135,033 release was on 07/07/2023 and warrant 27/07/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3: UGX 476,080, 333 Release was on 05/01/2023, warrant 19/01/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4: UGX 476,080,333, Release was on 17/04/2023, warrant 27/04/2023.</p>	0

9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has invoiced and the DEO/ MEO has communicated/ publicized capitation releases to schools within three working days of release from MoFPED.</p> <p>If 100% compliance, score: 2 else, score: 0</p>	<p>The LG did not communicate school capitation within 5 working days as below:</p> <p>Quarter 1 UGX627,135,033 release was on 05/07/2022 DEO communicated on 30/08/2022;</p> <p>Quarter 3: UGX 476,080, 333 Release was on 05/01/2023, DEO communicated on 10/01/2023.</p>	0
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG Education department has prepared an inspection plan and meetings conducted to plan for school inspections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% compliance, score: 2, else score: 0 	<p>There was evidence from the DIS that on 16/06/2022 the LG Education department prepared an inspection plan and preparatory meetings were conducted to plan for school inspections. The key activities in the inspection plan included; conducting routine inspections aimed at improving the teaching-learning process and checking on the functionality of school management committees.</p>	2
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Percent of registered UPE schools that have been inspected and monitored, and findings compiled in the DEO/MEO's monitoring report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score: 2 • Between 80 - 99% score 1 • Below 80%: score 0 	<p>On average 82% of all the 230 registered UPE schools had been inspected and monitored at least once per term and reports produced as follows: Term111(2022): 167 out of 167 (100%). Term 1(2023):107 out of 167 (64%) were inspected while in Term 11(2023): 25 out of 167 (15%)</p> <p>Monitoring:(NB: The DEO is expected to inspect at least 10% of the total 167 which is 17 schools) Term111 (2022): 17 out of 17 (100%). Term 1(2023):17 out of 17 (100%) were inspected while in Term 11(2023): 17 out of 17 (100%)</p>	1
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) Evidence that inspection reports have been discussed and used to recommend corrective actions, and that those actions have subsequently been followed-up,</p> <p>Score: 2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>From the departmental meeting held on 21/06/2023 under minute number 04/ED/06/23, it was evidence that inspection reports had been discussed and used to recommend corrective actions and that those actions have subsequently been followed up from the sampled schools there was evidence to show that the DIS made a follow-up on the inspection recommendations, especially the need for schools to develop school improvement plans</p>	2

Routine oversight and monitoring

Maximum 10 points on this performance measure

d) Evidence that the DIS and DEO have presented findings from inspection and monitoring results to respective schools and submitted these reports to the Directorate of Education Standards (DES) in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES): Score 2 or else score: 0

From the letter of acknowledgment from DES dated 15/06/2023, it was evident that the district inspector of the school had submitted reports to the Directorate of Education Standards (DES) in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES). However, there was no evidence from all three sampled schools to show that the copies of the inspection reports from the previous three terms were left behind

Routine oversight and monitoring

Maximum 10 points on this performance measure

e) Evidence that the council committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery issues including inspection and monitoring findings, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports etc. during the previous FY: score 2 or else score: 0

There was evidence that the council committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery issues including inspection and monitoring findings, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports . during the previous FY as follows:.
Quarter 1: Meeting was held on 10/08/2022

Min039/HESS/2022

Discussed the second quarter work plan fy 2022/2023 education

Meeting held on 31/10/2022

Min044/HESS/2022

They considered first evaluation report of quarter one activities, salary of since teachers, functions of schools

Quarter 3

17/11/2022

Min047/HESS/2022; Briefs from development partners running programs in education, Maldmay, comprehensive HIV projects, adults, children , program accesibility for community health education, Save the children, built pit latrines in schools district wide

Adolence health strategy to be implemented in schools

Quarter 4 24/02/2023

Min056/HSESS/2023

Discussed and approved fourth quarter work plan

Quarter 21/06/2023

Min069/HESS

BOG members were approved, for 3 schools, Nambunge community high school, Nagulu Seed school and Wakiso seed school, Min 07 approved first quart work plan for 2023/2024

Meeting held on 26/04/2023
min061/HESSS/2023; approval of annual work plan and budget estimate for FY 2023/2024

The status of land ownership in all government schools , committee of 5 people were tasked to visit schools

11	Mobilization of parents to attract learners <i>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</i>	Evidence that the LG Education department has conducted activities to mobilize, attract and retain children at school, <i>score: 2 or else score: 0</i>	There was evidence that the LG Education department on 14/04/2023 conducted stakeholder workshops at Namayumba, Masulita, and kakiri that included community leaders, parents, and teachers with the aim of mobilizing, attracting, and retaining children at school.	2
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Investment Management

12	Planning and budgeting for investments <i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i>	a) Evidence that there is an up-to-date LG asset register which sets out school facilities and equipment relative to basic standards, <i>score: 2, else score: 0</i>	From the LG education office and from the sampled schools; it was evident that LG had an updated consolidated schools' asset register dated 19/07/2023 that accurately reported the infrastructure in all registered primary schools as shown below: ST ANN Nandangira Girls P/S had 09 teachers' houses; 208 desks; 10 toilet stances and 8 classrooms: Gombe Kayunga P/S had 10 teachers' houses; 17 classrooms; 400 desks and 12 toilet stances while Kyengera P/S had 03 teachers' houses;18 classrooms;252 desks; and 14 toilet stances.	2
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

12	Planning and budgeting for investments <i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i>	b) Evidence that the LG has conducted a desk appraisal for all sector projects in the budget to establish whether the prioritized investment is: (i) derived from the LGDP III; (ii) eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, DDEG). If appraisals were conducted for all projects that were planned in the previous FY, <i>score: 1 or else, score: 0</i>	<p>There was evidence that the LG conducted a desk appraisal for all sector projects in the budget to establish whether the prioritized investment is: (i) derived from the LGDP III; (ii) eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines and funding source .</p> <p>The following were the prioritized investments for education in the previous FY , desk appraisal was on 10/03/2022:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 2 classroom block in 10 schools (Sangala , Kizito kiti, Bugogo, Bakka, Nampuge , Bukondo Chance, Kasamu , watuba UMEA, Kizibwa mixed , Gombe Kayunga UGX 1,2500,200,000 AWP page 18 AWP; 2. Construction of a phased lined pit latrines in 9 School (Jjanyi, Namusera UMEA, KYebando UMEA, Jungu COU, Ziba, Sumbe, Mukabira ,St Charles Lwanga Kawuku, Gayaza CoU UGX 225,000,000 page 18 AWP; and 3. Construction of teaches house with a 2 stance pit latrine in & schools (Bitijombe, Kasangye Cu, Kojja Chance, Kavimba CU, Mabombwe , St Josephine Katade, St Joseph Bukobero) UGX 624,000,000 page 18AWP. 	1
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has conducted field Appraisal for (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environmental and social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs over the previous FY, score 1 else score: 0</p>	<p>The field appraisals for these projects were all done on 20/04/2023</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 2 classroom block in 10 schools (Sangala , Kizito kiti, Bugogo, Bakka, Nampuge , Bukondo Chance, Kasamu , watuba UMEA, Kizibwa mixed , Gombe Kayunga UGX 1,2500,200,000 AWP page 18; 2. Construction of a phased lined pit latrines in 9 School (Jjanyi, Namusera UMEA, KYebando UMEA, Jungu COU, Ziba, Sumbe, Mukabira ,St Charles Lwanga Kawuku, Gayaza CoU UGX 225,000,000 page 18 AWP; and 3. Construction of teaches house with a 2 stance pit latrine in & schools (Bitijombe, Kasangye Cu, Kojja Chance, Kavimba CU, Mabombwe , St Josephine Katade, St Joseph Bukobero) UGX 624,000,000 page 18 AWP. 	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a) If the LG Education department has budgeted for and ensured that planned sector infrastructure projects have been approved and incorporated into the procurement plan, score: 1, else score: 0</p>	<p>No seed secondary school projects were incorporated into the LG procurement plan for the current FY.</p>	0
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) Evidence that the school infrastructure was approved by the Contracts Committee and cleared by the Solicitor General (where above the threshold) before the commencement of construction, score: 1, else score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the education infrastructure projects for the previous FY were approved by the contracts committee as follows;</p> <p>The construction of a 2 class block at Namusera Umea Primary School and Gombe Kayunga Primary School was approved on 14/10/2022 under Min No: 05/DCC/2022-23 with a contract sum of UGX 198,619,000</p> <p>The construction of a 2 class block at St. Kizito Kitti Primary School and Manyangwa Primary School was approved on 14/10/2022 under Min No: 05/DCC/2022-23 with a contract sum of UGX 199,843,620</p>	1

13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	c) Evidence that the LG established a Project Implementation Team (PIT) for school construction projects constructed within the last FY as per the guidelines. <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i>	There was evidence that the LG established a PIT for school construction projects on 12/12/2022 as follows; The PIT for the construction of the 2 class block at Namusera Umea Primary School and Gombe Kayunga Primary School was composed as follows; Town Engineer- Ntambazi Andrew, Inspector of schools - Mwanja George William, District Community Development Officer-Kyejjusa John and Senior Environment Officer-Mpoza Esau The PIT for the construction of the 2 class block at St. Kizito Kitti Primary School and Manyangwa Primary School was composed as follows; Town Engineer-Maweje Hamza, Inspector of schools – Nakkazi Maria Kizito, District Community Development Officer-Kyejjusa John and Senior Environment Officer-Mpoza Esau	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	d) Evidence that the school infrastructure followed the standard technical designs provided by the MoES <i>Score: 1, else, score: 0</i>	Not applicable as there was no seed secondary school for the previous FY.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	e) Evidence that monthly site meetings were conducted for all sector infrastructure projects planned in the previous FY <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i>	Not applicable as there was no seed secondary school for the previous FY.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	f) If there's evidence that during critical stages of construction of planned sector infrastructure projects in the previous FY, at least 1 monthly joint technical supervision involving engineers, environment officers, CDOs etc .., has been conducted <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i>	There was no evidence provided to the assessor at the time of assessment that there was participation of the relevant officers.	0
13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	g) If sector infrastructure projects have been properly executed and payments to contractors made within specified timeframes within the contract, <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i>	There was evidence that LG made payments for sector infrastructure and executed as per contract as follows: Name of project: Supply of 3 seater wooden desks to 12 primary schools Project NO: WAKI555/Supls/2022-2023/00006	1

Contract Sum: UGX93,739,200

Requisition was on 20/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 22/06/2023

CAO signed on 23/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX93,739,200 EFT
6409374;

Name of project: Construction of a 2
classroom block at BT Buwasa Primary
school at Namanyumba and Nakedde

Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2021/22/ 00022-
SLOT 2

Contract Sum: UGX191,192,684

Requisition was on: 13/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 19/06/2023

District Engineer signed on 19/06/2023

CAO signed on 19/06/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed 19/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX4,741,623 EFT
6409789; and

Name of project: Construction of teachers
house with 2 stance latrine pit at Kassajje
COU primary school and Kojja Chance
Primary

Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2022-
2023/00002 Lot -3

Contract Sum: UGX 199,834,180

Requisition was on 12/06/2023

Signed by the DEO on 14/06/2022

District Engineer signed on 14/06/2022

CAO signed on 14/06/2022

Environment/CDO Officer signed 14/06/2022

Payment was on 23/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX62,956,132 EFT
6206595.

13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	h) If the LG Education department timely submitted a procurement plan in accordance with the PPDA requirements to the procurement unit by April 30, <i>score: 1, else, score: 0</i>	The Procurement Plan for the Education sector of the previous FY was submitted after 30th April on 29/06/2022.	0
----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	i) Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each school infrastructure contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law <i>score 1 or else score 0</i>	Not applicable as there was no seed secondary school projects for the previous FY.	1
----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	Grievance redress: LG Education grievances have been recorded, investigated, and responded to in line with the LG grievance redress framework. <i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i>	Evidence that grievances have been recorded, investigated, responded to and recorded in line with the grievance redress framework, <i>score: 3, else score: 0</i>	There was evidence that the LG had recorded, investigated, responded to and redress reported education related grievances as the centralized complaints log as exemplified by complaint ref no. GC/001/07/2022, dated 13/7/2022. Employees at a construction site, Kasangati Moslem PS complained that the contractor had failed to provide PPE, thus endangering the health and safety of employees. The GRC met and advised the CAO to caution the contractor before payment. The CAO wrote to contractor and the contractor complied.	3
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

15	Safeguards for service delivery. <i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i>	Evidence that LG has disseminated the Education guidelines to provide for access to land (without encumbrance), proper siting of schools, 'green' schools, and energy and water conservation <i>Score: 3, or else score: 0</i>	There was no evidence from sampled schools which were; Gombe Kayunga P/S, St Ann Naddangira P/S, and Kyengera P/S to show that LG had disseminated the education guidelines to provide for access to land without encumbrance, proper siting of schools, 'green' schools, and energy and water conservation.	0
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

16	Safeguards in the delivery of investments <i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i>	a) LG has in place a costed ESMP and this is incorporated within the BoQs and contractual documents, <i>score: 2, else score: 0</i>	There was no evidence that Wakiso District LG had Costed ESMP incorporated in BoQs and contractual documents to comply with safeguards requirements within the education sector as no costed ESMPs were available.	0
----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

16	Safeguards in the delivery of investments <i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i>	b) If there is proof of land ownership, access of school construction projects, <i>score: 1, else score:0</i>	There was no evidence that Wakiso District LG had proof of land ownership, access and availability to conduct planned school construction projects as no land titles, agreements, Memoranda of Understanding or consent letters from landowners were provided by the LG. Schools under the management of Wakiso District LG are constructed on land owned by the foundation bodies.	0
16	Safeguards in the delivery of investments <i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i>	c) Evidence that the Environment Officer and CDO conducted support supervision and monitoring (with the technical team) to ascertain compliance with ESMPs including follow up on recommended corrective actions; and prepared monthly monitoring reports, <i>score: 2, else score:0</i>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG conducted consistent monitoring over the previous FY (2022/2023) to ascertain compliance with ESMPs including follow up on recommended corrective actions; and provided monthly monitoring reports as exemplified by the monitoring for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Reports on the construction of 2-classroom blocks at Bugogo P/S Kasanje dated 16/3/2023 and 7/6/2023, signed by SEO and CDO; 2.Reports on construction of teachers house at Kasanje CoU, Kasanje Town Council dated 17/3/2023 and 12/5/2023, signed by SEO and CDO; and 3.Construction of 2 classroom blocks at Makamba P/S dated 20/4/2023 and 4/10/2023. 	2
16	Safeguards in the delivery of investments <i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i>	d) If the E&S certifications were approved and signed by the environmental officer and CDO prior to executing the project contractor payments <i>Score: 1, else score:0</i>	<p>There was evidence that at Wakiso District LG all education contractor payments certificates had been signed off by the Environmental Officer and DCDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the following payment certificates.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Payment certificate for the construction of teachers house Kasanje CoU P/S, dated 23/5/2023 and endorsed on 25/5/2023; 2.Payment certificate for construction of classroom block at Makamba P/S, dated 12/5/2023 and endorsed on 15/5/2023; and 3.Payment certificate for the construction of a classroom block at Nakitokolo, dated 19/4/2023 and endorsed on 24/4/2023. 	1

**Health
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>New_Outcome: The LG has registered higher percentage of the population accessing health care services.</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG registered Increased utilization of Health Care Services (focus on total deliveries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By 20% or more, score 2 • Less than 20%, score 0 	<p>From the 3 sampled health facilities, the deliveries were only 4%, which is below the required 20%.</p> <p>The sampled health facilities were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kasangati HCIV; 2. Nsangi HCIII; and 3. Kakiri HCIII. <p>FY 2021/2022 Deliveries were as follows:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIV 3336;</p> <p>Kakiri HCIII 693; and</p> <p>Nsangi HCIII 1825.</p> <p>Total 5854</p> <p>FY 2022/2023 Deliveries were as follows:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIV 3600;</p> <p>Kakiri HCIII 581; and</p> <p>Nsangi HCIII 1896.</p> <p>Total 6077</p> <p>The percentage change in deliveries were only 4%.</p>	0

2

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the Health LLG performance assessment.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

a. If the average score in Health for LLG performance assessment is:

- 70% and above, score 2
- 50% - 69%, score 1
- Below 50%, score 0

The LLG average performance score in Health was 92% as per the following details:

In the FY 2022/2023 the average score was $1380/15 = 92\%$;

1. Wakiso town council 70%;
2. Wakiso Sub county 100%;
3. Mende Sub county 70%;
4. Kakiri Tc 100%;
5. Kakiri Sub county 100%;
6. Namayumba Town council 100%;
7. Namayumba Sub county 100%;
8. Masulita Sub county 100%;
9. Masulita TC 100%;
10. Kyengera Town Council 100%;
11. Kasanjje Town Council 100%;
12. Bussi Sub county 100%;
13. Katabi Town Council 100%;
14. Kajjansi Town Council 70%; and
15. Kasangati Town Council 70%.

2

2

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the Health LLG performance assessment.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

b. If the average score in the RBF quality facility assessment for HC IIIs and IVs previous FY is:

- 75% and above; score 2
- 65 - 74%; score 1
- Below 65; score 0

Not applicable because LGs are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.

RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.

0

3	Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.	a. If the LG budgeted and spent all the health development grant for the previous FY on eligible activities as per the health grant and budget guidelines, score 2 or else score 0.	<p>The LG budget for Health Development grant was UGX 2,860,491,000-page 17 Quarter 4</p> <p>Spent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of surgical ward at Kajjansi Health center IV UGX 249,000,000 2. Processing land title for Wakiso Health center IV, Watura Health Center III, Bulondo; Health Center III and Mende Health Center III UGX 9,666,279 3. Completion of 2 unite staff house quarter at Kazinzi Kyadondo UGX 46,500,000 4. Renovation of Kmbugo Health Center II UGX20,000,000 5. Construction of Nnagobo Health Center III UGX 860,000,000 6. Construction of staff quarters at Zinga HealthCenter III UGX 260,000,000 7. Construction of Kasonzo Health Center III UGX 260,000,000 8. Construction of Buloba health Center III phase I UGX860,000,000 9. Monitoring of projects work, site meetings UGX 143,024,550 	2
3	Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.	b. If the DHO/MMOH, LG Engineer, Environment Officer and CDO certified works on health projects before the LG made payments to the contractors/ suppliers score 2 or else score 0	<p>There was evidence that the LG DHO, CDO and Environmental officer certified all works for the previous FY as follows:</p> <p>Name of project: Construction of 3-unit staff quarter at Kasoozo Health center III</p> <p>Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2020-2021/00034</p> <p>Contract Sum: UGX 258,841,065</p> <p>Requisition was on 12/06/2023</p> <p>Signed by the DHO on 16/06/2023</p> <p>District Engineer signed on 15/06/2023</p> <p>CAO signed on 16/06/2023</p> <p>Environment Officer signed on 16/06/2023</p> <p>CDO Officer signed 16/06/2023</p> <p>Payment was on 27/06/2023</p> <p>Amount paid was UGX 126,468,513 EFT 6409457</p> <p>Name of project: Upgrade of 44 Health center- Buloba Kitawuzi</p>	2

Project NO: MOH-UgFIT/WRKS/2022-2023/00001-Lot 13

Contract Sum: UGX 887,536,105

Requisition was on 12/06/2023

Signed by the DHO on 14/06/2023

District Engineer signed on 14/06/2023

CAO signed on 14/06/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed
15/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX106,773,307 EFT
6409384 and

Name of project: Construction of surgical
ward at Kajjansi Health Center IV

Project NO: WAKI 555/WRKS/2022-
2023/00013

Contract Sum: UGX277,203,080

Requisition was on 15/05/2023

Signed by the DHO on 15/05/2023

District Engineer signed on 15/05/2023

CAO signed on 15/05/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed
15/05/2023

Payment was on 29/05/2023

Amount paid was UGX197,923,781 EFT
5702132

3	<p>Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If the variations in the contract price of sampled health infrastructure investments are within +/- 20% of the MoWT Engineers estimates, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the variations in priced projects under MoWT for the previous FY were within +/-20% of the Engineers estimate as follows:</p> <p>Project 1: Upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII</p> <p>Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008</p> <p>Contractor: Hanan Contractors Ltd</p> <p>Contract Sum: UGX 887,536,105</p> <p>Estimated Sum: UGX 860,000,000</p> <p>Variation: 3.2%</p> <p>Project 2: Construction of a new HCIII in Kasangati</p> <p>Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008</p> <p>Contractor: Hasso Engineers Company Ltd</p> <p>Contract Sum: UGX 892,285,275</p> <p>Estimated Sum: UGX 860,000,000</p> <p>Variation: 3.75%</p>	2
3	<p>Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the health sector investment projects implemented in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of the FY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100 % Score 2 • Between 80 and 99% score 1 • less than 80 %: Score 0 	<p>The upgrade of Buloba HC II to HCIII as well as the construction of the new HCIII in Kasangati implemented in the previous FY were completed as per the work plan i.e phase I</p> <p>The upgrade of Buloba HC II to HCIII commenced 17/11/2022 and completed on 16/06/2023 while construction of the new HCIII in Kasangati commenced 16/11/2022 and completed on 14/06/2023.</p>	2
4	<p>Achievement of Standards: The LG has met health staffing and infrastructure facility standards</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG has recruited staff for all HCIIIs and HCIVs as per staffing structure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If above 90% score 2 • If 75% - 90%: score 1 • Below 75 %: score 0 	<p>There was evidence that the LG has recruited staff for all HCIIIs and HCIVs as per staffing structure</p> <p>HCIV 91%</p> <p>HC III 91%</p> <p>HCII 91%</p> <p>AVERAGE 91%</p>	2

4	<p>Achievement of Standards: The LG has met health staffing and infrastructure facility standards</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG health infrastructure construction projects meet the approved MoH Facility Infrastructure Designs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100 % score 2 or else score 0 	<p>The storied buildings constructed in the upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII as well as the new HCIII in Kasangati were identical and conformed to the approved MoH designs. Sample checks of external dimensions; 21.8mx14.0m as well as doors; 2.4mx1.0m; 2.4mx2.0m and windows; 1.5mx1.5m; 1.6mx2.2m were done for the ground floor. These all conformed to the MoH approved designs.</p>	2
---	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

5	<p>Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG maintains and reports accurate information</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that information on positions of health workers filled is accurate: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The information on postilion of health workers filled was not accurate. The staff lists at the sampled health facilities had the following staffs:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIV, had 47 staff dated 14/7/2023;</p> <p>Kakiri HCIII, had 20 staff dated 1/7/2023; and</p> <p>Nsangi HCIII, had 23 staff dated 7/7/2023.</p> <p>However, the deployment List had the following staff numbers:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIV, had 45 staff;</p> <p>Kakiri HCIII, had 20 staff; and</p> <p>Nsangi HCIII, had 17 staff.</p> <p>Kasangati staff list had the following staff that are not on the LG deployment list:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mbabazi (MW); 2. Gagayi Joe (Health inspector); and 3. Turyahika Laban (Laboratory Technician) <p>Nsangi staff had the following staff that were not on the deployment list:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Namalwa Joan. M (E/MW); and 2. Namwanga Rosemary (E/N). <p>The LG deployment list had the following staff that were not on the staff list:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ssemakula Joseph; 2. Nabwire Jennifer; and 3. Nanyondo Lillian, who had died. <p>The information on the positions of health workers filled was not accurate.</p>	0
---	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

5	<p>Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG maintains and reports accurate information</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that information on health facilities upgraded or constructed and functional is accurate: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that information on construction of health facilities is accurate as indicated below:</p> <p>Construction of OPD at Nangabo -Mutubaguma HCIII; and Buloba Kitawuluzi HCIII.</p> <p>The information was submitted in the PBS page 133 of 169.</p>	2
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Health facilities prepared and submitted Annual Workplans & budgets to the DHO/MMOH by March 31st of the previous FY as per the LG Planning Guidelines for Health Sector:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence that the facility budgets and work plans of the three sampled facilities were prepared in accordance with the guidelines.</p> <p>The facilities were Kasangati HCIV, Nsangi HCIII and Kakiri HCIII, the dates for submission to DHO were as follows:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIII had no date of submission; Nsangi HCIII on 8/7/2022; and Kakiri HCIII on 3/5/2022.</p> <p>Nsangi and Kakiri health facility work plans and budgets were signed by the in-charge and the chairpersons of the HUM but were submitted late after 31st March 2022.</p>	0
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Health facilities prepared and submitted to the DHO/MMOH Annual Budget Performance Reports for the previous FY by July 15th of the previous FY as per the Budget and Grant Guidelines :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence of budget performances reports for the three sampled facilities, they highlighted the budget performance, bank balances and were signed by the Facility in charge, and the chairman of the HUMC. However, only Kakiri HCIII submitted the budget performance report by July 15th of the current FY, Nsangi HCII and Kasangati HCIV submitted late. The submission dates were as follows:</p> <p>Nsangi HCIII submitted on 24/7/2023; Kasangati HCIV submitted on 28/7/2023; and Kakiri HCIII submitted on 10/7/2023.</p>	0

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.</p>	<p>a) Health facilities have developed and reported on implementation of facility improvement plans that incorporate performance issues identified in monitoring and assessment reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was no evidence that Kasangati HCIV, Nsangi HCIII and Kakiri HCIII developed and implemented Performance improvement plans for FY 2023/2024.</p>	0
<p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>				
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.</p>	<p>d) Evidence that health facilities submitted up to date monthly and quarterly HMIS reports timely (7 days following the end of each month and quarter) If 100%,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • score 2 or else score 0 	<p>There was evidence that the selected health Facilities submitted up to date monthly and quarterly HMIS reports timely (7days following the end of each month and quarter) as indicated below:</p> <p>Kasangati HCIV (HMIS 105) dates of submission:</p> <p>July 6/8/2022; Aug 4/9/2022; Sept 5/10/2022; Oct 4/11/2022; Nov 5/12/2022; Dec 5/1/2023; Jan 7/2/2023; Feb 4/3/2023; March /4/2023; April 4/5/2023; May 5/6/2023; and June 4/7/2023.</p> <p>Kakiri HCIII (HMIS 105) dates of submission:</p> <p>July 5/8/2022; Aug 5/9/2022; Sept 5/10/2022; Oct 5/11/2022; Nov 5/12/2022; Dec 4/1/2023; Jan 4/2//2023; Feb 4/3/2023</p>	2
<p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>				

March 5/4/2023;
April 5/5/2023;
May 5/6/2023; and
June 5/7/2023.

Nsangi HCIII (HMIS 105) dates of submission:

July 5/8/2022;
Aug 5/9/2022;
Sept 5/10/2022;
Oct 5/11/2022;
Nov 5/12/2022;
Dec 3/1/2022;
Jan 6/2/2023;
Feb 4/3/2023;
March 4/4/2023;
April 4/5/2023;
May 6/6/2023; and
June 7/7/2023.

Kasangati HCIV (106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 7/8/2022;
2nd Quarter 6/1/2023;
3rd Quarter 5/4/2023; and
4th Quarter 5/7/2023.

Nsangi HCIII (HMIS 106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 5/10/2022;
2nd Quarter 4/1/2023;
3rd Quarter 6/4/2023; and
4th Quarter 6/7/2023.

Kakiri (HMIS 106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 5/10/2022;
2nd Quarter 4/1/2023;
3rd Quarter 5/4/2023; and
4th Quarter 5/7/2023.

All the monthly and quarterly reports were timely.

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>e) Evidence that Health facilities submitted RBF invoices timely (by 15th of the month following end of the quarter). If 100%, score 2 or else score 0</p> <p>Note: Municipalities submit to districts</p>	<p>Not applicable because LGs are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.</p> <p>RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.</p>	0
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>f) If the LG timely (by end of 3rd week of the month following end of the quarter) verified, compiled and submitted to MOH facility RBF invoices for all RBF Health Facilities, if 100%, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>Not applicable because LGs are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.</p> <p>RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.</p>	0
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>g) If the LG timely (by end of the first month of the following quarter) compiled and submitted all quarterly (4) Budget Performance Reports. If 100%, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not timely compile and submit all the 4 quarterly budget performance reports as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 was submitted on 13/12/2022;</p> <p>Quarter 2 was submitted on 15/02/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3 was submitted on 01/06/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4 was submitted on 16/08/2023.</p>	0

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>h) Evidence that the LG has:</p> <p>i. Developed an approved Performance Improvement Plan for the weakest performing health facilities, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence of that the LG had developed and approved performance improvement plan as per document dated 30/3/2023.</p> <p>There was no evidence of inclusion of weak performing health facilities in PIP.</p>	0
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Implemented Performance Improvement Plan for weakest performing facilities, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence of implementation of performance improvement plan for weakest facilities.</p>	0
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Human Resource Management and Development

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has:</p> <p>i. Budgeted for health workers as per guidelines/in accordance with the staffing norms score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG budgeted for the health workers in accordance with the staffing norms.</p> <p>Total staff were 609 representing 92% of staff requirement.</p> <p>The budget for salary was UGX: 8,822,480,000 Page 31 of 91 of the District budget.</p>	2
---	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has: ii. Deployed health workers as per guidelines (all the health facilities to have at least 75% of staff required) in accordance with the staffing norms score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG deployed health workers as per guidelines. All the health facilities had at least 75% staffing. The overall District staffing was at 92%. The summary of the health facility staffing was as follows: Health center IVs had 96%; Health center IIIs had 89%; and Health center IIs had 83%.</p>	2
7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p>	<p>b) Evidence that health workers are working in health facilities where they are deployed, score 3 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was Evidence that health workers were working in facilities where they were deployed as per health staff list for FY 2023/2024 dated 6/10/2023. The staff lists had the following number of staff: Kakiri HCIII had 20; Nsangi HCIII had 17; and Kasangati HCIV had 45. From the health facility supervision reports, there was evidence that the health workers were working according to their deployment.</p>	3
7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has publicized health workers deployment and disseminated by, among others, posting on facility notice boards, for the current FY score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the of list of health workers deployed was displayed on the facility notice board as follows: Kasangati HCIV, displayed on 14/7/2023 with 47 staff; Kakiri HCIII, displayed on 1/7/2023 with 20 staff; and Nsangi HCIII, displayed on 7/7/2023 with 23 staff.</p>	2
<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>		

Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

a) Evidence that the DHO/MMOHs has:

i. Conducted annual performance appraisal of all Health facility In-charges against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

There was evidence that the LG conducted annual performance appraisal of all Health facility In-charges against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY

Nassanga Josephine (Kiziba HCIII) appraised by Lubega Robert on 22/6/2023

Arotin Babra (Nsaggu HCII) appraised by Lutalo Umar on 14/6/2023

Nakantu Sarah (Wattuba HCIII) appraised by Nakyazze Harreit on 19/6/2023

Kalyango Frank (Bulondo HCIII) appraised by Nakintu Prossy on 22/6/2023

Muganga Grace (Kasoozo HCIII) appraised By Mabira Richard on 15/6/2023

Mugasa Bosco (Mende HCIII) appraised by Nakintu Prossy on 22/6/2023

Birungi Christine (Lubbe HCIII) appraised by Mabira Richard on 19/6/2023

Najjingo Lukia (Kibujjo HCII) appraised by Ssewanyana Joel on 21/6/2023

Musoke Paul (Namayumba Epi Centre) appraised by Bulwa Simon on 15/6/2023

Katongole Stephen (Lugungudde HCII) appraised by Nakyeyune SYLVIA on 23/6/2023

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Ensured that Health Facility In-charges conducted performance appraisal of all health facility workers against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy through DHO/MMOH to HRO during the previous FY score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the Health Facility In-charges conducted performance appraisal of all health facility workers against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy through DHO/MMOH to HRO during the previous FY</p> <p>Mbayi Yunus Adam senior clinical officer appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30/06/2023</p> <p>Namutosi Juliet enrolled Nurse appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30/06/2023</p> <p>Namusobya Perina Health information assistant appraised by Mubiru Michael on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Naigino Racheal assistant Entomological officer appraised by 30/06/2023</p> <p>Namukaabya Victoria porter appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Nabakooza Rose Stores assistant appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Bonabaana Hilda Liza enrolled Nurse appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Nabirye Ruth senior nursing officer appraised by Mubiri Micheal on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Nantume Marsy Nursing officer appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Nabanoba Agnes porter appraised by Mubiru Micheal on 30.06.2023</p>	1
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>iii. Taken corrective actions based on the appraisal reports, score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There were no corrective actions recommended for action</p>	2
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG:</p> <p>i. conducted training of health workers (Continuous Professional Development) in accordance to the training plans at District/MC level, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence of the district training plan.</p>	0

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Documented training activities in the training/CPD database, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence of documented training activities as indicated below;</p> <p>Training in infection prevention and control dated 13/4/2023;</p> <p>Training in occupation health and safety dated 20/7/2022;</p> <p>Commodity management training dated 29/3/2023.</p>	1
---	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the CAO/Town Clerk confirmed the list of Health facilities (GoU and PNFP receiving PHC NWR grants) and notified the MOH in writing by September 30th if a health facility had been listed incorrectly or missed in the previous FY, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the CAO confirmed the list of health facilities receiving PHC NWR grant and notified the MOH in writing as per letter dated 5/10/2022.</p> <p>The list is composed of 49 health facilities in the following categories:</p> <p>Government Health facilities were 38; and PNFPs Health facilities were 11.</p> <p>There were 4 HCIVs (Government);</p> <p>19 HCIIIs (all government); and 16 HCIIIs (15 government and 1PNFP) and 1 PNFP hospital.</p>	2
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG made allocations towards monitoring service delivery and management of District health services in line with the health sector grant guidelines (15% of the PHC NWR Grant for LLHF allocation made for DHO/MMOH), score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The health budget for PHC non-wage UGX140,519,039 -page 17 Quarter 4 and Health monitoring UGX 37,990,000-page 55 annual performance report. This represented</p> <p>27%</p>	2
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>c. If the LG made timely warranting/verification of direct grant transfers to health facilities for the last FY, in accordance to the requirements of the budget score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not submit the warrants for school capitation within 5 working days as below:</p> <p>Quarter 1 current FY: UGX627,135,033 release was on 07/07/2023 and warrant 27/07/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3: UGX 476,080, 333 Release was on 05/01/2023, warrant 19/01/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4: UGX 476,080,333, Release was on 17/04/2023, warrant 27/04/2023.</p>	0
<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>				
9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>d. If the LG invoiced and communicated all PHC NWR Grant transfers for the previous FY to health facilities within 5 working days from the day of receipt of the funds release in each quarter, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not communicate to the LLG within 5 working days as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Cash limit 28/07/2022, warranted was on 10/08/2023 UGX 282,030,631; after 5 days;</p> <p>Quarter 2: cash limit 7/10/2022 warrant 31/10/2022 UGX791,331,729; after 18 days;</p> <p>Quarter 3 cash limit 5/01/2023, warranted 19/01/2023; UGX 527,554,586, after 9days and</p> <p>Quarter 4 cash limit 17/04/2023, warrant on 27/04/2023 UGX 527,554,585, after 8days.</p>	0
<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>				
9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>e. Evidence that the LG has publicized all the quarterly financial releases to all health facilities within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the expenditure limits from MoFPED- e.g. through posting on public notice boards: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG publicized all the quarterly financial releases after 5 working days as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Release was on 28/7/2022 of UGX 264,665,751 and publicized on 25/8//2022 ,this was after 20 working days;</p> <p>Quarter 2 Release was on 7/10/2022 of UGX 738,437,089 and publicized on 2/11/2022,this was after 18 working days;</p> <p>Quarter 3 Release was on 5/1//2023 of UGX 492,424,726 and. publicized on 1/2/2023, this was after 18 working days;</p> <p>Quarter 4 Release was on 17/4//2023 of UGX 492,424,726 and. publicized on 12/5/2023, this was after 22 days.</p> <p>The LG did not publicize all the quarterly releases within 5 working days.</p>	0
<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>				

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG health department implemented action(s) recommended by the DHMT Quarterly performance review meeting (s) held during the previous FY, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that The LG held quarterly performance review meetings as follow:</p> <p>1st Quarter on 31/10/2022; 2nd Quarter on 9/12/2022; 3rd Quarter on 15/1/2023; and 4th Quarter on 28/7/2023.</p> <p>There was no evidence of implementation of actions recommended by DHMT.</p>	0
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. If the LG quarterly performance review meetings involve all health facilities in charges, implementing partners, DHMTs, key LG departments e.g. WASH, Community Development, Education department, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG quarterly performance review meetings involve all facility in-charges implementing partners, DHMT and Key LG departments.</p> <p>Meetings included the Health In charge, DHMT members, and implementing partners as shown on the attendance lists of the following minutes:</p> <p>1st quarter had 28 in-charges, 10 DHMTs, and 2 IPs;</p> <p>2nd quarter had 11 in-charges, 6 DHMTs, and 4 IPs;</p> <p>3rd quarter had 28 in-charges, 10 DHMTs, and 2 IPs; and</p> <p>4th quarter had 23 in-charges, 4 DHMTs, and 1 IPs;</p>	1
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If the LG supervised 100% of HC IVs and General hospitals (including PNFPs receiving PHC grant) at least once every quarter in the previous FY (where applicable) : score 1 or else, score 0</p> <p>If not applicable, provide the score</p>	<p>There was no evidence that LG carried out quarterly supervision of all the HCIV and General Hospitals (including PNFPs receiving PHC grant. The supervision reports available were for only two quarters as shown below:</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 9/2/2023; and 4th Quarter on 17/7/2023.</p> <p>There was no evidence that the LG supervised HCIVs and Hospitals in the 1st and 3rd quarter.</p>	0

10 Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.

Maximum 7 points on this performance measure

d. Evidence that DHT/MHT ensured that Health Sub Districts (HSDs) carried out support supervision of lower level health facilities within the previous FY (where applicable), score 1 or else score 0

- If not applicable, provide the score

There was no evidence that the DHT ensured that HSDs carried out all the quarterly support supervision of the lower-level health facilities.

Kasangati HCIV and Kajjansi HCIV carried out supervisions as indicated by the dates below:

Kyadondo East south HSD (Kasangati HCIV)

1st Quarter on 10/10/2022:

2nd Quarter on 5/1/2023;

3rd Quarter on 28/3 2023; and

4th quarter on 30/6/2023.

Busiro South HSD (Kajjansi HCIV)

1st Quarter on 10/9/2022:

2nd Quarter on 30/12/2022;

3rd Quarter on 12/3 2023; and

4th Quarter on 6/7/2023.

Busiro North HSD(Namayumba HCIV)

1st Quarter not dated;

2nd Quarter not dated ;

3rd Quarter notdated; and

4th Quarter not dated.

However, there was no evidence that Busiro East HSD(Wakiso HCIV) carried out support supervision

All the four reports for Busiro North HSD (Namayumba HCIV) were not dated.

10 Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.

Maximum 7 points on this performance measure

e. Evidence that the LG used results/reports from discussion of the support supervision and monitoring visits, to make recommendations for specific corrective actions and that implementation of these were followed up during the previous FY, score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that LG used the reports from discussion of the support supervision and monitoring visits to make specific corrective actions as indicated below:

From the support supervision report for 2nd Quarter dated 5/1/2023 for Kasangati HCIV, it was noted that EPI activities were done only twice by most of the facilities. It was recommended that health facilities should carry out daily immunization.

The corrective measure was taken during the in-charges meeting held on 7/2/2023, it was resolved that all health facilities should immunize on daily basis.

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>f. Evidence that the LG provided support to all health facilities in the management of medicines and health supplies, during the previous FY: score 1 or else, score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG provided support to all health facilities in the management of medicines and health supplies as shown in the following reports:</p> <p>1st Quarter (Joint support supervision of logistics and supply chain) dated 16/9/2022:</p> <p>2nd Quarter (Credit line drugs monitoring and medicines management supervision) dated 20/12/2022;</p> <p>3rd Quarter (Logistics mentorship and coaching) dated 23/3/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter (Medicines management support supervision and mentorship) dated 16/4/2023.</p>	1
11	<p>Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG allocated at least 30% of District / Municipal Health Office budget to health promotion and prevention activities, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Health promotion and prevention activities budget was UGX 42,155,712</p> <p>The Health budget DHO UGX 140,519,039</p> <p>The LG allocated 30% on health promotion and prevention activities</p>	2
11	<p>Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence of DHT/MHT led health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities as per ToRs for DHTs, during the previous FY score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that DHT led health promotion and social mobilization activities as indicated by the following reports:</p> <p>1st quarter dated 8/10/2022 and 30/9/2022;</p> <p>2nd quarter dated 4/1/2023;</p> <p>3rd quarter dated 12/4/2023;</p> <p>4th quarter dated 30/6/2023.</p>	1

11	Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities	c. Evidence of follow-up actions taken by the DHT/MHT on health promotion and disease prevention issues in their minutes and reports: score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence of follow-up actions taken by the DHT on health promotion and disease prevention issues.	1
	Maximum 4 points on this performance measure		During the supervision of the VHT activities. It was noted that the VHTs lacked PPEs in the report dated 30/9/2022 page 4.	
			The action was taken in the DHT meeting held on 24/4 2023, Min DHT/04/24/04/2023 page 3. Through engagement with MOH and partners Wakiso received PPEs.	
			During the DHT meeting held on 5/8/2022, it was recommended that the facility in-charges should plan and budget for IPC supplies and facilities e.g. waste management, water supply and soap.	
			Action was taken by the district inspectorate staff to follow the procurement and supervision of health facilities as indicated in the IPC supervision report dated 12/4/2023.	

Investment Management

12	Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.	a. Evidence that the LG has an updated Asset register which sets out health facilities and equipment relative to basic standards: Score 1 or else 0	The available assets register does not conform to the required standard. The asset register has health facilities with the equipment, vehicles motorcycles, land, furniture and fitting, ICT equipment. However, Not all buildings for facilities were included.	0
	Maximum 4 points on this performance measure			
12	Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.	b. Evidence that the prioritized investments in the health sector for the previous FY were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDPIII); (ii) desk appraisal by the LG; and (iii) eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG));	There was evidence that the prioritized investments in the health sector for the previous FY were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDPIII) as follows: 10/03/2022 1. Construction of general surgical ward at Kajjansi Health CenterIV UGX 300,000,000 page 16 AWP 2. Construction of staff quarter at Bulondo Health III UGX 100,000,000 page 16 of AWP 3. Construction of fence at Kasozo and Nakitokolo Health Center III UGX 50,000,000 page 16 AWP	1
	Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	score 1 or else score 0		

12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that the LG has conducted field Appraisal to check for: (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environment and social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs to site conditions: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The field appraisals were carried for the prioritized projects on 22/04/2022 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of general surgical ward at Kajjansi Health CenterIV UGX 300,000,000 page 16 AWP 2. Construction of staff quarter at Bulondo Health III UGX 100,000,000 page 16 of AWP 3. Construction of fence at Kasozo and Nakitokolo Health Center III UGX 50,000,000-page 16 AWP 	1
12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the health facility investments were screened for environmental and social risks and mitigation measures put in place before being approved for construction using the checklist: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that all health infrastructure projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) complied with risk mitigation plans as exemplified by the ESMPs for the following projects signed by the SEO and PCDO</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ESMPs of the construction of Buloba-Kitawuluzi, Buloba village, Buloba parish, Wakiso sub-county, dated 14/10/2022 and estimated at UGX 3,000,000/=; 2. ESMPs construction of New Mutuba 1, Nangabo HC III, Nangabo ward, Kasangati Town Council, dated 18/10/22, and estimated at UGX 3,000,000/=; and 3. Construction of a 6-unit staff quarter at Zzinga HC III, Zzinga village, Zzinga parish, Bussi sub-county, dated 11/10/2022 and estimated at UGX 2,500,000/=. 	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG health department timely (by April 30 for the current FY) submitted all its infrastructure and other procurement requests to PDU for incorporation into the approved LG annual work plan, budget and procurement plans: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The Procurement Plan for the Health sector for the current FY was submitted on 07/04/2023.</p>	1

13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	b. If the LG Health department submitted procurement request form (Form PP1) to the PDU by 1st Quarter of the current FY: score 1 or else, score 0	The LG Health department submitted the procurement request form by 1st Quarter of the current year on 07/04/2023.	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure			
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	c. Evidence that the health infrastructure investments for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee and cleared by the Solicitor General (where above the threshold), before commencement of construction: score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that the health infrastructure projects for the previous FY were approved by the contracts committee as follows: Contracts Committee: 28/09/2022 Min No: 004/DCC/2022-23 Solicitor General Letter: 15/11/2022	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure			
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	d. Evidence that the LG properly established a Project Implementation team for all health projects composed of: (i) : score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that a project implementation team for health projects was appointed as follows: For the upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII; the Project manager, Environment officer, CDO and Contract Manager were appointed on 30/11/2022 while clerk of works was appointed on 10/08/2022 For the construction of the new HCIII in Kasanagati; the Project manager, Environment officer, CDO and Contract Manager were appointed on 12/12/2022 while the clerk of works was appointed on 10/08/2022	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure	If there is no project, provide the score		

13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	e. Evidence that the health infrastructure followed the standard technical designs provided by the MoH: score 1 or else score 0	The upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII and the construction of the new HCIII in Kasangati involved construction of the reinforced concrete frame up to the first floor level and masonry infill in the frame. The dimensions of the concrete structural members conformed to the designs and the concrete structural members were in good condition i.e no cracks and no visible defects. The setting out of the structure also conformed to the designs. The reinforced concrete structural members measured as follows; beams measured 450x200mm; columns measured 250x250mm and the slab measured 200mm thick.	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure	If there is no project, provide the score	Sample checks of external dimensions (21.8m x 14.0m) as well as doors (2.4m x 1.0m; 2.4m x 2.0m) and windows (1.5m x1.5m; 1.6m x 2.2m) were done for the ground floor. These all conformed to the MoH approved designs.	
			According to the approved designs, the buildings were supposed to have 3 levels i.e. ground floor, first floor, second floor and the roof but the construction stalled on the first floor level with its columns and masonry infill.	
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	f. Evidence that the Clerk of Works maintains daily records that are consolidated weekly to the District Engineer in copy to the DHO, for each health infrastructure project: score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence of site supervision reports for the upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII dated; 04/04/2023, 16/05/2023 and 14/06/2023.	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure	If there is no project, provide the score	There was evidence of site supervision reports for the construction of the new HCIII in Kasangati dated; 23/03/2023 and 05/05/2023	

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>g. Evidence that the LG held monthly site meetings by project site committee: chaired by the CAO/Town Clerk and comprised of the Sub-county Chief (SAS), the designated contract and project managers, chairperson of the HUMC, in-charge for beneficiary facility , the Community Development and Environmental officers: score 1 or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>There was evidence of only one site meeting held on 01/06/2023 for the upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII</p>	0
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>h. Evidence that the LG carried out technical supervision of works at all health infrastructure projects at least monthly, by the relevant officers including the Engineers, Environment officers, CDOs, at critical stages of construction: score 1, or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG carried out technical supervision by the relevant officers according to the site supervision reports dated as follows;</p> <p>There was evidence of site supervision reports for the upgrade of Buloba HCII to HCIII dated; 04/04/2023, 16/05/2023 and 14/06/2023.</p> <p>There was evidence of site supervision reports for the construction of the new HCIII in Kasangati dated; 23/03/2023 and 05/05/2023.</p>	1

Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines

Maximum 10 points on this performance measure

i. Evidence that the DHO/MMOH verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes (within 2 weeks or 10 working days), score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that payment requests were certified and initiated on time, within 10 working days as follows:

Name of project: Construction of 3-unit staff quarter at Kasoozo Health center III

Project NO: WAKI555/WRKS/2020-2021/00034

Contract Sum: UGX 258,841,065

Requisition was on 12/06/2023

Signed by the DHO on 16/06/2023

District Engineer signed on 15/06/2023

CAO signed on 16/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX 126,468,513 EFT 6409457

Name of project: Upgrade of 44 Health center- Buloba Kitawuzi

Project NO: MOH-UgFIT/WRKS/2022-2023/00001-Lot 13

Contract Sum: UGX 887,536,105

Requisition was on 12/06/2023

Signed by the DHO on 14/06/2023

District Engineer signed on 14/06/2023

CAO signed on 14/06/2023

Payment was on 27/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX106,773,307 EFT 6409384 and

Name of project: Construction of surgical ward at Kajjansi Health Center IV

Project NO: WAKI 555/WRKS/2022-2023/00013

Contract Sum: UGX277,203,080

Requisition was on 15/05/2023

Signed by the DHO on 15/05/2023

District Engineer signed on 15/05/2023

CAO signed on 15/05/2023

Payment was on 29/05/2023

Amount paid was UGX197,923,781 EFT 570213

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>j. Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each health infrastructure contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG has a complete procurement file for health projects as follows:</p> <p>File Name: Upgrade Buloba HCII to HCIII</p> <p>Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008</p> <p>Contract signed: 17/11/2022</p> <p>Contractor: Hanan Contractors Ltd</p> <p>Evaluation report: 26/09/2022</p> <p>Contracts Committee:28/09/2022</p> <p>Min No: 004/DCC/2022-23</p> <p>File Name: Construction of a new HCIII in Kasangati</p> <p>Contract No: MOH-Ugift/wrks/2023-24/0008</p> <p>Contract signed: 16/11/2022</p> <p>Contractor: Hasso Engineers Company Ltd</p> <p>Evaluation report: 26/09/2022</p> <p>Contracts Committee:28/09/2022</p> <p>Min No: 004/DCC/2022-23</p>	1
----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing health sector grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the Local Government has recorded, investigated, responded and reported in line with the LG grievance redress framework score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Rwabutiti Paul, an employee of Moserana Construction Co Ltd at the construction works, Nyakitokolo HC III, lodged a complaint ref. no. GC/004/12/2022, dated 7/12/2022 that the workers had not been paid for over 3 months. The committee met, minutes were forwarded to CAO for action. Employees were paid the salary arrears.</p>	2
15	<p>Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG has disseminated guidelines on health care / medical waste management to health facilities : score 2 points or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG had issued and disseminated guidelines on health care / medical waste management to health facilities and that there was follow up on the implementation of health care management guidelines as exemplified by a letter dated 10/9/2022, addressed to all health facility in-charges, titled: Distribution of PHC & Health care waste management Guidelines, 2022/2023, endorsed by the District Health Officer. 56 health care workers acknowledged receipt of the guidelines between 25/8/2022 to 29/9/2022.</p>	2

15	Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery	b. Evidence that the LG has in place a functional system for Medical waste management or central infrastructures for managing medical waste (either an incinerator or Registered waste management service provider): score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that Wakiso District LG had a functional system/central infrastructures with equipment for medical waste management and had a dedicated/operational budget for health care waste management as exemplified by Kajjansi HC III and Wakiso HC IV.	2
	Maximum 5 points on this performance measure		For example the following were available at Wakiso HC IV.	
			1.A placenta pit, concentrator and Ash pit.	
			2.The system is comprised of medical waste generation, segregation, transfer of waste to waste pit / incinerator. Waste bins with bin liners are available;	
			3.A registered waste management services provider - Green label Ltd, as evidenced by HCWM waste transfer form, serial no. 10239 dated 14/11/2023 and signed by Senior Nursing Officer. The company, collects, transports and deposits the hazardous waste for further management.	
			4.An annual comprehensive work plan and budget for the FY 2023-2024, approved by the Chairperson HUMC page 99 of 107: Waste management at he health facility, purchase of IPC materials, cleaning services.	
			At Kajjansi HC III , a functional system for medical waste management was available. The health facility had a registered waste management service provider - Green label Ltd, as evidenced by the HCWM waste transfer form, serial no. 15389, dated 13/11/2023 and signed by Records Officer.	
15	Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery	c. Evidence that the LG has conducted training (s) and created awareness in healthcare waste management score 1 or else score 0	There was no evidence that Wakiso District LG had conducted training and created awareness in health care waste management as training records on health care waste management were not available.	0
	Maximum 5 points on this performance measure			

16	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that a costed ESMP was incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for health infrastructure projects of the previous FY: score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG had Costed ESMPs and Environment Social Health and Safety safeguards incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for health infrastructure projects of the previous FY (2022/2023) as exemplified by the ESMPs and BoQs for the following projects.</p> <p>1.The ESMPs of the construction of 19 Health Centres in selected local governments across Uganda including Kasoozo HC III were incorporated in the BOQs, Item 3: Environment and Social safeguards, UGX 5,000,000, SEO and DCDO; and</p> <p>2.The ESMPs of the construction of 19 Health Centres in selected local governments across Uganda including Kasangati HC III were incorporated in the BOQs, Item 3: Environment and Social safeguards, UGX 5,000,000, SEO and DCDO.</p>
16	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that all health sector projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of ownership, access and availability (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances: score 2 or else, score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence to ascertain that health construction projects in Wakiso District LG were implemented on land where the LG had proof of ownership as exemplified by the following land title and letter of consent.</p> <p>1.Banda H/C III, Mende sub county, located at Block 256, Plot 1178, Busiro County, Wakiso district LG, on Private Mailo land, measuring 0.4040 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 29/7/2020; and</p> <p>2.Kasoozo H/C III, Kakiri sub county, located at Block 161, Plot 76, Busiro County, Wakiso district LG, on Private Mailo land, measuring 0.2780 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 29/7/2022.</p> <p>3.Mende H/C III, Mende sub county, located at Block 237, Plot 382, Busiro County, Wakiso district LG, on Private Mailo land, measuring 0.404 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 29/7/2022.</p>

16	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that the LG Environment Officer and CDO conducted support supervision and monitoring of health projects to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports: score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG carried out consistent monitoring and engagement through the contract period of as health projects of the previous FY (2022/2023) as exemplified by monitoring reports for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of Buloba Kawuluzi HC III, dated 10/3/2023 and 1/6/2023, signed by the SEO and DCDO; 2. Construction of general ward, Kajjansi HC IV, dated 16/3/2023 and 15/5/2023, signed by the SEO and DCDO; and 3. Construction of New Mutuba 1, Nangabo HC III, dated 14/4/2023 and 22/5/2023, signed by the SEO and DCDO. 	2
16	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that Environment and Social Certification forms were completed and signed by the LG Environment Officer and CDO, prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of all health infrastructure projects score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG contractor payment certificates as health projects of the previous FY (2022/2023) were signed off by the Environment Officer and CDO, prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates advice as exemplified by the contractor payment certificates for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of Buloba Kawuluzi HC III, dated 14/6/2023 and signed 15/6/2023; and 2. The construction of New Mutuba 1, Nangabo HC III, dated 5/5/2023 and signed on 11/5/2023. 	2

**Water &
Environment
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Water & Environment Outcomes: The LG has registered high functionality of water sources and management committees</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. % of rural water sources that are functional.</p> <p>If the district rural water source functionality as per the sector MIS is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o 90 - 100%: score 2 o 80-89%: score 1 o Below 80%: 0 	<p>According to MWE MIS as at end of FY22/23, Wakiso registered 75% functionality of rural water sources. This is below 80%, hence, score = 0.</p> <p>NB. The data at the LG differs from that at MWE.</p>	0
1	<p>Water & Environment Outcomes: The LG has registered high functionality of water sources and management committees</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. % of facilities with functional water & sanitation committees (documented water user fee collection records and utilization with the approval of the WSCs). If the district WSS facilities that have functional WSCs is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o 90 - 100%: score 2 o 80-89%: score 1 o Below 80%: 0 	<p>According to MWE MIS for FY22/23, the functionality of WSCs was 92%. This is above 90% hence score =2.</p>	2
2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment</p> <p><i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. The LG average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment for the current. FY. If LG average scores is;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Above 80%, score 2 • 60% - 80%, score 1 • Below 60%, score 0 	<p>LG average score was 67%.</p> <p>This is in range 60 - 80%. Hence, score =1</p>	1

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b. % of budgeted water projects implemented in the sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average in the previous FY.

o If 100 % of water projects are implemented in the targeted S/Cs: Score 2

o If 80-99%: Score 1

o If below 80 %: Score 0

Using FY22/23, 4th Quarter report dated 14/7/2023, it was established that District Coverage was 34% and the subcounties with coverage below district average were as follows:

Nsangi - 23%, Wakiso - 23%, Bussi - 24% and Nangabo - 33%. Katabi - 33%.

Construction projects in FY22/23 were:

- Construction of Bussi Piped water supply scheme (phase2 &3) in Bussi (24%) at UGX987,105,841/-. Hence, 100%.
- Rehabilitation of 04no. hand-pump boreholes in various subcounties, at a total cost of UGX32,184,000/-. Out of these, 2no. boreholes were in Wakiso subcounty at a cost of UGX16,092,000/-. Thus, $UGX16,092,000 / UGX32,184,000 = 50\%$;
- Borehole siting and Supervision of drilling contractor for 10no. boreholes at a cost of UGX70,251,300/-. Out of these, 7no. boreholes were in Kyengera, Bussi and Wakiso subcounties. Hence, 70%.

Therefore, total projects implemented in subcounties with coverage below district average costed UGX(987,105,841 + 16,092,000 + 49,175,910) out of a total budget of UGX(987,105,841+32,184,000 + 70,251,300). Thus, $UGX1,052,373,751 / UGX1,089,541,141 = 97\%$.

This is in the range 80% - 99%, hence score = 1.

2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If variations in the contract price of sampled WSS infrastructure investments for the previous FY are within +/- 20% of engineer's estimates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If within +/-20% score 2 o If not score 0 	<p>From contract files of water supply schemes, awards were made and contracts implemented in FY22/23 as follows (contract price / engineer's estimate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of Bussi Piped water supply scheme (phase3) at UGX433,014,165/- against Engineer's estimate of UGX536,200,000/-. Hence, 80.6%. • Rehabilitation of 04no. hand-pump boreholes in various subcounties, at a total cost of UGX32,184,000/- against Engineer's estimate of UGX32,000,000/-. Hence, 100.6%. • Borehole siting and Supervision of drilling contractor for 10no. boreholes at a cost of UGX70,251,300/- against Engineer's estimate of UGX75,000,000/-. Hence, 94%. <p>Thus, all these projects were within the 80% - 120% range; hence, score = 2.</p>	2
2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. % of WSS infrastructure projects completed as per annual work plan by end of FY.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If 100% projects completed: score 2 o If 80-99% projects completed: score 1 o If projects completed are below 80%: 0 	<p>All projects were fully completed by end of FY22/23, hence score =2.</p> <p>The projects were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of 1no. Piped water supply scheme (Phase 2 &3) in Bussi: started on 18/5/23 (as continuing works from FY21/22) and ended on 15/6/23. • Rehabilitation of 04no. hand-pump boreholes in various subcounties: started on 17/5/23 and ended on 14/6/23. • Borehole siting and Supervision of drilling contractor for 10no. boreholes started on 15/02/23 and ended on 14/6/23. <p>All these projects were completed as per workplan by end of FY22/23.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2
3	<p>New_Achievement of Standards:</p> <p>The LG has met WSS infrastructure facility standards</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If there is an increase in the % of water supply facilities that are functioning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If there is an increase: score 2 o If no increase: score 0. 	<p>According to MWE MIS FY22/23, functionality was 75% while that for FY21/22 was 84%.</p> <p>Thus, functionality decreased by 9%. Hence score = 0.</p> <p>NB. The LG data differs from that at MWE: functionality for FY21/22 was 84% whereas that for FY22/23 was 86%.</p>	0

3	<p>New_Achievement of Standards:</p> <p>The LG has met WSS infrastructure facility standards</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. If there is an Increase in % of facilities with functional water & sanitation committees (with documented water user fee collection records and utilization with the approval of the WSCs).</p> <p>o If increase is more than 1% score 2</p> <p>o If increase is between 0-1%, score 1</p> <p>o If there is no increase : score 0.</p>	<p>According to MWE MIS FY21/22, functionality of WSCs was 86% while that for FY22/23 was 92%.</p> <p>There was increase by 6% of water supply facilities with functional WSCs. Hence score =2.</p> <p>NB. The LG data differs from that at MWE.</p>	2
---	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

4	<p>Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG has accurately reported on constructed WSS infrastructure projects and service performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>The DWO has accurately reported on WSS facilities constructed in the previous FY and performance of the facilities is as reported: Score: 3</p>	<p>There was evidence that DWO accurately reported on WSS facilities constructed in FY22/23 as per annual Report dated 14/7/23.</p> <p>A field visit on 13/11/23 was made to the following three WSS facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bussi Island piped water supply system in Bussi subcounty; • Muyenje borehole in Wakiso subcounty; • Kakooge borehole in Kakiri subcounty. <p>The three sampled facilities were completed as planned, are functioning properly, their water and sanitation committees are in place and functional.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3
5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG Water Office collects and compiles quarterly information on sub-county water supply and sanitation, functionality of facilities and WSCs, safe water collection and storage and community involvement): Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that that the LG Water Office, using data capture forms, collects and compiles quarterly information on sub-county water supply and sanitation facilities, functionality of facilities and WSCs by way of Quarterly reports availed in hard copy for 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th quarter / annual report for FY22/23 dated 10/10/22, 13/01/23, 24/4/23 and 14/7/23.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2

5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG Water Office updates the MIS (WSS data) quarterly with water supply and sanitation information (new facilities, population served, functionality of WSCs and WSS facilities, etc.) and uses compiled information for planning purposes: Score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that quarterly Reports and data capture forms for new facilities (1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th quarter / annual report for FY22/23 dated 10/10/22, 13/01/23, 24/4/23 and 14/7/23 respectively) were availed and these show new facilities constructed with population served, functionality of facilities and WSCs.</p> <p>Accordingly, this information was used to plan for FY23/24. For example, Bussi, Wakiso and Kyengera subcounties had the lowest WS coverage at 24% and below. Out of the LG development budget for FY23/24 of UGX907,000,000/-, these subcounties were planned to access UGX877,000,000/- as follows: Construction of Bussi piped WSS (phase3) at UGX747,094,000/-; construction of 2no. production boreholes for piped water schemes in Kyengera and Wakiso subcounties at UGX100,000,000/-; construction of 1no. hand-pump borehole in Kyengera at UGX30,000,000/-. Thus, UGX877,000,000/- out of UGX907,000,000/- = 97%.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3
5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. Evidence that DWO has supported the 25% lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY LLG assessment to develop and implement performance improvement plans: Score 2 or else 0</p> <p><i>Note: Only applicable from the assessment where there has been a previous assessment of the LLGs' performance. In case there is no previous assessment score 0.</i></p>	<p>According to LLG assessment report, the lowest performing LLGs were as follows: Masuulita – Namayumba, Mende and Kakiri. This assessment was based on Environment and Social safeguards.</p> <p>However, according to DWO Annual Report for FY22/23, the lowest performing LLGs, with respect to safe water coverage, were as follows: Nsangi – 23%, Wakiso – 23%, Bussi – 24% and Nangabo – 33%.</p> <p>Thus, out of the LG development budget for FY23/24 of UGX907,000,000/-, these subcounties were planned to access UGX877,000,000/-. Thus, the LG supported the lowest performing LLGs to improve performance.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2

Human Resource Management and Development

6	<p>Budgeting for Water & Sanitation and Environment & Natural Resources: The Local Government has budgeted for staff</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the DWO has budgeted for the following Water & Sanitation staff: 1 Civil Engineer(Water); 2 Assistant Water Officers (1 for mobilization and 1 for sanitation & hygiene); 1 Engineering Assistant (Water) & 1 Borehole Maintenance Technician: Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that the DWO had budgeted for the following Water & Sanitation staff: 1 Civil Engineer (Water); 2 Assistant Water Officers (1 for mobilization and 1 for sanitation & hygiene); 1 Engineering Assistant (Water) & 1 Borehole Maintenance</p> <p>As per the budget estimates 2023/24 page 82, at 434,393,000/=</p>	2
6	<p>Budgeting for Water & Sanitation and Environment & Natural Resources: The Local Government has budgeted for staff</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the Environment and Natural Resources Officer has budgeted for the following Environment & Natural Resources staff: 1 Natural Resources Officer; 1 Environment Officer; 1 Forestry Officer: Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that the Environment and Natural Resources Officer has budgeted for the following Environment & Natural Resources staff: 1 Natural Resources Officer; 1 Environment Officer; 1 Forestry Officer:</p> <p>As per the budget estimates 2023/24 page 80 434,393,000/=</p>	2
7	<p>Performance Management: The LG appraised staff and conducted trainings in line with the district training plans.</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. The DWO has appraised District Water Office staff against the agreed performance plans during the previous FY: Score 3</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG appraised District Water Office [DWO, 3no. AWOs (mobilization, water and sanitation); BMT; NRO, EO and FO] staff during the previous FY appraisal forms have been filled in dated: 30/6/23; 30/6/23; 30/6/23; 30/6/23; 30/6/23; 30/6/23; 30/6/23, 30/6/23 respectively.</p> <p>Hence, score = 3.</p>	3

Performance Management: The LG appraised staff and conducted trainings in line with the district training plans.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

b. The District Water Office has identified capacity needs of staff from the performance appraisal process and ensured that training activities have been conducted in adherence to the training plans at district level and documented in the training database : Score 3

There was evidence that the DWO carried out a capacity needs assessment for ALL staff during the FY20/21 as follows:

- DWO didn't indicate any training needs;
- AWO (mobilization) needed training in Project proposal Writing and Resource mobilisation;
- AWO (water) needed training in Project Management;
- AWO (sanitation) needed training in Project Planning;
- SEO needed training - MSc. Environmental Science;
- NRO, FO and BMT didn't indicate any training needs!

These needs were reflected in the LG training plan for FY23/24 dated 25/8/23. However, the LG lacked adequate funds to finance all the training needs. Hence, score = 3.

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for service delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

- a) Evidence that the DWO has prioritized budget allocations to sub-counties that have safe water coverage below that of the district:
-
- If 100 % of the budget allocation for the current FY is allocated to S/Cs below the district average coverage: Score 3
- If 80-99%: Score 2
- If 60-79: Score 1
- If below 60 %: Score 0

Using FY22/23, 4th Quarter report dated 14/7/2023, it was established that District Coverage was 34% and the subcounties with coverage below district average were as follows:

Nsangi - 23%, Wakiso - 23%, Bussi - 24% and Nangabo - 33%. Katabi - 33%.

The annual budget dated 12/7/23 for FY23/24 for construction facilities was UGX917,094,000/-.

Planned major construction projects were:

- Construction of Bussi Piped water supply scheme (phase3) at UGX747,094,000/-;
- Construction of 2no. production boreholes for piped water schemes in Kyengera and Wakiso subcounties at UGX100,000,000/-;
- Construction of 2no. hand-pump borehole in Kyengera and Masuulita at UGX60,000,000/-.

Thus, allocation to subcounties with coverage below district average was UGX877,000,000/- out of a total budget of UGX907,000,000/- . This was 97% which is in range 80 - 99%. Hence, score =2.

Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for service delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

- b) Evidence that the DWO communicated to the LLGs their respective allocations per source to be constructed in the current FY: Score 3

There was evidence that DWO communicated to the LLGs their respective allocations per source to be constructed in the current FY as follows:

- DWO also held an advocacy meeting with subcounty leaders on 09/9/22
- DWO presented and obtained approval of AWP for FY23/24 to LG Council on 02/5/23 under Minute 065/PROD/TC/NR/2023.
- DWO also wrote letters to LLGs dated 05/7/23 communicating the approved AWP for FY23/24.

Hence score =3.

9	<p>Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.</p> <p><i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the district Water Office has monitored each of WSS facilities at least quarterly (key areas to include functionality of Water supply and public sanitation facilities, environment, and social safeguards, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 95% and above of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: score 4 • If 80-94% of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: score 2 • If less than 80% of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: Score 0 	<p>There was evidence that DWO monitored newly constructed and old WSS facilities during FY22/23.</p> <p>It is evident from monitoring reports that $(\{231+ 191 + 194 + 210\} + 547)$ out of a total of $(4311 + 401,688)$ visits were made to water supply and sanitation facilities were visited by district staff on 02/9/22, 20/12/22, 30/3/23 and 23/6/23.</p> <p>Hence: $1373/405,999 = 0.34\%$.</p> <p>This is below 80%, hence, score = 0.</p>	0
9	<p>Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.</p> <p><i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the DWO conducted quarterly DWSCC meetings and among other agenda items, key issues identified from quarterly monitoring of WSS facilities were discussed and remedial actions incorporated in the current FY AWP. Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that DWSCC meetings were held on 23/8/22, 03/11/22, 23/02/23, and 11/7/23; remedial action for identified issues was also planned for and implemented starting in FY22/23 with spill-over into FY 23/24.</p> <p>For example:</p> <p>a) in DWSCC Meeting of 23/02/23, it was resolved to hold a refresher training for the User Committee of Bussi piped WSS targeting improved financial management and book-keeping.</p> <p>b) in the meeting of 23/02/23, it was noted that there was laxity in compliance with occupational health and safety by Contractor's staff. Thus, the Contractor was cautioned to enforce utilization of the required safety gear.</p> <p>Hence score = 2.</p>	2

9	<p>Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.</p> <p><i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. The District Water Officer publicizes budget allocations for the current FY to LLGs with safe water coverage below the LG average to all sub-counties: Score 2</p>	<p>There is evidence that DWO publicized budget allocations amounting to UGX877,000,000/-; out of UGX937,000,000/-; for the current FY to LLGs with safe water coverage below the LG average to Wakiso, Bussi and Nsangi sub-counties as per the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DWO presented and obtained approval of AWP for FY23/24 to LG Council on 02/5/23 under Minute 065/PROD/TC/NR/2023. • DWO also wrote letters to LLGs dated 05/7/23 communicating the approved AWP for FY23/24. 	2
10	<p>Mobilization for WSS is conducted</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. For previous FY, the DWO allocated a minimum of 40% of the NWR rural water and sanitation budget as per sector guidelines towards mobilization activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If funds were allocated score 3 • If not score 0 	<p>AWP for FY22/23 dated 21/7/22 showed allocation for social mobilization of UGX45,013,000/- in a NWR budget of UGX111,276,237/- which was 40.5%.</p> <p>This is above 40%, hence a score = 3.</p>	3
10	<p>Mobilization for WSS is conducted</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. For the previous FY, the District Water Officer in liaison with the Community Development Officer trained WSCs on their roles on O&M of WSS facilities: Score 3.</p>	<p>There was a training report that showed that DWO in liaison with the AWO Mobilisation trained 39no. WSCs for the new and old WSS facilities, on their roles on O&M of WSS facilities on the following dates: 26, 27/12/22; 04, 10, 13, 16, 30, 31/01/23; 03,04/02/23; 12, 17, 19, 24, 26,28/4/23; 05/5/23; 19-21/6/23 in Bussi, Wakiso, Kakiri, Namayumba, Masuulita and Mende subcounties.</p> <p>A field visit on13/11/23 was made to the following three sampled WSS facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bussi Island piped water supply system in Bussi subcounty; • Muyenje borehole in Wakiso subcounty; • Kakooge borehole in Kakiri subcounty. 	3
			<p>It was evident that facilities were completed as planned; were functioning properly; the WSCs were in place; were fully functional and could recall the training content very well.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	

Investment Management

11

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

a. Existence of an up-to-date LG asset register which sets out water supply and sanitation facilities by location and LLG:

Score 4 or else 0

- An asset register, as an attachment to the annual report dated 14/7/23, was made showing water supply and sanitation facilities in a subcounty and assets at the District water office.

- There was evidence that this database is updated quarterly at reporting time

for WSS facilities: 4th Quarter / FY22-23 report dated 14/7/23.

- This register showed total number of WSS facilities (latrines, shallow wells, springs, rainwater tanks, deep boreholes, valley tanks and deep wells) in a subcounty and assets present at the Water office.

Hence, score =4.

4

11

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

Evidence that the LG DWO has conducted a desk appraisal for all WSS projects in the budget to establish whether the prioritized investments were derived from the approved district development plans (LGDPIII) and are eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines (prioritize investments for sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average and rehabilitation of non-functional facilities) and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, DDEG). If desk appraisal was conducted and if all projects are derived from the LGDP and are eligible:

Score 4 or else score 0.

There was evidence that the prioritized WSS investments were derived from the third 5-year district development plan targeting investments in sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average covering the FYs 20/21 - 24/25 originally approved by District Council on 14/4/21 under minute 213/WAK/DLC5/2020.

This clearly showed that the prioritized WSS investments were derived from the approved district development plans and are eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines.

The projects for FY23/24 that were desk-appraised were:

- Construction of Bussi Piped water supply scheme (phase3);
- Construction of 2no. production boreholes for piped water schemes in Nsangi and Wakiso subcounties;
- Construction of 2no. hand-pump borehole in Kyengera and Masuulita.

Hence, score =4.

4

11

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

c. All budgeted investments for current FY have completed applications from beneficiary communities: Score 2

There was evidence that community applications were received for the construction projects.

For example:

- Phase 3 construction of new 200m³ Reservoir for Bussi piped WSS, in Bussi subcounty - application was signed by Chairman WSC Mukasa Lasto (0771628055) dated 05/6/20;
- Rehabilitation of hand-pump borehole at Muyenje in Wakiso subcounty - application signed by Chairman WSC, Nakabale Matiya (0750361472) dated 10/7/22;
- Rehabilitation of hand-pump borehole at Kakooge Glorious Education Centre in Kakiri subcounty - application was signed by Chairman WSC Nsadha Ivan (0741854973) dated 27/7/22.

Hence, score =2.

11

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

d. Evidence that the LG has conducted field appraisal to check for: (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environmental social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs for WSS projects for current FY. Score 2

The LG conducted field appraisals, the investments were technically feasible, environmentally and socially acceptable and were customized for investment as indicated in reports dated 21/9/22, 20/12/22, 29/3/23 and 26/6/23; for the projects implemented in FY22/23. The projects appraised in the field included:

- Bussi piped WSS (phase 3) in Bussi subcounty;
- Rehabilitation of hand-pump borehole at Muyenje in Wakiso subcounty;
- Rehabilitation of hand-pump borehole at Kakooge Glorious Education Centre in Kakiri subcounty.

The LG also used standard / customized designs for the sampled projects.

Technical drawings for construction were provided for:

- i) Deep Boreholes as follows:
 - a) MWE standard Hole Designs A & B;
 - b) MWE standard Designs for Borehole Headworks;
- ii) Scheme specific drawings for Bussi WSS.

Hence, score =2.

2

11	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>e. Evidence that all water infrastructure projects for the current FY were screened for environmental and social risks/impacts and ESIA/ESMPs prepared before being approved for construction - costed ESMPs incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contract documents. Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence to ascertain that Wakiso District LG conducted screening for all WSS projects, prepared ESIA/costed ESMPs, and put in place proposed mitigation measures for water and environment sector projects implementation for the previous FY 2022/2023 as exemplified by the ESMPs for the following Water and Environment projects reports.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed borehole rehabilitation of Muyenje borehole, Glorious Education Centre, Agali Awamu borehole, Nakikungube borehole in Muyenje, Kakooge, Banda Central, Nakikungube villages respectively, dated 12/8/2022, signed by the SEO and CDO; UGX 1,000,000/=; 2. Installation of water tank reservoir and distribution mains (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase II dated 11/8/2022, signed by the SEO and CDO, estimated at UGX 2,000,000/=; and 3. Installation of distribution pipeline and water points (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase III dated 11/8/2022, signed by the SEO and CDO, estimated at UGX 2,000,000/=. 	2
12	<p>Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the water infrastructure investments were incorporated in the LG approved: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the WSS infrastructure projects were incorporated in the approved procurement plan for the previous FY on page 20 as follows:</p> <p>Rehabilitaton of 8 boreholes at selected locations - item 191</p> <p>Siting of 10 deep boreholes (motorized pump) - item 192</p> <p>Deep borehole drilling (motorized pump) - item 193</p> <p>Construction of Bussi solar powered piped water supply system - item 194</p>	2

12	<p>Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the water supply and public sanitation infrastructure for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee before commencement of construction Score 2:</p>	<p>There was evidence that the WSS infrastructure for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee as follows:</p> <p>Project 1: Rehabilitation of hand pump boreholes at selected locations (Nabukalu, Muyenje, Kakooge, Banda central, Ngongolo, Kivu, Kazinga, Nakikungube)</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting: 24/03/2023</p> <p>Min No: 018/DCC/2022-23</p> <p>Project 2:Phase construction of Bussi solar powered piped water supply system – Phase III</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting: 06/03/2023</p> <p>Min No: 013/DCC/2022-23</p> <p>Solicitor General Letter: 15/05/2023</p> <p>Project 3: Borehole drilling (Motorised pump) in wakiso subcounty</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting: 14/10/2022</p> <p>Min No: 05/DCC/2022-23</p>	2
12	<p>Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. Evidence that the District Water Officer properly established the Project Implementation team as specified in the Water sector guidelines Score 2:</p>	<p>There was evidence that a Project Implementation Team for projects to be implemented in the FY22/23 was established by Office of the CAO in a letter dated 10/8/22 Ref. CR12441 which appointed DWO as Contract Manager; AWO (Mobilisation), Senior Environment Officer and AWO (Water) as Project Implementation Team members for the following projects, among others:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rehabilitation of 04no. Hand-pump boreholes; • Survey, Siting and Drilling supervision for Hand-pump and Production Boreholes; • Construction of Bussi Piped Water supply scheme (Ph3). <p>This team was tasked to oversee the above mentioned projects.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2

12	<p>Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p> <p>.</p>	<p>d. Evidence that water and public sanitation infrastructure sampled were constructed as per the standard technical designs provided by the DWO: Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that water and public sanitation infrastructure sampled were constructed as per the standard technical designs provided by the DWO.</p> <p>Technical drawings for construction were provided for:</p> <p>A) a 5-stance lined pit latrine Drawing no. DWSCG/LPL/5ST;</p> <p>B) Deep Boreholes as follows:</p> <p>i) MWE standard Hole Designs A & B;</p> <p>ii) MWE standard Designs for Borehole Headworks.</p> <p>C) Scheme specific drawings for Bussi Piped water supply scheme.</p> <p>The following constructed WSS facilities were sampled 13/11/23:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rehabilitation of 04no. Hand-pump boreholes; • Survey, Siting and Drilling supervision for Hand-pump and Production Boreholes; • Construction of Bussi Piped Water supply scheme (Ph3). <p>It was found that the WSS facilities were well constructed in accordance with standard MWE guidelines. Hence, score=2.</p>	2
12	<p>Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p> <p>.</p>	<p>e. Evidence that the relevant technical officers carry out monthly technical supervision of WSS infrastructure projects: Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that the relevant technical officers [DWO, AWO (water) and AWO (Mobilisation)] participated in supervising the construction projects and submitted reports dated 21/9/22, 20/12/22, 29/3/23 and 26/6/23.</p> <p>The water sources sampled on 13/11/23 were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rehabilitation of 04no. Hand-pump boreholes; • Survey, Siting and Drilling supervision for Hand-pump and Production Boreholes; • Construction of Bussi Piped Water supply scheme (Ph3). <p>The team noted and instructed the Contractors as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avail protective clothing (incl. nose-mouth masks) to working crew; • Install warning signs and tape to minimise likely injury. <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

f. For the sampled contracts, there is evidence that the DWO has verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes in the contracts

o If 100 % contracts paid on time: Score 2

o If not score 0

There was evidence that the LG verified works, initiated and effected payments of contractors within specified timeframes in the contracts for the sampled projects as follows:

1. Construction of Bussi piped WSS (phase 3) in Bussi subcounty:

Contract awarded to SB Innovations Ltd; Contract No. WAKI555/WRKS/2022-23/00374; Contract sum: UGX433,014,165/-; Works started on 19/5/23 and ended on 15/6/23; Contractor requested for payment of UGX433,014,165/- on 29/5/23; DWO certified UGX433,014,165/- on 29/5/23; CAO approved and paid UGX433,014,165/- on 15/6/23.

2. Rehabilitation of 04no. hand-pump boreholes:

Contract awarded to Wakiso Water Maintenance and Sanitation Association; Contract No. WAKI555/WRKS/2022-23/00063; Contract sum: UGX32,000,000/- (NB. Volume of works reduced from 8no.boreholes to 4no. boreholes); Works started on 17/5/23 and ended on 14/6/23; Contractor requested payment of UGX32,184,000/- on 14/6/23; DWO certified UGX32,184,000/- on 14/6/23; CAO approved and paid UGX32,184,000/- on 27/6/23.

3. Survey, Siting and Drilling supervision for Hand-pump and Production Boreholes:

Contract awarded to LHM Groundwater Exploration and Geo Mapping Services Ltd; Contract No. WAKI555/SRVCS/2022-23/00062; Contract sum: UGX71,902,499/-; Works started on 15/02/23 and ended on 14/5/23; Contractor requested payment of UGX70,251,300/- on 15/5/23; DWO certified UGX70,251,300/- on 16/5/23; CAO approved and paid UGX70,251,300/- on 12/6/23.

Thus, all contracts were paid on time. Hence, score =2

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

g. Evidence that a complete procurement file for water infrastructure investments is in place for each contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law:

Score 2, If not score 0

There was evidence that each contract for WSS infrastructure investment had a complete procurement file as sampled below:

File Name: Rehabilitation of hand pump boreholes at selected locations (Nabukalu, Muyenje, Kakooge, Banda central, Ngongolo, Kivu, Kazinga, Nakikungube)

Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00063

Contract signed: 15/05/2023

Contractor: Wakiso Water Manatinaenace and Sanitation Association

Evaluation report: 12/04/2023

Contracts Committee Meeting: 24/03/2023

Min No: 018/DCC/2022-23

File Name: Phase construction of Bussi solar powered piped water supply system – Phase III

Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00374

Contract signed: 18/05/2023

Contractor: SB innovations Ltd

Evaluation report: 22/02/2023

Contracts Committee Meeting: 06/03/2023

Min No: 013/DCC/2022-23

File Name: Borehole drilling (Motorised pump) in wakiso subcounty

Contract No: waki555/wrks/22-23/00061

Contract signed: 12/01/2023

Contractor: East Africa borehole Ltd

Evaluation report: 06/10/2022

Contracts Committee Meeting: 14/10/2022

Min No: 05/DCC/2022-23

13	<p>Grievance Redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing WSS related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p>	<p>Evidence that the DWO in liaison with the District Grievances Redress Committee recorded, investigated, responded to and reported on water and environment grievances as per the LG grievance redress framework:</p>	<p>Bagala Ronald's complaint, ref no, GC/001/07/2022, dated 13/7/2022, that the contractor had failed to pay casual labourers for over 4 months (extension of water system using solar to communities of Bussi sub-county). The GC met with the contractor, CAO was informed. Action taken --- employees were paid wages.</p>	3
	<p><i>Maximum 3 points this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Score 3, If not score 0</p>		
14	<p>Safeguards for service delivery</p>	<p>Evidence that the DWO and the Environment Officer have disseminated guidelines on water source & catchment protection and natural resource management to CDOs:</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG disseminated water source and catchment protection and natural resource management guidelines to CDOs as exemplified by a letter dated 8/8/2023 addressed to CDOs of Wakiso District LG, titled 'dissemination of guidelines on waster source and catchment protection'. The letter was received by CDOs in sub counties of Namayumba, Bussi, Masulita, Wakiso and Mende as well as Trading Centres of Kakiri, Kajjansi and Kyengera - on 8/8/2023.</p>	3
	<p><i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Score 3, If not score 0</p>		
15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p>	<p>a. Evidence that water source protection plans & natural resource management plans for WSS facilities constructed in the previous FY were prepared and implemented: Score 3, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso District LG prepared and implemented water source protection plans and natural resource management plans for WSS infrastructure projects constructed during the previous FY (2022-2023) as exemplified by a letter addressed to the CAO dated 13/7/2023, titled : Submission of water source protection and natural resource management plan for FY 2022-2023, and endorsed by the SEO.</p>	3
	<p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>			
15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p>	<p>b. Evidence that all WSS projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of consent (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances:</p>	<p>There was evidence that WSS projects are implemented on land where there is proof of land ownership as exemplified by the following agreement and consent letter for water and environment projects.</p> <p>1. Agreement: Sarah Namukasa donated piece of land 0.50 acres to Wakiso district plot 186, block 558 Busiro, Seeta zone, Bussi island, 5/6/2020, signed by the donor and sub-county chief (Mabira Richard).</p> <p>2. Consent: Catherine Galiwango donates 50x100ft plot to Wakiso district LG to establish drilling of Lukwanga solar powered pipes mini water system, located on plot 16, block 284, Busiro county, 3/4/2023, the donor and witnessed by woman councillor, Lukwanga village.</p>	3
	<p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Score 3, If not score 0</p>		

15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. Evidence that E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer and CDO prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects:</p> <p>Score 2, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the E&S are implemented and signed by environmental office and CDO before Water and environment projects are done prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates advice as exemplified by the following project.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Installation of distribution pipeline and water points (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase III, dated 29/8/2023, signed on 30/5/2023; 2. Proposed borehole rehabilitation of Muyenje borehole, Glorious Education Centre, Agali Awamu borehole, Nakikungube borehole in Muyenje, Kakooge, Banda Central, Nakikungube villages respectively, dated 16/8/2022, signed 16/8/2022; and 3. Installation of distribution pipeline and water points (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase II, dated 12/5/2023, signed on 16/5/2023. 	2
15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>d. Evidence that the CDO and environment Officers undertakes monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports:</p> <p>Score 2, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the DCDO and DEO undertook monitoring of the Water and Environment projects to ascertain compliance with ESMPs and checked that mitigation measures were implemented as exemplified by the following E & S impact reports. Joint supervision reports were prepared and endorsed several officers including the SEO and CDO.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First quarter: Construction of Bussi Mini Solar Piped water system (phase 2), construction of Bussi Mini Solar Piped water system (phase 3), drilling of one production borehole and borehole rehabilitation (4) were monitored on 21/9/2022, endorsed by the SEO, CDO, District water officer and the Senior Assistant Engineering Officer. 2. Second quarter: 10 water sources were jointly supervised and monitored for functionality on 22/12/2022, endorsed by SEO, CDO, District water officer and the Assistant District Water Officer in charge of sanitation. 	2

**Micro-scale
Irrigation
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Outcome: The LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p> <p>Maximum 20 points for this performance area</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has up to-date data on irrigated land for the last two FYs disaggregated between micro-scale irrigation grant beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG had up to-date data on irrigated land for the last two FYs. The information obtained from the district Senior Irrigation Engineer and from ‘Monitoring and supervision Report for quarter three FY 2022/2023 dated 24/03/2023 addressed to DPO and Status of Micro-Scale Irrigation Program in Wakiso District dated 17/07/2023 addressed to CAO ascertained that on irrigated land disaggregated between micro-scale irrigation grant beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries for 2021/22 FY was 23.2acres for beneficiaries and 45.5 acres for non- beneficiaries totaling 68.7 acres and for 2022/23 FY 73 acres for beneficiaries and 45.5 acres for non-beneficiaries totaling 118.5 acres.</p>	2
1	<p>Outcome: The LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p> <p>Maximum 20 points for this performance area</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous FY but one:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By more than 5% score 2 • Between 1% and 4% score 1 • If no increase score 0 	<p>There was evidence that the LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous FY but one.</p> <p>The percentage increase in acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous but one FY as can be seen from (1a) above. The increment = $((118.5-68.7)/(68.7))*100 = 72.5\%$</p>	2

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the micro-scale irrigation for the LLG performance assessment. Maximum score 4

a) Evidence that the average score in the micro-scale irrigation for LLG performance assessment is:

- Above 70%, score 4
- 60% - 70%, score 2
- Below 60%, score 0

The average score for the micro scale performance was 95% as per the following details:

In the FY 2021/2022 average score was $1530/17 = 90\%$;

In FY 2022/2023 average score $1700/17 = 100\%$;

The average score $90+100 = 190/2 = 95\%$;

1. Wakiso town council 100%,100%;
2. Wakiso Sub county 100%;100%;
3. Mende Sub county 100%;100%;
4. Kakiri Tc 100%, 100%;
5. Kakiro Sub county 60%, 100%;
6. Namaynumba T C100%,100%;
7. Namayumba SC 100%,100%;
8. Masulita Sub county 0%, 100%;
9. Masulita TC 70%, 100%;
10. Kyengera T C 100%,100%;
11. Kasanjje T C100%, 100%;
12. Busi Sub county 100%. 100%;
13. Katabi T C100%,100%;
14. Kajjansi T C 100%, 100%;
15. Kasangati T C 100%, 100%;
16. Kajjansi TC 100%, 100%; and
17. Kasangati T C100%, 100%.

3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	a) Evidence that the development component of micro-scale irrigation grant has been used on eligible activities (procurement and installation of irrigation equipment, including accompanying supplier manuals and training): Score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the development component of micro-scale irrigation grant had been used on eligible activities. The budget performance report for the micro-scale irrigation grant was available from Senior Agricultural Engineer's office in 'Submission of Budget Performance Report for the Mio-scale Irrigation Program for FY 2022/2023' Wakiso district dated 09/08/2023, addressed to PS MAAIF. There was another report ' Submission of Quarter 1 Report for Micro-Scale Irrigation Program for FY 2022/2023 Wakiso Production Department' dated 09/02/2023 addressed PS MAAIF.	2
	Maximum score 6		From the Budget performance report FY 2022/2023, total grant was Ugx 6,595,081,951. Ugx 1,178,462,000 was spent on complementary services. 38 Micro-scale irrigation systems were completely installed for 38 approved farmers on 90 acres at Ugx 694,991,843 . Therefore, a total of Ugx 1,873,453,843 was spent in the FY2022/2023 resulting into unspent balances of Ugx 4,721,628,108 signed on 9/08/2023 by the DAO.	
3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	b) Evidence that the approved farmer signed an Acceptance Form confirming that equipment is working well, before the LG made payments to the suppliers: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that the approved farmers signed an acceptance form confirming that the equipment is working well before the LG made payments to suppliers as follows: Name of project: Supply and installation of micro scale irrigation equipment-Serunyage Henry Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-2023/00305 Contract Sum: UGX 18,841,400 Requisition was on 24/05/2023 DPMO signed on 24/05/2023 CAO signed on 14/06/2023 Payment was on 27/07/2023 Amount paid UGX 17,899,330 Farmer: Serunyage Henry signed on. 16/05/2023 Name of project: Supply and installation of micro scale irrigation equipment-Misazi Florence Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-2023/00305	1
	Maximum score 6			

Contract Sum: UGX17,828,900

Requisition was on 05/05/2023

DPMO signed on 05/05/2023

CAO signed on 18/05/2023

Payment was on 27/07/2023

Farmer: Musazi Florence

Amount paid UGX 16,937,455 EFT
6412166

signed on. 30/04/2023

Name of project: Supply and installation
of micro scale irrigation equipment-
Nyakojjo Francis

Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-
2023/00305

Contract Sum: UGX19,163,900

Requisition was on 29/03/2023

DPMO signed on 24/04/2023

CAO signed on 02/05/2023

Payment was on 27/07/2023

Farmer: Nyakojjo Francis

Amount Paid UGX 18,205,706 EFT
6412166

signed on. 16/03/2023

Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines

Maximum score 6

Evidence that the variations in the contract price are within +/-20% of the Agriculture Engineers estimates: Score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that the variations in the contract price are within +/-20% of the Agriculture Engineers estimates. There was data from the senior irrigation engineer's office on engineer's estimates and supplier contracts. The data can also be seen online using MIS. The following are some few examples for the price variation percentages:

i. For farmer Karemire Richard (Irritract farmer ID: WAK/2020-09-29/M/28125), agricultural engineer's estimate was 22,350,000/= and the contract price was 19,422,000/=. The variation in price = $(22.35-19.422)/22.35*100 = 13.1\%$

ii. For farmer Lwabi Peter Solomon (Irritract ID: WAK/2022-08-03/M/27361), agricultural engineer's estimate was 19,300,000/= and the contract price was 18,259,900/=. The variation in price = $(18.2599-19.3)/19.3*100 = -5.4\%$

iii. For farmer Nakigudde Allen (Irritract ID: WAK/2023-02-22/F/39864), agricultural engineer's estimate was 22,150,000/= and the contract price was 18,391,400/=. The variation in price = $(22.15-18.3914)/22.15*100 = 16.97\%$

3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	d) Evidence that micro-scale irrigation equipment where contracts were signed during the previous FY were installed/completed within the previous FY	There was no evidence that micro-scale irrigation equipment where contracts were signed during the previous FY were installed /completed within the previous FY. From senior agricultural engineer's office, 31 contracts (Rima (EA) Ltd) were signed and 18 have been completed in FY 2022/2023 and 13 completed in FY 2023/2024 according 'Monitoring and Supervision Report of Irrigation Sites under Micro- Scale irrigation for FY 2022/2023' dated 10/07/2023 addressed to CAO.	0
	Maximum score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 • Between 80 – 99% score 1 • Below 80% score 0 	<p>Examples of completion include:</p> <p>i. Farmer Lwabi Peter Solomon (WAK/2022-08-03/M/27361). The contract was signed on 05/03/2023 and completed on 20/03/2023</p> <p>ii. Farmer Zawede Desire Mirembe (WAK/2022-07-30/F/27249). The contract was signed on 18/03/2023 and completed on 20/04/2023</p> <p>iii. Farmer Nakigudde Allen (WAK/2023-02-22/F/39864). The contract was signed on 12/04/2023 and completed on 16/05/2023</p> <p>18 contracts have been completed and therefore 18/31 giving 58% compliance. In the report there was delay in release of funds and delays in receiving the list of prequalified suppliers from MAAIF.</p>	
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards	a) Evidence that the LG has recruited LLG extension workers as per staffing structure	There was evidence that the LG recruited 54 LLG extension staff which was 81%, the establishment was 66 staff	1
	Maximum score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 • If 75 – 99% score 1 • If below 75% score 0 		
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards	b) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment meets standards as defined by MAAIF	There was evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment meets standards as defined by MAAIF. The senior agricultural engineer had the inventory of all the installed sites for FY 2022/2023.	2
	Maximum score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 or else score 0 	<p>Sampling of 3 micro-scale irrigation facilities were carried on 14/11/2023. The 3 sampled installations meet standards as per the inventory and MAAIF Guidelines. The guideline requires the following components to be available when ever needed in the</p>	

design:

- i. Pumping system. This can be:
 - a. Solar pump system(panels, frame, controller, electric cables),
 - b. Electric pump
 - c. petrol/diesel pump
- ii. Suction and delivery pipe system
- iii. Water tank
- iv. Water application system. This can be
 - a. Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
 - b. Sprinklers
 - c. Dripper/drip lines

The following equipment may also be necessary for O&M:

- i. Soil moisture monitor
- ii. Soil nutrient monitor
- iii. User/maintenance manual
- iv. Spare parts and maintenance equipment and tools

The three sampled sites are:

i. Farmer Karemire Richard (WAK/2022-09-29/M/28125), Kakiri SC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/01/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing fruits and bananas. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a pond (3*3*2.5 m). The equipment installed include:

- o Solar pump (surface),
- o Solar panels (3),
- o 1 flexible horse pipes with nozzle,
- o One 10,000 l tank
- o 6 hydrants and
- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Nduga Evaristo, Wakiso TC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/03/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing vegetables. The farm had a site book. The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is a borehole. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible),
 - o solar panels (3)
 - o water application system: 1 drag hose pipe with sprayer
 - o 7 hydrants and
 - o Pipe lines system
 - o 10,000l Tank.
- iii. Farmer Musasizi Florence, Wakiso TC. The system installed is for 2acre for growing coffee and bananas. The water source was a bore hole. The equipment installed include:
- o Submersible Solar pump
 - o 3 solar panel,
 - o 3 hydrants
 - o Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
 - o Pipe line system.
- All three sites sampled (100%) meet standards as defined by MAAIF

4

Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards

Maximum score 6

b) Evidence that the installed micro-scale irrigation systems during last FY are functional

- If 100% are functional score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the installed micro-scale irrigation systems during last FY are functional.

The list of micro-scale irrigation installed was available in the inventory for FY 2022/2023

The three sampled sites are:

i. Farmer Karemire Richard (WAK/2022-09-29/M/28125), Kakiri SC. The system was installed by Rima EA L td on 28/01/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing fruits and bananas. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a pond (3*3*2.5 m). The equipment installed include:

- o Solar pump (surface),
- o Solar panels (3),
- o 1 flexible horse pipes with nozzle,
- o One 10,000 l tank
- o 6 hydrants and
- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Nduga Evaristo, Wakiso TC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/03/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing

2

vegetables. The farm had a site book. The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is a borehole. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible),
- o solar panels (3)
- o water application system: 1 drag hose pipe with sprayer
- o 7 hydrants and
- o Pipe lines system
- o 10,000l Tank.

iii. Farmer Musasizi Florence, Wakiso TC. The system installed is for 2acre for growing coffee and bananas. The water source was a bore hole. The equipment installed include:

- o Submersible Solar pump
- o 3 solar panel,
- o 3 hydrants
- o Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
- o Pipe line system.

From inspection carried out on 14/11/2023 all sites (100%) were found to be functional.

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

5	<p>Accuracy of reported information: The LG has reported accurate information</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that information on position of extension workers filled is accurate: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that information on position of extension workers filled is accurate</p> <p>Wakiso SC:</p> <p>As per the staff list dated 30.06.2023</p> <p>Bulonde Andrew Assistant Veterinary officer</p> <p>Mukasa Achilles Agriculture officer</p> <p>Masulita SC:</p> <p>As per staff list dated 30.06.2023</p> <p>Kigozi Isaac Veterinary officer</p> <p>Kamoga Fred Assistant Agricultural officer</p> <p>Masulita TC:</p> <p>As per staff list dated 30.06.2023</p> <p>Mugagga Hillary Agricultural officer</p> <p>Kyobe Francis Veterinary officer</p>	2
5	<p>Accuracy of reported information: The LG has reported accurate information</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>b) Evidence that information on micro-scale irrigation system installed and functioning is accurate: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that information on Micro scale irrigation system installed and functioning is accurate.</p> <p>The list of micro-scale irrigation installed was seen in the inventory for FY 2022/2023.</p> <p>The three sampled sites are:</p> <p>i. Farmer Karemiri Richard (WAK/2022-09-29/M/28125), Kakiri SC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/01/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing fruits and bananas. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a pond (3*3*2.5 m). The equipment installed include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Solar pump (surface), o Solar panels (3), o 1 flexible hose pipes with nozzle, o One 10,000 l tank o 6 hydrants and o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF. <p>ii. Nduga Evaristo, Wakiso TC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/03/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing vegetables. The farm had a site book.</p>	2

The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is a borehole. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible),
- o solar panels (3)
- o water application system: 1 drag hose pipe with sprayer
- o 7 hydrants and
- o Pipe lines system
- o 10,000l Tank.

iii. Farmer Musasizi Florence, Wakiso TC. The system installed is for 2acre for growing coffee and bananas. The water source was a bore hole. The equipment installed include:

- o Submersible Solar pump
- o 3 solar panel,
- o 3 hydrants
- o Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
- o Pipe line system.

The three sampled sites show information is accurate as per inventory and functionality on inspection on 14/11/2023 and testing in the field.

6

Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans

Maximum score 6

a) Evidence that information is collected quarterly on newly irrigated land, functionality of irrigation equipment installed; provision of complementary services and farmer Expression of Interest: Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that information is collected quarterly on newly irrigated land. There were Monitoring and Supervision Reports for quarter 1, 2, 3 FY 2022/2023 by district senior agricultural engineer to DPO dated 24//03/2023(quarter 3), 20/12/2022(quarter 2) and 28/09/2022 (quarter1). There was also 'Status of Micro-Scale Irrigation Program in Wakiso District' report dated 12/07/2023 addressed to CAO. These reports had information on training, farm visits, awareness raising events, beneficiary farmer sit visits, expression of interests (EOIs), functionality and completion of works.

2

6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG has entered up to-date LLG information into MIS: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has entered up to-date LLG information into MIS. There was a printed MIS report for FY 2023/2024 quarter 1 dated 13/10/2023 in the district senior agricultural engineer's office. In the report there was information on performance of the sub-counties on farm visits, EOIs , trainings, preparation of farm visits. In the Irrittrak online, the latest entry was on 11/11/2023 for Namutebi Hadija (WAK/2023-11-11/F/70046) for EOI.</p>	1
6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>c.Evidence that the LG has prepared a quarterly report using information compiled from LLGs in the MIS: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has prepared a quarterly report using information compiled from LLGs in the MIS. From the District Senior Agricultural Engineer's officer there were 'Submission of reports for Micro-Scale Irrigation Program for FY 2022/2023 Wakiso Production Department' to PS MAAIF. Quarterly reports were available for 2022/2023 FY; for quarter one dated 09/02/2023, quarter 2 dated 22/03/2023, quarter 3 dated 05/05/2023 and quarter 4 dated 10/08/2023. Information was compiled from the following LLGs:</p>	1
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Gombe Division ii. Nansana Divicion iii. Busukuma Division iv. Bunamwaya Division v. Masajja Division vi. Ndejje Divion vii. Namugongo Divion viii. Kira Dision ix. Kakiri TC x. Kajjasi TC xi. Kyengera TC xii. Kasanje TC xiii. Namayumba TC xiv. Masuliita TC xv. Katabi TC xvi. Wakiso TC xvii. Kasangati TC xviii. Wakiso SC xix. Bussi SC xx. Namayumba SC 	

xxi. Kakiri SC

xxii. Masuliita SC

xxiii. Mende SC

For quarter 1 FY 2022/2023, 1,257(28% female and 72% male) farmers participated in expression of interest and 413 farm visits were made.

For quarter 2 FY 2022/2023, there were 494 farm visits and 1,333 farmers submitted EOI.

For quarter 3 FY 2022/2023, there were 555 farm visits, 1,427farmers submitted EOI and 1,687 local leaders participated in awareness raising activities.

For quarter 4 FY 2022/2023, there were 557 farm visits and 1,434 farmers submitted EOI.

These reports are also available in the MIS online. The latest data as of 13//11/2023 form MIS:

i. 1,475 EOIs

ii. Farm visits 570

6

Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans

Maximum score 6

d) Evidence that the LG has:

i. Developed an approved Performance Improvement Plan for the lowest performing LLGs score 1 or else 0

There was evidence the LG has developed an approved Performance Implementation Plan. There was performance improvement plan from the SAE 's office ' Performance Improvement Plan for micro-scale irrigation program in Wakiso for FY 2022/2023' dated 11/07/ 2022. From the plan, the lowest performing LLG include: Gombe division, kasanje SC, Kajjansi TC and Wakiso SC.

1

6

Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans

Maximum score 6

ii. Implemented Performance Improvement Plan for lowest performing LLGs: Score 1 or else 0

There was evidence the LG has implemented Performance Improvement Plan for lowest performing LLGs. There were reports from the Senior Agricultural Engineer 'A Report on Capacity Building of LLG staff on Improvement of Irrigation Outputs' dated 24/05/2023 and 'A Report on Refresher Training of Agricultural Officers on Updated Technical Guidelines Under Micro-Scale Irrigation' dated 27/06/2023. The reports highlighted monitoring of LLG staff on Micro-scale irrigation program, training of production officers and farm visits. The lowest LLGs were Gombe division, Kasanje SC, Kajjansi TC and Wakiso SC.

1

Human Resource Management and Development

7	Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines Maximum score 6	a) Evidence that the LG has: i. Budgeted for extension workers as per guidelines/in accordance with the staffing norms score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG budgeted for extension workers. From the DPO's office there was budget for extension workers salary for the FY 2023/2024 totaling 1,035,081,607/= for 53 staff members. Micro scale irrigation budget was 2,188,254,584/=	1
7	Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines Maximum score 6	ii Deployed extension workers as per guidelines score 1 or else 0	There was no evidence that the LG did deployed extension workers as per guidelines. From the DPO's office there was data on staff list for FY 2023/2024. The total number of staff is supposed to be 66 but 54 were filled and 12 positions were vacant in the production department.	0
7	Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines Maximum score 6	b) Evidence that extension workers are working in LLGs where they are deployed: Score 2 or else 0	The extension workers are working in LLGs where they were deployed as per sampled LLGs reports shown below: Wakiso SC: Report dated 13/06/2023 sensitization of farmers on micro scale irrigation. Report dated 30.06.2023 sensitization of communities on PDM strategy Masulita TC: Report dated 13/06/2023 on production activities Report dated 04/01/2023 on agriculture activities quarter 2 Masulita SC: Report dated 30/06/2023 on veterinary activates prepare Dr. Isaac Kigozi Report dated 28.06.2023 on crop production monitoring Prepared by Dr. kamoga fred	2

Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines

Maximum score 6

c) Evidence that extension workers' deployment has been publicized and disseminated to LLGs by among others displaying staff list on the LLG notice board.
Score 2 or else 0

There was Evidence that extension workers deployment had been publicized and disseminated to LLGs by among others displaying staff list on the LLG notice board, dated 24th October 2022

Wakiso SC:

Bulonde Andrew Assistant Veterinary officer

Mukasa Achilles Agriculture officer

Masulita SC:

Kigozi Isaac Veterinary officer

Kamoga Fred Assistant Agricultural officer

Masulita TC:

Mugagga Hillary Agricultural officer

Kyobe Francis Veterinary officer

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the District Production Coordinator has:</p> <p>i. Conducted annual performance appraisal of all Extension Workers against the agreed performance plans and has submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY: Score 1 else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the DPO had conducted annual performance appraisal of all Extension Workers against the agreed performance plans and has submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY</p> <p>Moses Agricultural officer appraised by sentongo Ronald on 14/6/2023</p> <p>Wasswa Auther Tamale Veterinary officer appraised by Nakintu Prossy on 15/6/2023</p> <p>Nkoyoyo George Assistant fisheries officer appraised by Ssenoga Lawrence on 19/6/2023</p> <p>Lukambuuzi Godfrey Agriculture officer appraised by Lutalo Umar on 22/06/2023.</p> <p>Lubega Arnold Veterinary officer appraised by Lutalo Umar on 15/06/2023</p> <p>Mukasa Achillies Agriculture officer appraised by Mabanja Jimmy on 20/6/2023</p> <p>Kalenzi SANYU Moses Assistant Animal Husbandry officer appraised by Mabira Richard on 19/6/2023</p> <p>Namyenya Mary Agriculture officer appraised by Sewankambo James on 21/6/2023</p> <p>Nagudi Rehema agriculture officer appraised by Nakyazze Harriet on 15/06/2023</p> <p>Kawuma Peter senior Veterinary officer appraised by Kasibante Livingstone 20/06/2023</p>	1
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the District Production Coordinator has;</p> <p>Taken corrective actions: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There were no corrective actions recommended</p>	1

8	Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers Maximum score 4	b) Evidence that: i. Training activities were conducted in accordance to the training plans at District level: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that training activities were conducted in accordance to the training plans at district level. From the DPO's office there was information in 'Production and Marketing Department 2022/2003' document. The document included training activities such as conducting farmer training and field visits and extension technology dissemination.	1
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

8	Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers Maximum score 4	ii Evidence that training activities were documented in the training database: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that training activities were documented in the training database. From Senior Agricultural Engineer's office there was i. 'Report on Training of Production Staff on Farmer Field Schools held in December 2022in Wakisha Hall' dated 20/12/2022. ii. 'A report on Capacity Building of LLG staff on Improvement of Irrigation Output' dated 24/05/2023. iii. 'A Report on Fresher Training of Agricultural Officers on Updated technical Guidelines Under Micro-Scale Irrigation' dated 27/06/2023.	1
---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines. Maximum score 10	a) Evidence that the LG has appropriately allocated the micro scale irrigation grant between (i) capital development (micro scale irrigation equipment); and (ii) complementary services (in FY 2020/21 100% to complementary services; starting from FY 2021/22 - 75% capital development; and 25% complementary services): Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG appropriately allocated the micro scale irrigation grant between (i) capital development (micro scale irrigation equipment); and (ii) complementary services as follows: LG budgeted for UGX 3,892,318,704 and appropriated allocated as follows: Capital UGX 2,919,239,028 Commentary services were: Awareness raising of local leaders UGX145,961,952; Monitoring and supervision UGX 97,407,968; Awareness raising of farmers UGX 194,615,935; Farm visits UGX 194,615,935; Demonstrations UGX 156,859,253; and Farmer field schools 183,618,633.	2
---	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>b) Evidence that budget allocations have been made towards complementary services in line with the sector guidelines i.e. (i) maximum 25% for enhancing LG capacity to support irrigated agriculture (of which maximum 15% awareness raising of local leaders and maximum 10% procurement, Monitoring and Supervision); and (ii) minimum 75% for enhancing farmer capacity for uptake of micro scale irrigation (Awareness raising of farmers, Farm visit, Demonstrations, Farmer Field Schools): Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG budgeted for complementary services as follows.</p> <p>Awareness raising of local leaders UGX145,961,952;</p> <p>Monitoring and supervision UGX 97,407,968;</p> <p>Awareness raising of farmers UGX 194,615,935;</p> <p>Farm visits UGX 194,615,935;</p> <p>Demonstrations UGX 156,859,253; and</p> <p>Farmer field schools UGX183,618,633.</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 10</p>			
9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the co-funding is reflected in the LG Budget and allocated as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Evidence that the co-funding was reflected in the LG Budget and allocated as per guidelines</p> <p>The Co- funding was UGX142,544,400 page 11 of the annual work plan and 26 of the budget.</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 10</p>			
9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has used the farmer co-funding following the same rules applicable to the micro scale irrigation grant: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG used the farmers co-funding following the same rules, they contributed 25% of the total cost of the equipment supplied to them. The Co- funding was UGX142,544,400 page 11 of the annual work pln and 26 of the budget.</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 10</p>			

9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>e) Evidence that the LG has disseminated information on use of the farmer co-funding: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has disseminated information on use of the farmer co-funding. From the Senior Agriculture Officer there was</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 10</p>		<p>i. 'Activity Report on Connecting Financial Institutions with Farmers under the Micro-Scale Irrigation Program' dated 31st May 2023.</p> <p>ii. 'A Report on Meeting with Farmers to Discuss Issues on Procurement' dated 13/03/2023</p> <p>iii. 'A Report on Refresher Training of Agricultural Officers on Updated Technical Guidelines under Micro-Scale Irrigation' dated 27/06/2023.</p> <p>iv. 'Minutes of the Joint Technical Planning Committee Meeting of the month of August at the District Headquarters in the Health Boardroom on 29/08/222'</p> <p>The attendance lists included LLG officials, farmers, LLG leaders. The reports also contained picture of the meetings.</p>	
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the DPO has monitored on a monthly basis installed micro-scale irrigation equipment (key areas to include functionality of equipment, environment and social safeguards including adequacy of water source, efficiency of micro irrigation equipment in terms of water conservation, etc.)</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the DPO has monitored on monthly basis installed micro-scale irrigation equipment. There were no monthly reports available</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If more than 90% of the micro-irrigation equipment monitored: Score 2 • 70-89% monitored score 1 Less than 70% score 0 		

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG has overseen technical training & support to the Approved Farmer to achieve servicing and maintenance during the warranty period: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has overseen technical training and support to the approved farmers to achieve servicing and maintenance. From Senior Agricultural Engineer there was</p> <p>i. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report for quarter three FY 2020/2023' dated 24/03/2023. Four farmers (Nteziyaremye Godfrey, Ntanda madinah, Karamire Richard and Nduga Evaristo) got hands on training from the senior agricultural engineer and their irrigation systems were functional.</p> <p>ii. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report for quarter two Financial Year 2022/2023' dated 20/12/2022. Five farmers(Galabuzi Isaac, Kamoga Fred, Kyambade William, Ssekanjako Margret and Kyamuhangire William) got hands on training from the senior agricultural engineer including repair /replacement of broken control valve and their system were functional</p> <p>iii. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report for Quarter one Financial Year 2022/2023' dated 28/08/2022. Four farmers(Galabuzi Isaac, Kamoga Fred, Kambasaizi Eric and Kutumba Winnie) got hands on training from the senior agricultural engineer and replacement of drip lines, servicing pump and their systems were functional</p>	2
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has provided hands-on support to the LLG extension workers during the implementation of complementary services within the previous FY as per guidelines score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has provided hands on support to the LLG extension workers within the previous FY. From Senior Agricultural Engineer, there was</p> <p>i. 'Minutes of Wakiso District Agricultural Sector Staff Meeting held on 25/07/202 in the District PWD Hall'. The trainees included SAE, DAO and 18 LLG agriculture officers.</p> <p>ii. 'A Report on Refresher Training of Agricultural Officers on updated Technical Guidelines under Micro-Scale Irrigation'. The trainees included LLG agriculture officers.</p>	2

10	Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines	d) Evidence that the LG has established and run farmer field schools as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG has established and run farmer field schools as per guidelines. From Senior Agriculture Officer there was	0
	Maximum score 8		i. 'Report on Preparation to Implement the Farmer Field Schools Formation Held from 22/03/-23/03/2023'	
			However, there were no reports on farmer field school activities.	
11	Mobilization of farmers: The LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers to participate in irrigation and irrigated agriculture.	a) Evidence that the LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers:	2
	Maximum score 4		i. There was an activity report by Senior Agriculture Officer 'Report on Farmer Field Days on the Sites of Beneficiary Farmers' dated 10/07/2023. The participants included 6 females and 34 male on 28/06/2023	
11	Mobilization of farmers: The LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers to participate in irrigation and irrigated agriculture.	b) Evidence that the District has trained staff and political leaders at District and LLG levels: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the District has trained staff and political leaders at District and LLG levels.	2
	Maximum score 4		From DAO there was;	
			i. 'Report Following Sensitization of the District Leaders and Technical Staff on Micro scale Irrigation Program held on 19/01/203' The attendants included councillors, C/P, CDOs, OWC	
			ii. 'Report following Sensitization of the LC III, LC II leaders and Technical Staff at LLG on Micro Scale Irrigation Program held on FY 2022/2023' dated 08/06/2023. The attendants included councillors, Town clerks, LC C/P, AO and Mayors	
Investment Management				
12	Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines	a) Evidence that the LG has an updated register of micro-scale irrigation equipment supplied to farmers in the previous FY as per the format: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG has an updated register of micro-scale irrigation equipment supplied to farmers in the previous FY .There was an inventory of micro-scale irrigation equipment. The equipment in the inventory include; solar pump systems, solar panels, hose pipe with sprayers, sprinklers, drip lines, tanks and pine line systems	2
	Maximum score 8			

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG keeps an up-to-date database of applications at the time of the assessment: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG keeps an up-to-date database of applications at the time of the assessment. There were copies of applications filed. There was data base in the MIS for applications. The last date of update was 11/11/2023. Sampled applications include for FY 2023/2024;</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>		<p>i. Mildred Nakanwagi WAK/2023-10-20/F/69246)</p> <p>ii. Richard Male (WAK/2023-10-20/M/69247)</p> <p>and FY 2022/2023;</p> <p>iii. Kaliango Frank(WAK/2022-03-22/M/25521)</p>	
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the District has carried out farm visits to farmers that submitted complete Expressions of Interest (EOI): Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District has carried out farm visits to farmers that submitted complete Expressions of Interest (EOI). From quarter 4, 3, 2, and 1 FY 2022/2023 reports; 557, 555, 494 and 413 farm visits were carried out respectively. These reports were dated 10/08/2023, 05/05/2023, 22/03/2023 and 09/02/2023 respectively. There were 60 agreements to precede forms available. A few examples include:</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>		<p>i. Ssekamwa Derrick (WAK/2022-05-25/Male/26359)</p> <p>ii. Mole Siras (WAK/2022-02-05/Male/23741)</p> <p>iii. Muyanja Yusuf (WAK/2022-02-09/Male/23734)</p>	
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p>	<p>d) For DDEG financed projects: Evidence that the LG District Agricultural Engineer (as Secretariat) publicized the eligible farmers that they have been approved by posting on the District and LLG noticeboards: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG District Agricultural Engineer (as Secretariat) publicized the eligible farmers that they have been approved by posting on the District and LLG noticeboards</p> <p>Displayed on 24th October 2022</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>			

13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines Maximum score 18	a) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation systems were incorporated in the LG approved procurement plan for the current FY: Score 1 or else score 0.	There was evidence that the Micro-scale irrigation systems were incorporated in the approved procurement plan for the current FY on page 10 as item 64.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines Maximum score 18	b) Evidence that the LG requested for quotation from irrigation equipment suppliers pre-qualified by the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF): Score 2 or else 0	The LG requested quotations from pre-qualified irrigation equipment suppliers through a notice displayed on the notice board dated 14/12/2022. The following were the pre-qualified bidders; Rima (EA) Ltd, Akvo International Ltd, Balton Uganda, Baata Engineering Company, Grow More Seeds and Chemicals Ltd	2
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines Maximum score 18	c) Evidence that the LG concluded the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier based on the set criteria: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the Contracts Committee concluded the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier as per the meeting held on 30/01/2023 under Min No: 011/DCC/2022-23	2
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines Maximum score 18	d) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation systems for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the Contracts Committee approved the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier as per the meeting held on 30/01/2023 under Min No: 011/DCC/2022-23	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines Maximum score 18	e. Evidence that the LG signed the contract with the lowest priced technically responsive irrigation equipment supplier for the farmer with a farmer as a witness before commencement of installation score 2 or else 0	There was display of successful and unsuccessful bidders dated 30/01/2023. Only Rima (EA) Ltd responded to the invitation to bid among the prequalified bidders and qualified for the award of the contract to supply irrigation equipment.	2
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines	f) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment installed is in line with the design output sheet (generated by IrriTrack App): Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment installed is in line with the design output sheet. The design is in line with the design output sheet generated from IrriTrack online and also per Technical Guidelines	2

provided by MAAIF. The guideline requires the following components to be available when ever needed in the design:

- i. Pumping system. This can be:
 - a. Solar pump system(panels, frame, controller, electric cables),
 - b. Electric pump
 - c. petrol/diesel pump
- ii. Suction and delivery pipe system
- iii. Water tank
- iv. Water application system. This can be
 - a. Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
 - b. Sprinklers
 - c. Dripper/drip lines

The following equipment may also be necessary for O&M:

- i. Soil moisture monitor
- ii. Soil nutrient monitor
- iii. User/maintenance manual
- iv. Spare parts and maintenance equipment and tools

Three sites where visited from on 14/11/2023;

The three sampled sites are:

i. Farmer Karemiri Richard (WAK/2022-09-29/M/28125), Kakiri SC. The system was installed by Rima EA L td on 28/01/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing fruits and bananas. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a pond (3*3*2.5 m). The equipment installed include:

- o Solar pump (surface),
- o Solar panels (3),
- o 1 flexible horse pipes with nozzle,
- o One 10,000 l tank
- o 6 hydrants and
- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Nduga Evaristo, Wakiso TC. The system was installed by Rima EA Ltd on 28/03/2023 is for 2.5 acres for growing vegetables. The farm had a site book.

The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is a borehole. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible),
- o solar panels (3)
- o water application system: 1 drag hose pipe with sprayer
- o 7 hydrants and
- o Pipe lines system
- o 10,000l Tank.

iii. Farmer Musasizi Florence, Wakiso TC. The system installed is for 2acre for growing coffee and bananas. The water source was a bore hole. The equipment installed include:

- o Submersible Solar pump
- o 3 solar panel,
- o 3 hydrants
- o Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
- o Pipe line system.

On inspection , the three sites were found to have been installed as per design

13

Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines

Maximum score 18

g) Evidence that the LG have conducted regular technical supervision of micro-scale irrigation projects by the relevant technical officers (District Senior Agricultural Engineer or Contracted staff): Score 2 or else 0

There was some evidence that the LG have conducted regular technical supervision of micro-scale irrigation projects by the relevant technical officers. From Senior Agricultural engineer's office there were supervision reports

i. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report of Irrigation Sites Under Micro - Scale Irrigation for Financial year 2022/2023' dated 12/06/2023. The farmers visited include: Kibowa Richaed 912/05/2023), Nakiggude Allen (18/05/2023), Gayaza high school (22/05/2023), Baseka (15/03/2023) and Seruyanga (05/05/2023)

ii. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report of Irrigation Sites Under Micro - Scale Irrigation for Financial year 2022/2023' dated 10/07/2023

2

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>h) Evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during:</p> <p>i. Testing the functionality of the installed equipment: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during testing the functionality of the installed equipment. There were reports from senior irrigation engineer on</p> <p>i. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report of Irrigation Sites Under Micro-Scale Irrigation for FY 2022/2023' dated 12/06/2023. Five installations were monitored.</p> <p>ii. 'Monitoring and Supervision Report of Irrigation Sites Under Micro-Scale Irrigation for FY 2022/2023' dated 10/07/2023. The report has pictures of the equipment testing.</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>ii. Hand-over of the equipment to the Approved Farmer (delivery note by the supplies and goods received note by the approved farmer): Score 1 or 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during hand-over of the equipment to the Approved Farmer.</p> <p>There was no record in the sites books to verify hand over.</p>	0

Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines
Maximum score 18

i) Evidence that the Local Government has made payment of the supplier within specified timeframes subject to the presence of the Approved farmer's signed acceptance form: Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that the LG made payment of the suppliers within specified timeframes as follows:

Name of project: Supply and installation of micro scale irrigation equipment- Serunyage Henry

Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-2023/00305

Contract Sum: UGX 18,841,400

Requisition was on 24/05/2023

DPMO signed on 24/05/2023

CAO signed on 14/06/2023

Payment was on

Farmer: Serunyage Henry

signed on. 16/05/2023;

Name of project: Supply and installation of micro scale irrigation equipment- Misazi Florence

Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-2023/00305

Contract Sum: UGX17,828,900

Requisition was on 05/05/2023

DPMO signed on 05/05/2023

CAO signed on 18/05/2023

Payment was on

Farmer: Musazi Florence

signed on. 30/04/2023; and

Name of project: Supply and installation of micro scale irrigation equipment- Nyakojjo Francis

Project NO: WAKI555/SUPL/2022-2023/00305

Contract Sum: UGX19,163,900

Requisition was on 29/03/2023

DPMO signed on 24/04/2023

CAO signed on 02/05/2023

Payment was on

Farmer: Nyakojjo Francis

signed on. 16/03/2023.

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>j) Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each contract and with all records required by the PPDA Law: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG had a complete procurement file micro-scale irrigation projects with Rima (EA) Ltd as follows:</p> <p>Supplier: Rima (EA) Ltd</p> <p>Contract signed: 30/01/2023</p> <p>Contract No: Waki555/wrks/2022-23/000305</p> <p>Evaluation report: 13/01/2023</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting date: 30/01/2023</p> <p>Min No: 011/DCC/2022-23</p>	2
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the Local Government has displayed details of the nature and avenues to address grievance prominently in multiple public areas: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the Local Government has displayed details of the nature and avenues to address grievance prominently. The Production department notice board had displayed Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) and avenues dated 16/07/2021.</p>	2
14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>i). Recorded score 1 or else 0</p> <p>ii). Investigated score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iii). Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv). Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG had recorded micro-scale irrigation related grievances as there were no grievances redress framework.</p>	0

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>ii. Investigated score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iii. Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG had investigated micro-scale irrigation related grievances as there were no grievances redress framework.</p>	0
14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>iii. Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG had responded to micro-scale irrigation related grievances as there were no grievances redress framework.</p>	0
14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG had reported on micro-scale irrigation related grievances as there were no grievances redress framework.</p>	0

Environment and Social Requirements

15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	a) Evidence that LGs have disseminated Micro- irrigation guidelines to provide for proper siting, land access (without encumbrance), proper use of agrochemicals and safe disposal of chemical waste containers etc. score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that LG had disseminated Micro- scale irrigation guidelines and prepared MoU with farmers. Examples of MoUs: i. MoU between Wakiso LG and Anzoa Christine Joyce Ondoa (Wakiso/2023-02-28/Female/38109) dated 202/08/2023 ii. MoU between Wakiso LG and Nakasujja Justine (Wakiso/2023-02-08/Female/36500) dated 13/06/2022 iii. MoU between Wakiso LG and Kabugofred Tamale (Wakiso/2022-11-22/Female/28781) dated 02/08/2023 However, these MoU did not include issues related to proper the use of agrochemicals and safe chemical waste container disposal	0
15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	b) Evidence that Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening have been carried out and where required, ESMPs developed, prior to installation of irrigation equipment. i. Costed ESMP were incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG had the Costed ESMP for Micro-scale projects incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents (where required) as exemplified by the costed E & S Management Plans for the following projects, endorsed by the SEO the DCDO. 1. The ESMPs for Small micro-irrigation project by Kiwanuka Achilles were incorporated in the BoQs, Item D, estimated at UGX 350,000/= dated 4/1/2023: Environment and Social safe guards. 2. The ESMPs for Small micro-irrigation project by Anzoa Christine were incorporated in the BoQs, Item D, estimated at UGX 400,000/- dated 4/1/2023: Environment and Social safe guards. 3. The ESMPs for Small micro-irrigation project by Karemiri Richard were incorporated in the BoQs, Item D, estimated at UGX 400,000/= dated 13/12/2022: Environment and Social safe guards.	1
15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	ii. Monitoring of irrigation impacts e.g. adequacy of water source (quality & quantity), efficiency of system in terms of water conservation, use of agrochemicals & management of resultant chemical waste containers score 1 or else 0	There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out monitoring of irrigation impacts as no monitoring reports were available at the time of assessment.	0

15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	iii. E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects score 1 or else 0	<p>There was evidence that E&S certification forms were completed and signed by the Senior Environment Officer and CDO prior to payment of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the signed E&S certification reports for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Kiwanuka Achilles - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023; 2.Musaazi Florence - Small micro-irrigation project dated 5/5/2023; 3.Nsoby Samuel - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023; and 4.Luzige emmanuel - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023. 	1
15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	iv. E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by CDO prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects score 1 or else 0	<p>There was evidence that E & S certification forms were completed and signed by the CDO prior to payment of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the signed E and S certification reports for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Kiwanuka Achilles - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023; 2.Musaazi Florence - Small micro-irrigation project dated 5/5/2023; 3.Nsoby Samuel - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023; and 4.Luzige emmanuel - Small micro-irrigation project dated 16/4/2023. 	1

**Crosscutting Minimum
Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	a. Chief Finance Officer/Principal Finance Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive CFO, Mr. Ssekandi Michael, appointment date 12th march 2015, DSC min no 32/2015	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	b. District Planner/Senior Planner, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Planner, Mr. Galabuzi Paddy, Appointment date 13th April 2015, DSC min no 61(b)2015	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	c. District Engineer/Principal Engineer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substntive District Engineer Mr. Mwesigwa Samuel Damulira, Appointment 29th May 2018, DSC min 52/2018	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	d. District Natural Resources Officer/Senior Environment Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Natural resources officer Mrs. Ssabaganzi Rebecca Bukenya, appointment date 30th may 2008, DSC min no 24/2008(C)	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	e. District Production Officer/Senior Veterinary Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Production Office Mr. Lubwama Micheal appointment dated 8th April 2012, DSC min no 43 of 5/2021	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	f. District Community Development Officer/Principal CDO, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Community Development Officer Mr. Kyejjusa John Appointment dated 1st July 2016. DSC minute No 91/2016	3

1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	g. District Commercial Officer/Principal Commercial Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Commercial Officer Mr. Mabala Moses confirmation date 12th February 2019. DSC min no 6 of 01/2019	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	i. A Senior Procurement Officer /Municipal: Procurement Officer, 2 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive Senior Procurement Officer Mr. Mayanja William appointment date 3rd September 2009, DSC min no 116/2009	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	ii. Procurement Officer /Municipal Assistant Procurement Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG has a Procurement Officer Mr. Lwanyaaga Henry appointment date 26th April 2023, DSC min no 33 of 2/2023.	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	i. Principal Human Resource Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Principal Human Resource Officer Ms Bakobye Juliet Appointment date 13th June 2018, DSC min no 51/2018.	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	j. A Senior Environment Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Senior Environment Officer Mr. Mpoza Esau Appointment date 18th June 2012, DSC min no 71/2012	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	k. Senior Land Management Officer /Physical Planner, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a Senior Land Management Officer, Kasuzi Gilbert Lusajja DSC min no 307/2018	2

1	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.</p>	<p>l. A Senior Accountant, score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG had a Senior Accountant, Ms. Nabisubi Cissy appointment date 20th December 2017, DSC min no 148/2017.</p>	2
1	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.</p>	<p>m. Principal Internal Auditor /Senior Internal Auditor, score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG had a Principal Internal Auditor Mr. Busulwa Simon Peter, Appointment date 23rd December 2015. DSC min no 106/2015.</p>	2
1	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.</p>	<p>n. Principal Human Resource Officer (Secretary DSC), score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG had a substantive Principal Human Resource Officer (Secretary DSC), Ms. Kasozi Annet appointment date 21st September 2018, DSC min no 330/2018 (1)</p>	2

New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG

Maximum score is 15

a. Senior Assistant Secretary (Sub-Counties) /Town Clerk (Town Councils) / Senior Assistant Town Clerk (Municipal Divisions) in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0 (Consider the customized structure).

The LG had a Senior Assistant Secretary (Sub-Counties) /Town Clerk (Town Councils) in 6 subcounties 9 TC

Musasizi Racheal (Wakiso SC) DSC min no 263/2018 (02)

Mabira Richard (Kakiri SC) DSC min no 224 of 2019.

Nakyeyune Slyvia (Masulita SC) DSC min no 263/2018 (01)

Nakintu Prossy (Mende SC) DSC min no 130/2011

Mr. Senoga Lawrence (Busii SC) DSC min no 81/2009

Mr. Sendikadiwa Ssewanyana (Namayumba SC) DSC min no 11/2016

Kasibante Livingstone (masulita TC) DSC min no 72/2016

Muganga Geoffrey (Katabi TC) DSC min no 317/2018

Mr. Lutalo Umar (Kajjansi TC) DSC MIN no 222.3 of 2019

Mwasanje Stephen (Kyengera TC) DSCmin min 222.2 of 2019

Mutanda Agrey (Namayunba TC) DSC min 65 of 3/2020

Sewankambo James (Wakiso TC) DSC min no 110 of 9/2022

Nakyazze Harreit (Kasangati TC) DSC min no 222,1 of 2019

Mabanja Jimmy (Namayumba TC) DSC min no 82 of 6/2023

Lubega Robert (Kasanje TC) DSC min no 174 of 2020

Ssentongo Ronald (Kakiri TC) DSC min no 123.2 of 9/2023

New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG

Maximum score is 15

b. A Community Development Officer / Senior CDO in case of Town Councils, in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0.

The LG had a Community Development Officer / Senior CDO in case of Town Councils, in all LLGS ie 6SC and 9 TCs

Nakyazze Olivia Emilly (Wakiso TC)
Dsc min no 150/2017 (2)

Ssekasi Robert Ntege (Masulita TC)
DSC min no 288/2018(02)

Nakimera ester Rachel DSC min no 288/2018(03 (Namayumba TC)

Mukiibi Ronald (Kasangati TC) DSC min no 288/2018 (01)

Mutebi Robert (Katabi TC) DSC min no 150/2017 (4)

Nakyeyune Rukiya (Kakiri TC) DSC min no 150/2017(1)

Ampaire Lewis (Kyengera TC) DSC min no 9 of 2018 (01)

Nayiga Hidaya (Kasanje TC) DSC MIN NO 209/2016

Mbawadde Rosetti (Kajjansi TC)
DSCmin no 45 of 5/2021

Nayiga Rashida (mende sc) DSC MIN no 91 of 2012

Nambajjwe robinah (Kakiri SC) DSC min no 69.1 of 2019

Nalweyiso Rebecca (Masulita SC) DSC min no 9 of 2018 (04)

Nakanwgi Sarah (Namayumba SC) DSC min no 26/2009

Lukwago Joel (Wakiso SC) DSC MIN NO 284/2018

New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG

Maximum score is 15

c. A Senior Accounts Assistant /an Accounts Assistant in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0.

The LG had a Senior Accounts Assistant /an Accounts Assistant in all LLGS i.e. 6SC and 9TC

Senkenzi John Richard (Kyengera TC) DSC min 285/2018

Gwokyalya Irene (Kajjansi TC) DSC MIN NO 278/2018 (01)

Sendege Baker (Wakiso TC) DSC min no 38/2012

Nambi Alizik (Kasanje TC) DC min no 273/2018 (01)

Kyozila Betty (Katabi TC) DSC min no 221 of 15/2022

Kagwa Micheal (Kakiri TC) DSC MIN NO 273/2018 (02)

Nsimbe Charles (Masulita TC) DSC min no 223 of 15/2022.

Juuko Fredrick (Namayumba SC) DSC min no 275/2018

Nankambwe Juliet (Mende SC) DSC min no 43/2017

Nabaliika Lamulati (Wakiso SC) DSC min no 43/2017

Nsonzi Haruna (masulita sc) DSC min no DSC min 44/2005 (d)

Male Bemba (Kakiri Sc) DSC min no 279/2018(06)

Environment and Social Requirements

3

Evidence that the LG has released all funds allocated for the implementation of environmental and social safeguards in the previous FY.

Maximum score is 4

If the LG has released 100% of funds allocated in the previous FY to:

a. Natural Resources department,
score 2 or else 0

As per the LG Final Accounts 2022/2023, release budget was UGX576,215,895 and the LG released UGX576,214,895 page 13 of the Final Account

This represented 100%

2

3

Evidence that the LG has released all funds allocated for the implementation of environmental and social safeguards in the previous FY.

Maximum score is 4

If the LG has released 100% of funds allocated in the previous FY to:

b. Community Based Services department.
score 2 or else 0.

As per the LG Final account 2022/2023 Release budget was UGX636,630,428 and the LG released UGX636,630,428 page 13 of the Final account.

This represented 100%

2

4	Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.	a. If the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening, score 4 or else 0	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects as exemplified by Environmental and Social Screening Forms for landscaping and construction of district Gardens at the district headquarters, dated 18/1/2022, signed by the SEO and PCDO.	4
Maximum score is 12				
4	Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.	b. If the LG has carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG),	There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications identified during screening stage did not require ESIA.	4
Maximum score is 12		score 4 or 0		
4	Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.	c. If the LG has a Costed ESMPs for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG);;	There was evidence that Wakiso District LG had Costed ESMPs for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG) prior to commencement of all civil works as exemplified by the costed ESMPs for the DDEG project of landscaping and construction of district Gardens at the district headquarters, dated 18/1/2022, signed by the SEO and PCDO.	4
Maximum score is 12		score 4 or 0		

Financial management and reporting

5	Evidence that the LG does not have an adverse or disclaimer audit opinion for the previous FY.	If a LG has a clean audit opinion, score 10;	Wakiso LG had a clean/unqualified audit report of 2022/2023	10
Maximum score is 10		If a LG has a qualified audit opinion, score 5		
		If a LG has an adverse or disclaimer audit opinion for the previous FY, score 0		

6	<p>Evidence that the LG has provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General and Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by end of February (PFMA s. 11 2g). This statement includes issues, recommendations, and actions against all findings where the Internal Auditor and Auditor General recommended the Accounting Officer to act (PFM Act 2015).</p>	<p>If the LG has provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General and Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by end of February (PFMA s. 11 2g),</p>	<p>The LG provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General and Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by end of February ,</p>	10
<p>maximum score is 10</p>	<p>score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>Responses was on 24/011/2022</p> <p>Issues raised</p> <p>Uncollected revenue worth UGX 358,607,851. Review of revenue performance for the first 3 months of the fy revealed that all sources performed below the target except local service tax</p> <p>Encroachers have taken over Najja District land, the part this land occupied by permanent structures built by encroachers</p> <p>Unaccounted for fuel of UGX 28,590,5000; its good account practices to make accountability advance as per regulation 42 and 43 of the LG regulation 2007</p>		
7	<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted an annual performance contract by August 31st of the current FY</p>	<p>If the LG has submitted an annual performance contract by August 31st of the current FY,</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG submitted annual performance contract on 26/07/2023</p>	4
<p>Maximum Score 4</p>	<p>score 4 or else 0.</p>			
8	<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted the Annual Performance Report for the previous FY on or before August 31, of the current Financial Year</p>	<p>If the LG has submitted the Annual Performance Report for the previous FY on or before August 31, of the current Financial Year,</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG submitted annual performance report on 16/08/2023</p>	4
<p>maximum score 4 or else 0</p>	<p>score 4 or else 0.</p>			

Evidence that the LG has submitted Quarterly Budget Performance Reports (QBPRs) for all the four quarters of the previous FY by August 31, of the current Financial Year
Maximum score is 4

If the LG has submitted Quarterly Budget Performance Reports (QBPRs) for all the four quarters of the previous FY by August 31, of the current Financial Year,

score 4 or else 0.

There was evidence that the LG submitted quarterly budget performance reports as follows:

Quarter 1 submitted on 13/012/2022;

Quarter 2 submitted on 15/02/2023;

Quarter 3 submitted on 01/06/2023 ; and

Quarter 4 submitted on 16/08/2023.

Education Minimum Conditions

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New Evidence that the LG has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Education Office.	a) District Education Officer (district)/ Principal Education Officer (municipal council), score 30 or else 0	The LG had a District Education Officer Mr. Kiyangi Fredrick Kinobe Appointment dated 28th April 2011, DSC min no 92/2011	30
<i>The Maximum Score of 70</i>				
1	New Evidence that the LG has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Education Office.	b) All District/Municipal Inspector of Schools, score 40 or else 0.	<p>The LG had all District/Municipal Inspector of Schools;</p> <p>Kabanda Dennis (inspector of schools) DSC min no 230 of 17/ 2022(ii)</p> <p>Appraised by Kasule Thomas on 24.07.2023</p> <p>Nakkazi Mary Kizito (inspector of schools) DSC min no 96 of 7/2022</p> <p>Appraised by Kasule Thomas on 24.07.2023</p> <p>Ssekamatte Simon (inspector of schools) DSC MIN NO 229.2 OF 17/2022</p> <p>Appraised by Mr. kisule Thomson on 31.07.2023</p> <p>Namirembe Philomena DSC min no 229.1 of 17/2022 appraised by Kasule Thomas on 05.07.2023</p> <p>Nanyanzi Prim Ritah (inspector of schools) DSc min no 26 of</p>	40
<i>The Maximum Score of 70</i>				
Environment and Social Requirements				
2	Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Education sector projects the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)	<p>If the LG carried out:</p> <p>a. Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 15 or else 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all education projects as exemplified by the filled Environmental Impact Screening forms for the following projects. All the forms were signed by the SEO and PCDO.</p> <p>1. Proposed teachers house at Kavumba CoU P/S, dated 10/10/2022;</p> <p>2. Construction of 2-classroom block at Kyebando UMEA PS, dated 12/10/2022;</p> <p>3. Construction of 2 classroom block at Buloba CoU, dated 12/10/2022; and</p> <p>4. Construction of 2 classroom block at Namusera UMEA, dated 5/10/2022.</p>	15
<i>The Maximum score is 30</i>				

2

Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Education sector projects the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)

If the LG carried out:

b. Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) , score 15 or else 0.

There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all education sector projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications identified during screening stage did not require ESIA.

The Maximum score is 30

**Health Minimum
Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	<p>New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions.</p> <p><i>Applicable to Districts only.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>a. If the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for: District Health Officer, score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>The LG had a substantive DHO, Dr. Mukisa Emmanuel, Appointment date 14th October 2005, DSC min no 37/2005 (E)refers.</p>	10
1	<p>New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions.</p> <p><i>Applicable to Districts only.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>b. Assistant District Health Officer Maternal, Child Health and Nursing, score 10 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG had an Assistant District Health Officer Maternal, Child Health and Nursing officer, Nabuganda Betty, DSC MIN NO 172/2017:1</p>	10
1	<p>New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions.</p> <p><i>Applicable to Districts only.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>c. Assistant District Health Officer Environmental Health, score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>The LG had an Assistant District Health Officer Environmental Health, Sekaboga David, DSC min no 171/2017</p>	10
1	<p>New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions.</p> <p><i>Applicable to Districts only.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>d. Principal Health Inspector (Senior Environment Officer), score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>The LG had a Principal Health Inspector, Damba Emmanuel DSC min no 1 of 2020</p>	10
1	<p>New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions.</p> <p><i>Applicable to Districts only.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>e. Senior Health Educator, score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>The LG had a Senior Health Educator, Natukunda Bonny, DSC min no 223 of 2019</p>	10

1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	f. Biostatistician, score 10 or 0.	The LG had a Biostatistician, Namulondo Edith, DSC min no 163 of 2017	10
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	g. District Cold Chain Technician, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a District Cold Chain Technician, Ssenyonjo AbdulKarim DSC min no 78/2018.	10
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	h. Medical Officer of Health Services /Principal Medical Officer, score 30 or else 0.		
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	i. Principal Health Inspector, score 20 or else 0.		
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	j. Health Educator, score 20 or else 0		

Environment and Social Requirements

2	Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Health sector projects, the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)	If the LG carried out: a. Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 15 or else 0.	There was evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all health projects as exemplified by the filled Environmental Impact Screening forms for the following projects. All the forms were signed by the SEO and the PCDO. 1.Construction of Buloba-Kitawuluzi HC III, Buloba village, Buloba parish, Wakiso sub-county, dated 14/10/2022; 2.Construction of New Mutuba 1, Nangabo HC III, Nangabo ward, Kasangati Town Council, dated 18/10/22; 3.Construction of a 6-unit staff quarter at Zzinga HC III, Zzinga village, Zzinga parish, Bussi sub-county, dated 11/10/2022; and 4. Construction of phase 1 of the general ward at Kajjansi HC IV, dated 7/10/2022.	15
	Maximum score is 30			
2	Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Health sector projects, the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)	b. Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) , score 15 or else 0.	There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all health sector projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications, identified during screening stage, did not require ESIA.	15
	Maximum score is 30			

**Micro-scale Irrigation
Minimum Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District Production Office responsible for Micro-Scale Irrigation</p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>If the LG has recruited;</p> <p>a. the Senior Agriculture Engineer</p> <p><i>score 70 or else 0.</i></p>	<p>The LG had a, senior Agriculture officer Jjagwe Joseph DSC min no 33 of 3/2021 appointment date 19th march 2021</p>	70
Environment and Social Requirements				
2	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening have been carried out for potential investments and where required costed ESMPs developed.</p> <p><i>Maximum score is 30</i></p>	<p>If the LG:</p> <p>Carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening score 30 or else 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all micro-scale irrigation projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) as exemplified by the completed Environmental and Social Screening Forms (ESSFs) for the following projects. All the forms were signed by the SEO and the CDO.</p> <p>1.Karemire Richard: Small micro-irrigation project, Namayumba sub-county, dated 16/12/2022;</p> <p>2.Anzoa Christine: Small micro-irrigation project, Kakiri sub-county, dated 7/3/2023;</p> <p>3.Lukwago Robert: Small micro-irrigation project, Gombe Division, dated 6/1/2023; and</p> <p>4. Musaazi Florence: Small micro-irrigation project, Wakiso Town Council, dated 6/1/2023.</p>	30

**Water & Environment
Minimum Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	a. 1 Civil Engineer (Water), score 15 or else 0.	DWO Galabuzi Isaac was appointed on 12/4/2016; Ref.CRC10384 under Minute DSC Min50/2016.	15
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	b. 1 Assistant Water Officer for mobilization, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had an Assistant Water Officer for mobilization, Mr. Magoba Knox Bamwine Appointment date 14th October 2005, 41/2005 (F) Refers.	10
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	c. 1 Borehole Maintenance Technician/Assistant Engineering Officer, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a Borehole Maintenance Technician, Abudalla Aziz was appointed AWO (Water) on 10/10/2019 Ref. CRD/12370 under Minute DSC202/2019.	10
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	d. 1 Natural Resources Officer, score 15 or else 0.	Ssabaganzi Rebecca Bukenya was appointed Natural Resources Officer was appointed on 230/5/2008 Ref.CRD11226 under minute DSC/Min24/2008 (c). Hence, score =15.	15
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	e. 1 Environment Officer, score 10 or else 0.	Mpozza Esau was appointed SEO on 18/8/2012 Ref. CRD10636 under Minute DSC/Min71/20/2012. Hence, score =10.	10
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	f. Forestry Officer, score 10 or else 0.	Nankya Harriet was appointed Senior Forestry Officer on 31/3/2011 Ref. CRD/10626 under Minute DSC Min67/2011. Hence, score =10.	10
Environment and Social Requirements				

2

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

If the LG:

a. Carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 10 or else 0.

There was evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all civil works for all water sector infrastructure projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) as exemplified by the filled Environmental Impact Screening Forms for the following projects. All the forms were signed by the SEO and the CDO.

1. Proposed borehole rehabilitation of Muyenje borehole, Glorious Education Centre, Agali Awamu borehole, Nakikungube borehole in Muyenje, Kakooge, Banda Central, Nakikungube villages respectively, dated 12/8/2022;

2. Installation of water tank reservoir and distribution mains (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase II, dated 11/8/2022; and

Installation of distribution pipeline and water points (Bussi solar powered piped water scheme) phase III, 11/8/2022.

10

2

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

b. Carried out Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) , score 10 or else 0.

There was no evidence that Wakiso district LG carried out Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all civil works for all water sector infrastructure projects for the previous financial year (2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications, identified during screening stage, did not require ESIA

10

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

c. Ensured that the LG got abstraction permits for all piped water systems issued by DWRM, score 10 or else 0.

Within the LG, there are firms / bodies that were abstracting ground water and/or surface water but had not applied for and did not hold water abstraction permits. These are: NWSC in parts of Wakiso LG and Central Umbrella in Bukalango, Nakawuka, Masuulita TC and Namayumba TC for the respective Water Supply systems.

In addition, the LG applied for and obtained water abstraction permits from DWRM, for Bussi Island WSS dated 05/02/22; Lukwanga WSS dated 24/3/21.

Hence, score = 0.