



LGMSD 2022/23

Nakasongola
District

(Vote Code: 544)

Assessment	Scores
Crosscutting Minimum Conditions	67%
Education Minimum Conditions	30%
Health Minimum Conditions	60%
Water & Environment Minimum Conditions	35%
Micro-scale Irrigation Minimum Conditions	100%
Crosscutting Performance Measures	86%
Educational Performance Measures	67%
Health Performance Measures	73%
Water & Environment Performance Measures	84%
Micro-scale Irrigation Performance Measures	71%

**Crosscutting
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Service Delivery Outcomes of DDEG investments</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence that infrastructure projects implemented using DDEG funding are functional and utilized as per the purpose of the project(s): • If so: Score 4 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence that infrastructure projects implemented using DDEG funding were functional and utilised as per the purpose of the project(s) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Phase I fencing of Nakasongola Sports center UGX 18,661,735- substantial completed on 30/06/2023; page 70 quarter 4; 2. Phase III construction of reception center for the Juvenile at Nakasongola Police station at UGX 12,116,363 substantial completed on 30/06/2023; page 28 and 3. Completion of fencing of production department UGX 18,000,000 substantially completed on 30/06/2023. Page 16 of quarter 4. 	4

N23_Service Delivery Performance

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

The average score in the overall LLG performance assessment increased from previous assessment.

- By more than 5%, score 3
- 1 to 5% increase, score 2
- If no increase, score 0

NB: If the previous average score was 95% and above, Score 3 for any increase.

FY 2021/2022 average score 725/15 = 48%

FY 2022/2023 average score 1183/15 = 79%

There was increase from 48% to 79% as per the details below:

FY 2021/2022	FY 2022/2023
--------------	--------------

1. Kakooge Sub county 68% to 83%;

2. Lwampanga Sub county 67% to 69%;

3. Lwabiyata Sub county .43% to 62%;

4. Mijjera TC 58 to 67%;

5. Wabinyonyi Sub county 40% to 70%;

6. Katuungo Town Council 0% to 78%;

7. Lwmapanga TC 0% to 69%;

8. Kazwamaa TC 0% to 88%;

9. Mairirkit TC 0% to 43%;

10. Karungi Sub county 74% to 69%;

11. Kakooge TC 78% to 86%;

12. Kaloongo Sub county 80%;

13. Nakitoma Sub county 73% to 61%;

14. Nakasongola TC 70% to 67%; and

15. Nabisweera Sub county 74% to 83%.

2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the DDEG funded investment projects implemented in the previous FY were completed as per performance contract (with AWP) by end of the FY.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% the projects were completed : Score 3 • If 80-99%: Score 2 • If below 80%: 0 	<p>As per report dated 30/06/2023; Completion supervision reports for development projects for FY 2022/2023.</p> <p>The projects were as follows;</p> <p>Phase I fencing of Nakasongola Sports center Budgeted Contract sum: UGX 19,643,932 amount paid UGX 18,661,735 100% completion on 30/06/2023; page 70 quarter 4;</p> <p>Phase III construction of reception center for the Juvenile at Nakasongola Police station Contract sum: UGX 12,000,000 at UGX 12,116,363 100% completion on 30/06/2023; page 28 and</p> <p>Completion of fencing of production department contract sum: UGX 18,000,000 , amount paid UGX 18,000,000 100% completion.</p>	3
3	<p>Investment Performance</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The LG budgeted for UGX77,116,363 and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guideline as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Completion of reception center for the juvenile at Nakasongola police station UGX 12,116,363; 2. Partial fencing of Wabuyonyi Sports field UGX 20,000,000; 3. Completion of fencing of production department UGX 18,000,000; 4. Titling of 3 health facilities (Kasozi Health center II , Kiwanda HCII and Kyeyindira HCIII,) UGX 10,000,000; 5. Construction of water borne toilet at Chairsperson office and painting of the building UGX 15,000,000; 6. Payment of rention for Health II staff house- Erima UGX 2,000,000 	2

3	Investment Performance Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	b. If the variations in the contract price for sample of DDEG funded infrastructure investments for the previous FY are within +/-20% of the LG Engineers estimates, score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the variations in priced projects funded under DDEG for the previous FY were within +/-20% of the Engineers estimate. There was only one project as follows: Construction of Childrens reception centre Phase III at Nakasongola Police Station Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0021 Contractor: Nimwe Suppliers Ltd Engineer's sum: UGX 12,116,000 Contract sum: UGX 12,114,647 Variation: 0.01%	2
---	--	---	---	---

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

4	Accuracy of reported information Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure	a. Evidence that information on the positions filled in LLGs as per minimum staffing standards is accurate, score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the information filled in LLGs as per minimum staffing standards of 8 staff was accurate Kakooge TC: 25 Staff As per staff list dated 8.8.2023 Lwampanga SC: 13 Staff Staff list dated September 8th 2022 Nakitooma SC: 15 staff	2
---	--	--	--	---

4	<p>Accuracy of reported information</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that infrastructure constructed using the DDEG is in place as per reports produced by the LG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100 % in place: Score 2, else score 0. <p>Note: if there are no reports produced to review: Score 0</p>	<p>As per report dated 30/06/2023; Completion supervision reports for development projects for FY 2022/2023.</p> <p>The projects were as follows;</p> <p>Phase I fencing of Nakasongola Sports center Budgeted Contract sum: UGX 19,643,932 amount paid UGX 18,661,735- substantial completed on 30/06/2023; page 70 quarter 4</p> <p>Phase III construction of reception center for the Juvenile at Nakasongola Police station Contract sum: UGX 12,000,000 at UGX 12,116,363 substantial completed on 30/06/2023; page 28 and</p> <p>Completion of fencing of production department contract sum: UGX 18,000,000 , amount paid UGX 18,000,000</p>	2
5	<p>N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG conducted a credible assessment of LLGs as verified during the National Local Government Performance Assessment Exercise;</p> <p>If there is no difference in the assessment results of the LG and national assessment in all LLGs</p> <p>score 4 or else 0</p> <p>NB: The Source is the OPAMS Data Generated by OPM.</p>	<p>The LLG assessment as extracted from OPAMS and generated by OPM were as follows:</p> <p>Kakooge SC 83% , IVA 92% = +9% credible;</p> <p>Lwampanga SC 69%, IVA 75% = +6% credible;</p> <p>Nakitooma SC 61% , IVA 62% = +1%; and</p> <p>Kakoonge TC 86%, IVA 92% = +6%.</p> <p>The variation was below -+10%</p>	4
5	<p>N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. The District/ Municipality has developed performance improvement plans for at least 30% of the lowest performing LLGs for the current FY, based on the previous assessment results.</p> <p>Score: 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The District had developed performance improvement plans for at least 30% of the lowest performing LLGs for the current FY, based on the previous assessment results</p> <p>Dated 8.12.2022. by Namayega Rose (District planner)</p> <p>LLGs to mentor included Lwabyata SC with 43% score, Wabinyonyi SC 40%, Katuugo TC 0%, Kazwama TC 0%, Lwampanga TC 0% and Mayirikiti TC 0%.</p>	2

N23_Reporting and Performance Improvement

Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure

c. The District/ Municipality has implemented the PIP for the 30 % lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY:

Score 2 or else score 0

The District had implemented the PIP for the 30 % lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY:

Performance improvement report for LLGs FY 2021/2022, Dated 16th February 2023 signed by Namayega Rose (District planner).

LLGs mentored included Lwabyata SC with 43% score, Wabinyonyi SC 40%, Katuugo TC 0%, Kazwama TC 0%, Lwampanga TC 0% and Mayirikiti TC 0%.

Human Resource Management and Development

Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff

Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure

a. Evidence that the LG has consolidated and submitted the staffing requirements for the coming FY to the MoPS by September 30th of the current FY, with copy to the respective MDAs and MoFPED.

Score 2 or else score 0

The LG had consolidated and submitted the staffing requirements for the coming FY to the MoPS by September 30th, with copy to the respective MDAs and MoFPED.

Dated 20.09.2023. submitted on 28.09.2023.

Detailed as follows;

Administration Headquarters 6 positions

Administration Sub counties 18

Finance Headquarters 1 position

Statutory bodies headquarters 6 positions

Production Headquarters 3 positions

Production Sub counties 9 positions

Planning unit 1 position

Community based services 1 position

Works department 4 positions

Natural resources 3 positions

Audit 2 positions

Town council administration 21 positions

Town councils finance 6 positions

Town councils Production 8 positions

New town councils 72 positions

Trade and industry and local development 5 positions

Health 90 positions

Primary education 243 positions

Secondary education 249 positions

Education headquarters 32 positions

Community New TCs 12 positions

Trade and Industry (new TCs) 8 positions and,

Health New TCs 11 positions

Audit New TCs 4 positions

Production New TCS 4 Positions
Planning New TCs 4 positions

7	<p>Performance management</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has conducted a tracking and analysis of staff attendance (as guided by Ministry of Public Service CSI):</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG had conducted a tracking and analysis of staff attendance (as guided by Ministry of Public Service CSI):</p> <p>Submission of analysis of Duty attendance register for 3rd and 4th quarter by Nabatanzi Atia on July 17th 2023</p> <p>Submission of analysis of Duty attendance register for 1st and 2nd quarter by Nabatanzi Atia December 31st 2022</p>	2
7	<p>Performance management</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>i. Evidence that the LG has conducted an appraisal with the following features:</p> <p>HODs have been appraised as per guidelines issued by MoPS during the previous</p> <p>FY: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Not all HODs were not appraised by 30.06.2023</p> <p>Andama Charles Ajuni (AG) DNRO</p> <p>Appraisal by UMA Charles on 17.7.2023</p> <p>Ms. Nakamya Sarah DPO,</p> <p>Appraised by UMA Charles on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Namwanje Jesca AG District commercial officer</p> <p>Appraised by UMA Charles on 17.07.2023</p>	0
7	<p>Performance management</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>ii. (in addition to "a" above) has also implemented administrative rewards and sanctions on time as provided for in the guidelines:</p> <p>Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The rewards and sanctions had been implemented administrative rewards and sanctions on time as provided for in the guidelines:</p> <p>The rewards and sanctions committee meeting held on 5th may 2023. In DCAO's office</p> <p>Minutes of the rewards and sanctions committee held on 24/02/2022</p> <p>Minutes of the rewards and sanctions committee meeting held on 23/02/2022</p> <p>Minutes of the rewards and sanctions committee meeting held on 25/05/2022</p> <p>Minutes of the rewards and sanctions committee held on 26th 05 2022</p> <p>Rewards and sanctions committee meeting held on 12/5/2023</p>	1

7	Performance management Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure	iii. Has established a Consultative Committee (CC) for staff grievance redress which is functional. Score 1 or else 0	The CC had been established but was not functional	0
8	Payroll management Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure or else score 0	a. Evidence that 100% of the staff recruited during the previous FY have accessed the salary payroll not later than two months after appointment: Score 1.	There was no recruitment during the previous FY	1
9	Pension Payroll management Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure or else score 0	a. Evidence that 100% of staff that retired during the previous FY have accessed the pension payroll not later than two months after retirement: Score 1.	Some of the retired staff did not access the pension payroll in 2 months Kasibante Herbert retired 11.11.22, accessed December 2022 Kakinda Harry Lwanga retired 27.12.2022, Accessed January 2023 Odokonyero Paska retired 02.12.2022, Accessed January 2023 Nabbosa Margaret Harriet retired 21.11.2022, Accessed March 2023 Walakira Godfrey retired 10.10.2022, Accessed march 2023 Kazibwe Charles retired 29.08.2022, accessed September 2023 Nabisenge Rose retired 23.09.2022, Accessed March 2023 Lubega Kajura retired 01.01.2023, Accessed march 2023 Uchanda David retired 29.10.22 Accessed June 2023. Ntaate Goerge William retired 28.10.2022, Accessed November 2022	0

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery

Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure

a. If direct transfers (DDEG) to LLGs were executed in accordance with the requirements of the budget in previous FY:

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG transferred DDEG funds to the LLG in accordance to the requirements as follows:

The LG received UGX 75,236,423 and transfer as follows:

Quarter 2: UGX 75,236,423 transferred on 01/12/2022 as follows:

1. Wabinyonyi UGX 8,078,080;
2. Nabisweera UGX 10,241,248;
3. Lwampanga UGX 7,483,209;
4. Kalungi UGX 7,915,843;
5. Kakonge UGX 5,921,246;
6. Lwabyiata UGX 7,158,734;
7. Nakitoma UGX 6,401,625;
8. Kalongo UGX 7,212,813; and

Total UGX 60,412,798.

Town Council:

1. Nakasongola UGX 5,045,326;
2. Kakonge UGX 4,637,677;
3. Mijeera UGX 3,192,374;
4. Katunngo UGX 487,062;
5. Kazwama UGX 487,062;
6. Lwapanga UGX 487,062; and
7. Mayirikiti UGX 487,062.

Total UGX 14,823,625

Quarter 3 UGX150,502, 521 transferred on 01/02/2023 as follows:

1. Wabinyonyi UGX 16,172,333;
2. Nabiswera UGX 20,503,00;
3. Rwampanga UGX 14,981,399;
4. Kalungi UGX 15,847,533;
5. Kakonge UGX 11,733,399;
6. Lwabyiata UGX 14,331,799;
7. Nakitoma UGX 12,816,066; and
8. Kalongo UGX 14,440,066.

Total UGX 120,825,596

10	N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery	b. If the LG did timely warranting/ verification of direct DDEG transfers to LLGs for the last FY, in accordance to the requirements of the budget:Note: Timely warranting for a LG means: 5 working days from the date of upload of releases by MoFPED).	The LG did not timely warrant of direct DDEG transfers to the LLG for the previous FY for the two quarters as follows:	0
	Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure	Score: 2 or else score 0	Quarter 2 Cash limit of UGX 75,236,423 was released on 7/10/2022 warranted 17/10/2022, within 8 days; and Quarter 3. Cash of UGX150,502,521 was released 5/01/2023, warrant 15/01/2023 with 8days.	
10	N23_Effective Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery	c. If the LG invoiced and communicated all DDEG transfers for the previous FY to LLGs within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the funds release in each quarter:	The LG did not invoice and communicate all DDEG transfers for the previous FY to LLGs within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the funds release.	0
	Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure	Score 2 or else score 0	Quarter 2: Cash limit was on 7/10/2022, CAO communicated on 17/10/2022 invoicing 19/10/2022 EFT 1737124 and 1727128 Quarter 3, Cash limit was on 5/01/2023, CAO communicated on 01/02/2023, invoicing 01/02/2023	
11	Routine oversight and monitoring	a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has supervised or mentored all LLGs in the District /Municipality at least once per quarter consistent with guidelines:	There was evidence that the District supervised and mentored 8 sub counties and 7 town council all LLGs in the District as follows:	2
	Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure	Score 2 or else score 0	Quarter 1; Dated 12/01/2022 Training on Financial management, budget preparation, = SASs, Sub accountants, parish chiefs Challenges: Nakasongola TC , area land committees were not functional, supervision and monitoring of schools were only done on few primary schools, Staff attendance was poor, financial information not displayed on the noticeboard, Wabiyonyi sub county: Stockout of drugs in Wabigola Health center III, expired drugs still at the facility, 1615 out patients in the quarter visited the facility and 49 inputs . Some villages lack functional Village Health Team (VHT) Lwanpanga Health Center III, staff quarters inadequate, drugs supplied by NMS not sufficient Quarter 2; Dated 12/05/2023: Formation of area land committee they	

had nonfunctional area land committee, budget preparation,

Issues:

Nakitooma RC primary school in Nakitooma Sub county, school had no special needs facilities, no candidate class

Nakitooma Health Center III, stockout of essential drugs, no OPD pit latrine, understaffing at the health facility,

Kaloogo Sub county, some water sources were not functional, Kamira Health Center II stockout of drugs, understaffing, expired drugs still kept at the facility

The LLG did not screen projects for social and environmental safeguards

Quarter 3:

Dated 16/06/2023, preparation of quarterly budget performance report and work plans,

Issues:

Kalungi sub county : management meetings not held, financial information not displayed on noticeboard, Kalung Health Center III understaff, most villages had no functional Village Health team, expired drugs like ARV due to over stocking, stock out of essential drugs like Amoxicillin

Quarter 4; Date 12/07/2023

Training on development plans,

Issues:

Mijeera town council: poor revenue performance, garbage not collected, extension workers not facilitated, Nabisweera sub county , Nabisweera CU primary school , staff accommodation inadequate , boys lack pit latrines , the access road to the school in poor state.

11	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the results/reports of support supervision and monitoring visits were discussed in the TPC, used by the District/ Municipality to make recommendations for corrective actions and followed-up:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the results/reports of support supervision and monitoring visits were discussed in the TPC, used by the District to make recommendations for corrective actions and followed-up as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 report was discussed on 20/01/2023: Min/40/01/DTPC/2023</p> <p>Recommendations.</p> <p>Need for pre-entry meeting prior to the monitoring exercise,</p> <p>Sanctioning of LLG that failed to prioritize natural resources management activities</p> <p>Secretariat to extract specific recommendation for each LLG from the report and share with the LLG</p> <p>Quarter 2: Meeting was held on 9/08/2023 Min/04/08/DTPC/2023</p> <p>Recommendation that staff should be mentored on social, and environmental screening; CAO to appoint forest ranges, education department should budget for toilet facility and classroom blocks at the schools</p> <p>Quarter 3 and quarter 4: Meeting was held on 06/09/2023 Min/10/09/DTPC/2023</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Extension staff should avail performance reports, The Health management committee should nominate on time to oversee issues health facilities.</p> <p>Need for CAO to have the vacant post filled in all sub-counties.</p> <p>Education department to construct more Special needs education (SNE)and latrine stances</p>
----	---	---	--

Investment Management

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the District/Municipality maintains an up-dated assets register covering details on buildings, vehicle, etc. as per format in the accounting manual:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p> <p>Note: the assets covered must include, but not limited to: land, buildings, vehicles and infrastructure. If those core assets are missing score 0</p>	<p>As per the Summary statement of stores and other Assets (Physical Assets) as at end of the 30th June 2023.</p> <p>The following were the assets:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Buildings and structures UGX;135,379,580,837; 2. Land UGX121,640,000,000; 3. Transport Equipment UGX;5,448,953,388; 4. ICT Equipment UGX290,827,641; 5. Furniture and Fitting UGX2,641,214,000; 6. Machinery UGX 5,814,200,000; 7. Medical equipment UGX574,137,180; and 8. Office Equipment UGX 9,670,000. 	2
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the District/Municipality has used the Board of Survey Report of the previous FY to make Assets Management decisions including procurement of new assets, maintenance of existing assets and disposal of assets:</p> <p>Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District used the Board of Survey Report of the previous FY to make Assets Management decisions including procurement of new assets, maintenance of existing assets and disposal of assets as per the Board of survey dated 30/06/2022 in the following were the recommendations:</p> <p>Disposal of some of the assets that included motor cycles, 5 cabins and 6 filling cabins;</p> <p>Stalls found in bad shape to be renovated;</p> <p>New computers to be procured to replace the old one that were faulty;</p> <p>Procurement of office chairs for registry, physical planning Senior Assistant town Clerk;</p> <p>Repair of vehicles not in good working condition;</p> <p>Board off vehicles which were grounded and or not cost effective;</p> <p>Repair other vehicle which were not in good working conditions;</p> <p>Replacement of assets which become obsolete;</p> <p>Procure more assets for some departments; and</p> <p>Renovate the buildings that were dilapidated.</p>	1

Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure

c. Evidence that District/Municipality has a functional physical planning committee in place which has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD. If so Score 2. Otherwise Score 0.

There was evidence that District had a functional physical planning committee in place and submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD.

The committee was established on 12/08/2022 composed of the following members:

1. CAO;
2. Physical Planner;
3. District Engineer;
4. Water Officer;
5. Staff surveyor;
6. Environmental Officer;
7. Natural Resources Officer;
8. 7 Town clerks;
9. District Health Officer;
10. District Education Officer;
11. District Agriculture Officer; and
12. District Community Development Officer.

The 4 sets of reports were submitted as follows:

Quarter 1 Submitted on 14/07/2022;

Quarter 2 Submitted on 15/11/2022;

Quarter 3 Submitted on 03/03/2023;
and

Quarter 4 Submitted on 06/06/2023.

Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure

d. For DDEG financed projects; Evidence that the District/Municipality has conducted a desk appraisal for all projects in the budget - to establish whether the prioritized investments are: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDP III); (ii) eligible for expenditure as per sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. DDEG). If desk appraisal is conducted and if all projects are derived from the LGDP:

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the District conducted a desk appraisal for all projects in the budget and prioritised investments were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan; (ii) eligible for expenditure as per sector guidelines and funding source as follows:

All were in pages 57 to 75

Desk appraisal was done on 20/12/2021 for the following projects:

1. Construction of min piped water system at Nalukonge;
2. Drilling of 4 Boreholes drilling at Busebwe, Kaikanga, Ndainga and Tumba;
3. Rehabilitation of 4 boreholes at Murunjimu, Tumba, Ndaiga and Podia;
4. Phase II latrine construction at Kityoba Landing site;
5. Construction of a cubic masonry rain water tank at Nakatongo Primary school;
6. Construction of water harvesting tank at Kabakazi;
7. Construction of water borne toilet at chairman LCV Office;
8. Phase II construction of reception center at Nakasongola Police station;
9. Construction of 5 stance line pit block at Kirumiko Primary school;
10. Construction of 5 stance at Bulwand Primary school;
11. Phase I fencing of Nakasongola Sports center;
12. Construction of 2 stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III;
13. Phase II construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola Health center IV; and
14. Phase II fencing of Nabisweera Health center IV.

Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure

For DDEG financed projects:

e. Evidence that LG conducted field appraisal to check for (i) technical feasibility, (ii) Environmental and social acceptability and (iii) customized design for investment projects of the previous FY:

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG carried field appraisal for the previous FY as follows: The field appraisals were carried as follows:

1. Construction of min piped water system at Nalukonge field appraised on 22/02/2022
2. Drilling of 4 Boreholes drilling at Busebwe, Kaikanga, Ndainga and Tumba field appraised on 22/02/2022
3. Rehabilitation of 4 boreholes at Murunjimu, Tumba, Ndaiga and Podia, field appraise on 22/02/2022
4. Phase II latrine construction at Kityoba Landing sitefield appraised on 22/02/2022
5. Construction of a cubic masonry rain water tank at Nakatongo Primary school, field appraised on 22/02/222
6. Construction of water harvesting tank at Kabakazi; field appraised on 22/02/2022
7. Construction of water borne toilet at chairman LCV Office field appraised on 15/02/2022;
8. Phase II construction of reception center at Nakasongola Police station field appraised on 2/03/2022;
9. Construction of 5 stance line pit block at Kirumiko Primary school field appraised on 2/03/2022;
10. Construction of 5 stance at Bulwand Primary school, field appraise don 2/03/2022;
11. Phase I fencing of Nakasongola Sports center field appraised on 2/03/2022
12. Construction of 2 stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III, field appraised on 22/02/2022
13. Phase II construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola Health center IV field appraised on 22/02/2022
14. Phase II fencing of Nabisweera Health center IV, field appraised on 22/02/2022

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>f. Evidence that project profiles with costing have been developed and discussed by TPC for all investments in the AWP for the current FY, as per LG Planning guideline and DDEG guidelines:</p> <p>Score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that project profiles with costing were developed and discussed by TPC for all investments in the AWP for the current FY, as per LG Planning guideline and DDEG guidelines as follows:</p> <p>The TPC held meeting on 28/02/2023 Min Min/48/02/DTPC/2023</p> <p>And discussed the following project profiles with costing found from page 57- 67 of the Development Plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fencing of Nabisweera Health center IV UGX 122,591,000; page AWP; 2. Phase II fencing of the district sports ground UGX 24,018,000; 3. Construction of 5 of Kafu daily market UGX 9,092,000; 4. Rention of fencing of the production department UGX 1,000,000; 5. Completion of children reception center at Police UGX 25,000,000; and 6. Land title processing for Mulonzi Health center II , Wangoma Primary School , Sikye Health Center III, and Kiralamba Health Center III UGX 12,000,000. 	1
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments is conducted effectively</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>g. Evidence that the LG has screened for environmental and social risks/impact and put mitigation measures where required before being approved for construction using checklists:</p> <p>Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG has screened for environmental and social risks/impact and put mitigation measures where required before projects funded by the DDEG are approved for construction for the current FY (2023-2024 as exemplified by E & S Impact Screening Reports for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Phase III construction of emergency unit, Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2023, endorsed by the DEO; 2.Phase IV construction of reception centre, Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2023, endorsed by the DEO; and 3.Phase III construction of emergency unit, Nakasongola Town Council, dated 10/7/2023, endorsed by DEO. 	2

13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	a. Evidence that all infrastructure projects for the current FY to be implemented using the DDEG were incorporated in the LG approved procurement plan Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that all infrastructure projects under DDEG for the current FY were incorporated in the approved procurement plan as follows: Construction of surgical ward at Nabiswera HCIV – Item 49, page 6	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	b. Evidence that all infrastructure projects to be implemented in the current FY using DDEG were approved by the Contracts Committee before commencement of construction: Score 1 or else score 0	There was no project under DDEG in the current FY which had commenced construction and neither had the procurement started.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	c. Evidence that the LG has properly established the Project Implementation team as specified in the sector guidelines: Score 1 or else 0	The LG established the Project Implementation Team (PIT) for DDEG projects for the previous FY on 10/01/2023 and it included the following; District Education Officer, District Health Officer, District Engineer, Community Development Officer, Senior Environment Officer, District Labour Officer, the Supervisor works in charge of buildings and the District water officer.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	d. Evidence that all infrastructure projects implemented using DDEG followed the standard technical designs provided by the LG Engineer: Score 1 or else score 0	The construction of Children's reception centre at Nakasongola Police Station Phase III involved plastering the walls and the ceiling. Sample checks were done for external dimensions which measured 11m x 5.7m and the size of the doors which measured 2.4m x 0.9m as well as the windows which measured 1.35m x 1.2m; these all conformed to the technical designs by the LG Engineer. There were also no surface cracks on the plastered walls and no visible defects.	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure	e. Evidence that the LG has provided supervision by the relevant technical officers of each infrastructure project prior to verification and certification of works in previous FY. Score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that the LG has provided supervision by the relevant technical officers for the construction of Children's reception centre at Nakasongola Police Station Phase III according to the supervision report was dated 14/06/2023. The construction of Children's reception centre at Nakasongola Police Station Phase III involved plastering, rendering and ceiling only	2

<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>f. The LG has verified works (certified) and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes as per contract (within 2 months if no agreement):</p> <p>Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG has verified works and initiated payments within two months as follows:</p> <p>Project 1: Construction of Childrens reception centre Phase III at Nakasongola Police Station</p> <p>Contractor: Nimwe Suppliers Ltd</p> <p>Requisition Amount: UGX 12,114,647</p> <p>Requisition Date: 14/06/2023</p> <p>Environment Officer Sign: 15/06/2023</p> <p>CDO sign: 15/06/2023</p> <p>DEng sign: 15/06/2023</p> <p>CFO sign: stamped and no date</p> <p>CAO sign: 16/06/2023</p> <p>Amount Paid: UGX 10,602,034</p> <p>Payment Date: 26/06/2023</p> <p>Voucher No. 6397522</p> <p>EFT No. 6397522</p> <p>This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;</p> <p>Project 2: Construction of 2 brick masonry tanks</p> <p>Contractor: Perfresserk Investiments Ltd</p> <p>Requisition Amount: UGX 39,197,476</p> <p>Requisition Date: 27/02/2023</p> <p>Environment Officer Sign: 03/03/2023</p> <p>CDO sign: 03/03/2023</p> <p>DEng sign: 03/03/2023</p> <p>CFO sign: stamped and no date</p> <p>CAO sign: 06/03/2023</p> <p>Amount Paid: 36,818,869</p> <p>Payment Date: 28/03/2023</p> <p>Voucher No. 4638466</p> <p>EFT No. 4638466</p> <p>This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;</p> <p>Project 3: Installation of microscale irrigation demonstrations at kabasombwa in Lwampanga T/C and Wakasimba in Kakooge S/C</p> <p>Contractor: PK Universal Enterprises</p>
---	---	--

Requisition Amount: UGX 46,705,497

Requisition Date: 12/06/2023

Environment Officer Sign: miss

CDO sign: 16/06/2023

DEng sign: 19/06/2023

CFO sign: stamped and no date

CAO sign: sign and no date

Amount Paid: 42,114,110

Payment Date: 26/06/2023

Voucher No. 6411115

EFT No. 6411115

This lies within the required 2 months period for payment;

Procurement, contract management/execution
Maximum 8 points on this Performance Measure

g. The LG has a complete procurement file in place for each contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law:
Score 1 or else 0

There was evidence of a complete procurement file in place for all the awarded contracts sampled as follows:

File Name: Construction of Childrens reception centre Phase III at Nakasongola Police Station

Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0021

Contract signed: 14/04/2023

Evaluation report: 06/03/2023

Contracts Committee Meeting date: 20/03/2023

Min No: MIN 82/CC/3/23(iii)

File Name: Construction of Nakitoma Seed Secondary School

Contract No: MoES/UGIFT/WORKS/22-23/0004

Contract signed: 13/02/2023

Evaluation report: 08/01/2022

Contracts Committee Meeting date: 21/09/2022

Min No: MIN 23/CC/9/22

File Name: Installation of microscale irrigation demonstration sites

Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0023

Contract signed: 12/04/2023

Evaluation report: 06/03/2023

Contracts Committee Meeting date: 20/03/2023

Min No: MIN 82/CC/3/23(iv)

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	<p>Grievance redress mechanism operational.</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the District/Municipality has i) designated a person to coordinate response to feedback (grievance /complaints) and ii) established a centralized Grievance Redress Committee (GRC), with optional co-option of relevant departmental heads/staff as relevant.</p> <p>Score: 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola had designated a person to coordinate response to feedback on grievances/complaints as exemplified by a letter by the CAO, dated 16/11/2021, designating the Ag. District Natural Resources Officer as the Focal Point Person to coordinate response to feed-back (grievance /complaints).</p> <p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG had established a centralized Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) as evidenced by a letter of appointment on the GRC, date 16/11/2021, prepared by the CAO and addressed to 7 committee members.</p>	2
14	<p>Grievance redress mechanism operational.</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. The LG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a centralized complaints log with clear information and reference for onward action (a defined complaints referral path), and public display of information at district/municipal offices.</p> <p>If so: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG had specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances and had a defined complaints referral path and public display of information at LG offices as the Grievance Redress Complaints Book dated was not available.</p>	0
14	<p>Grievance redress mechanism operational.</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. District/Municipality has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress.</p> <p>If so: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG had publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties would know where to report and get redress.</p>	0

15	<p>Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.</p> <p>Maximum 11 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that Environment, Social and Climate change interventions have been integrated into LG Development Plans, annual work plans and budgets complied with: Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG integrated environment and Climate change into the 3rd LG development plans, annual work plans and budget as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of construction of 5 stance lined pit latrine block at Katunngu CU UGX 30,000,000 <p>Climate and environment UGX1,500,000-page 40 AWP;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Phase II fencing of the District sports center UGX 24,018,000-page 55 AWP; <p>Climate and environment UGX1,200,000; and</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Upgrading of Nabisweera Health Center IV UGX 85,805,000 <p>Climate change UGX4,209,000.</p>	1
15	<p>Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.</p> <p>Maximum 11 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that LGs have disseminated to LLGs the enhanced DDEG guidelines (strengthened to include environment, climate change mitigation (green infrastructures, waste management equipment and infrastructures) and adaptation and social risk management</p> <p>score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG disseminated the DDEG guideline on 20/04/2023. Those available were heads of departments, town clerks and the Senior Assistant Secretaries</p>	1

15	<p>Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.</p> <p>Maximum 11 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>(For investments financed from the DDEG other than health, education, water, and irrigation):</p> <p>c. Evidence that the LG incorporated costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for DDEG infrastructure projects of the previous FY, where necessary:</p> <p>score 3 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG incorporated costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for DDEG infrastructure projects of the previous FY (2022-2023) as exemplified by the following projects.</p> <p>1.The ESMPs for the construction of 3-stance VIP latrine at Rwandama market in Nabusweera sub-county, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00010 was incorporated in the BOQs, page 7, Item H, I, J, K, L: environmental compliance, dated 25/11/2022;</p> <p>2.The ESMP for the construction of phase II of administration block in Kakooge sub-county and Phase II fencing of Nakaseeta Garbage disposal site in Kakooge Town Council, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00026 was incorporated in the BOQs, page 4, Items F, I, J, K and L: Environmental compliance, dated 27/3/2023; and</p> <p>The ESMP for the construction of 2-stance VIP latrine at Kakooge R/C P/S in Lwabiyata sub-county, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00028 was incorporated in the BOQs, Page 16, Items F, G, H, I, J, K and L: Environmental compliance, dated 5/6/2023.</p>	3
15	<p>Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.</p> <p>Maximum 11 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Examples of projects with costing of the additional impact from climate change.</p> <p>Score 3 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG had infrastructure projects with costing of the additional impact from climate change for the FY 2022-2023 as the costing of additional impact from climate change was not available.</p>	0

15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	e. Evidence that all DDEG projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of ownership, access, and availability (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that all DDEG projects in Nakasongola district LG were implemented on land where the LG had proof of ownership, access, and availability as exemplified by the following Certificates of Titles. 1.Certificate of Titles for Nakasongola district local government, Buruli county in Nakasongola district, Leasehold registered land, Block 193, Plot 54, measuring 1.2340 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 5/10/2023; and 2.Certificate of Titles for Kyeyindula HC II in the names of Nakasongola district local government, Buruli county in Nakasongola district, Leasehold registered land, Block 234, Plot 143, measuring 2.7560 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 17/05/2023.	1
15	Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled. Maximum 11 points on this performance measure	f. Evidence that environmental officer and CDO conducts support supervision and monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG conducts support supervision and monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports for the previous FY 2022/2023 as exemplified monthly reports for the following projects. The reports were endorsed by the DCDO and the SEO. 1.Construction of reception centre phase III at Nakasongola Police latrine, Nakasongola Town Council, dated 23/6/2023; 2.Construction of drying yards in Rwandama and Nakayonza, dated 23/6/2023; and 3.Fencing of the production department premises, Nakasongola Town Council, dated 23/6/2023,.	1

15

Safeguards for service delivery of investments effectively handled.

Maximum 11 points on this performance measure

g. Evidence that E&S compliance Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer and CDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects:

Score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that the E & S compliance certification forms at Nakasongola district LG are completed and signed by the SEO and DCDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the E & S compliance certification reports for the the following projects.

1.Phase II fencing of production department premises, Nakasongola Town Council, signed 23/6/2023;

2.Phase III construction of the reception centre in Nakasongola Town Council, signed 19/6/2023;

3.Construction of an emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, Nakasongola Town Council, signed 28/06/2023; and

4.Construction of a drying yard in Nakayonza, Lwabiyata sub-county, signed 2/5/2023.

Financial management

LG makes monthly
Bank reconciliations

Maximum 2 points on
this Performance
Measure

a. Evidence that the LG makes
monthly bank reconciliations
and are up to-date at the point
of time of the assessment:

Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG made
monthly bank reconciliations as follows:

Account Name: Nakasongola LG
UNICEF

Bank name: Post Bank

Account No: 2110028000758

Date of reconciliation

July, on 9/08/2023

August, On 06/09/2023

Sept on 10//10/2023;

Account Name: Nakasongola DLG
Global Fund

Bank name: Post Bank

Account No: 2110028000166

Date of reconciliation

July, 9/08/2023

August, On 06/09/2023

Sept, on 10/10/2023; and

Account Name: Nakasongola DLG TSA

Bank name: Bank of Uganda

Account No: 0054405280000

Date of reconciliation

July, 7/08/2023

August, 6/09/2023

Sept , 10/10/2023.

LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90

a. Evidence that LG has produced all quarterly internal audit (IA) reports for the previous FY.

There was evidence that the LG prepared all the 4 quarterly reports as follows:

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

Score 2 or else score 0

Quarter 1 submitted on 1/11/2022

Issues raised:

Un accounted for funds UGX 82,898,252

Education department failed to avail its books of accounts

Un functionality of the District Service Commission

Non-implementation of board of survey recommendation for the Fy 2021/2022;

Quarter 2 submitted on 01/202/2023

Issues raised were

Poor store management- no records, balances

Unaccounted for funds in works, administration and statutory bodies UGX82,898,252;

Quarter 3 submitted on 18/04/2023

Issues raised

Lack of detailed implementation of priorities in the 5-year development plan

No data based on to get reserve prices for tendered facilities; and

Quarter 4 submitted on 25/07/ 2023

Issues raised were:

No database on the reserve price by the sub-counties on tendered facilities

No implementation reports on some projects.

17	<p>LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG has provided information to the Council/ chairperson and the LG PAC on the status of implementation of internal audit findings for the previous FY i.e. information on follow up on audit queries from all quarterly audit reports.</p> <p>Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG provided information to the council and LG PAC on the status of the implementation of the internal audit finds for the Previous FY as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 submitted on 1/11//2022;</p> <p>Quarter 2 Submitted on 1/02/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3 Submitted on 18/04/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4 Submitted on 25/07/2023.</p>	1
----	--	---	---	----------

17	<p>LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA Section 90</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that internal audit reports for the previous FY were submitted to LG Accounting Officer, LG PAC and that LG PAC has reviewed them and followed-up:</p> <p>Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LGPAC did not review all the four all internal audit reports for the previous FY that were submitted to them but reviewed only 2 reports that was Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 as follows:</p> <p>Meeting held on 31/03/2023 under NDC/35/03/2023</p> <p>And discussed quarter 1 and 2 of the internal audit report</p> <p>Issues</p> <p>The unaccounted for funds,</p> <p>Delays in initiating procurement process</p> <p>And forged accountabilities</p> <p>Unsatisfactory projects</p> <p>Quarter 30/09/2023</p> <p>Min NDC/44/05/2023</p> <p>Local revenue performance, recoveries from parish chiefs, forgeries and photocopied revenue receipts by revenue collectors, failing to update asset register, unupdated revenue database, Health facilities do not have land titles, including schools,</p>	0
----	--	--	--	----------

Local Revenues

18	<p>LG has collected local revenues as per budget (collection ratio)</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If revenue collection ratio (the percentage of local revenue collected against planned for the previous FY (budget realization) is within +/- 10 %: then score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The LG Planned revenue for 2022/2023 was UGX1,151,000,000</p> <p>Actual Revenue realised FY2022/2023 was UGX1,210,283,445 =105% page 5 financial statement for 2022/2023</p> <p>Revenue realisation was 105%</p>	2
----	---	---	---	----------

19	<p>The LG has increased LG own source revenues in the last financial year compared to the one before the previous financial year (last FY year but one)</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure.</p>	<p>a. If increase in OSR (excluding one/off, e.g. sale of assets, but including arrears collected in the year) from previous FY but one to previous FY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If more than 10 %: score 2. • If the increase is from 5% -10 %: score 1. • If the increase is less than 5 %: score 0. 	<p>The LG in FY 2022/2023 Actual revenue realized UGX1,210,283,445 page 5 of the annual financial statement</p> <p>FY 2021/2022 Actual UGX1,100,421,788 -page 5 Annual financial statement 2021/2022</p> <p>Percentage increase was 10%</p>	2
20	<p>Local revenue administration, allocation, and transparency</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure.</p>	<p>a. If the LG remitted the mandatory LLG share of local revenues during the previous FY: score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG remitted the mandatory LLG share of Local revenues during the previous FY as follows:</p> <p>LG received UGX 374,024,029 as Local revenue to be share with sub counties and it was shared as per their distribution schedule hereunder:</p> <p>Sub counties (8)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wabinyonyi UGX 24,229,088; 2. Nabiswera UGX 36,675,457; 3. Lwampanga UGX 37,334,726; 4. Kalungi UGX 28,412,389; 5. Kakooge UGX 32,623,950; 6. Lwabyiata UGX 21,187,221; 7. Nakitoma UGX 100,130,092; and 8. Kalongo UGX 23,626,002. <p>Total UGX 304,218,925</p> <p>Town Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nakasongola UGX 157,952,510; 2. Kakooge UGX 40,957,600; 3. Mijeera UGX 49,287,014; 4. Katuugo UGX 44,486,830; 5. Kazwama UGX27,283,000; 6. Lwampanga UGX30,666,000; and 7. Mayirikiti UGX 2,949,000. 	2

Transparency and Accountability

21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the procurement plan and awarded contracts and all amounts are published: Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG published all the awarded contracts and amounts as sampled below:</p> <p>Construction of Children’s reception centre Phase III at Nakasongola Police Station, Procurement Ref: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0021, Best evaluated bidder: Nimwe Suppliers Ltd, Contract price: UGX 12,114,647</p> <p>Published on 20/03/2023 and removed 02/04/2023</p> <p>Construction of Emergency unit at Nakasongola HCIV Phase II, Procurement Ref: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0006, Best evaluated bidder: Ambt Services Ltd, Contract price: UGX 64,276,016</p> <p>Published on 15/11/2022 and removed 28/11/2022</p> <p>Construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII and a Fence at Nabiswera HCIV, Procurement Ref: Naks544/WRKS/22-23/0002, Best evaluated bidder: PK Universal Enterprises Ltd, Contract price: UGX 11,779,940</p> <p>Published on 11/10/2022 and removed 24/10/2022</p>	2
21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG performance assessment results and implications are published e.g. on the budget website for the previous year: Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG published the assessment result on the noticeboard on 10/05/2023 and also on their website</p> <p>Cross cutting minimum condition 59%;</p> <p>Cross cutting performance measure 70%;</p> <p>Health performance 64%;</p> <p>Education minimum condition 60%;</p> <p>Water and environment minimum 45%;</p> <p>Microscale irrigation minimum 70%;</p> <p>Water and environment Performance 63%;</p> <p>Health PM 64%; and</p> <p>Education PM 63%.</p>	2

21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that the LG during the previous FY conducted discussions (e.g. municipal urban fora, barazas, radio programmes etc.) with the public to provide feed-back on status of activity implementation: Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG conducted barazas as follow:</p> <p>Radio talk show was held on 27/06/2023 on UBC Burul radio 107.1</p> <p>Issues discussed included the following:</p> <p>PDM data collection</p> <p>Status of the DDEG implemented projects, like renovation of 4 classroom block at Wabiyonyi SDA primary school,</p> <p>The pilot censures in two sub counties, Nakasongola Sub counties and Lwabiata, the responses from the community was about poor roads,</p>	1
21	<p>LG shares information with citizens</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the LG has made publicly available information on i) tax rates, ii) collection procedures, and iii) procedures for appeal: If all i, ii, iii complied with: Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence regarding the tax rates, collection and procedure for appeal displayed on the noticeboard on 26/06/2023.</p> <p>There was revenue enhancement policy dated 31/05/2022 for the period 2022/2023</p> <p>There was procedure for appeal in place composed of, subcounty chief, SASS, community development officers and heads of department</p>	1
22	<p>Reporting to IGG</p> <p>Maximum 1 point on this Performance Measure</p>	<p>a. LG has prepared a report on the status of implementation of the IGG recommendations which will include a list of cases of alleged fraud and corruption and their status incl. administrative and action taken/being taken, and the report has been presented and discussed in the council and other fora. Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG prepared reports on the status of implementation of IGG recommendations that included the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was a case of failure to account for the fund and recommendation was recovery of these funds and officers returned funds as per the report dated 23/08/2023 to IGG; 2. There was a case of against Senior Human resources Officer Mr: Kyenkya Joshua where CAO was directed to dismiss him and CAO dismissed him; and 3. Two staff Mr Muyingo Steven and Mr George William Lubega were recommended required for disciplinary action for abuse of office. 	1

**Educational
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Learning Outcomes: The LG has improved PLE and USE pass rates.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG PLE pass rate has improved between the previous school year but one and the previous year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If improvement by more than 5% score 4 • Between 1 and 5% score 2 • No improvement score 0 	<p>From UNEB results. Nakasongora LG PLE pass rate for 2020 and 2022 improved by 3% as shown below:</p> <p>2020: Div. one was 285; Div. two was 1,624, and Div. three was 963. The total number of passes, therefore, was 2,872 while 91 missed exams the total number of registered candidates was 4,294, and the number of candidates that sat exams was 4,203. Therefore, the calculated percentage for 2020 was; $2,872/4,203 \times 100 = 68.3\%$.</p> <p>2022: Div. one was 403, Div two was 1,778, and Div. three was 927. The total pass, was 3,108 while 246 candidates missed exams out of 4,606 registered therefore the total number of candidates that sat exams was 4,360. The calculated percentage for 2022 was, therefore, $3,108/4,360 \times 100 = 71.2\%$.</p> <p>Therefore, $71.2\% - 68.3\% = 3\%$ percentage improvement.</p>	2
1	<p>Learning Outcomes: The LG has improved PLE and USE pass rates.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) The LG UCE pass rate has improved between the previous school year but one and the previous year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If improvement by more than 5% score 3 • Between 1 and 5% score 2 • No improvement score 0 	<p>Results from UNEB indicated that Nakasongora LG UCE pass rate for 2020 and 2022 improved by 8.2% as shown below:</p> <p>2020: Div. one was 90; Div. two was 255, and Div. three was 394. The total pass, therefore, was 739 while 22 candidates missed exams out of the total of 1,539 registered candidates, therefore the total number of candidates that sat exams was 1,517. Therefore, the calculated percentage for 2020 was; $739/1,517 \times 100 = 49\%$.</p> <p>2022: Div. one was 118, Div two was 295, and Div. three was 451 The total pass, therefore, was 864 while 19 candidates missed exams out of the total of 1,525 registered candidates thus the total number of candidates that sat exams was 1,506</p> <p>The calculated percentage for 2022 was, therefore, $864/1,506 \times 100 = 57.2\%$. Therefore, $57.2\% - 49\% = 8.2$ percentage improvement.</p>	3

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Increase in the average score in the education LLG performance assessment.

Maximum 2 points

a) Average score in the education LLG performance has improved between the previous year but one and the previous year

- By more than 5%, score 2
- Between 1 and 5%, score 1
- No Improvement, score 0

NB: If the previous average score was 95% and above, Score 2 for any increase.

There was an increase of 6% from the previous years as follows:

1. Kakooge Sub county 100% to 10%;
2. Lwampanga Sub county 70% to 100%;
3. Lwabiyata Sub county 0% to 80%;
4. Mijjera TC 0% to 70%;
5. Wabinyonyi Sub county 20% to 80%;
6. Katuungo Town Council 0% to 100%;
7. Lwmapanga TC 0% to 60%;
8. Kazwamaa TC. 0% to 100%;
9. Mairirkit TC 0% to 0%;
10. Karungi Sub county 80% to 20%;
11. Kakooge TC 100% to 80%;
12. Kaloongo Sub county 100% to 70%;
13. Nakitoma Sub county 40% to 60%;
14. Nakasongola TC 100% to 70%; and
15. Nabisweera Sub county 100% to 70%.

Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

a) If the education development grant has been used on eligible activities as defined in the sector guidelines: score 2; Else score 0

• From the budget performance report for Quarter 4, the LG received a sum of 319,783,000 UGX development grant which was on eligible activities as defined in the sector guidelines as shown below:

- Construction of a 2-classroom block at Kibira P/s in Nakasongola town council valued at 80,000,000UGX
-
- Construction of a 2-classroom block at Bulwandi P/S in Kalongo sub-county valued at 80,000,000UGX
-
- Construction of lined latrines 5 stances at Bulwandi P/S in Kalongo sub-county valued at 30,000,000 UGX.
-
- Construction of lined latrines 5 stances at Kirumiko P/S in Nabiswera sub-county 30,000,000 UGX.
-
- Procurement of 79 three-seater desks for Kibira P/S, Bulandi P/S, and Wabigalo RC P/S
- valued at 15,750,000 UGX
-
- Renovation of a two-classroom block at Kikoiro P/S in Lwampanga Sub-county valued at 24,979,000 UGX
- Renovation of a 4-classroom block at Wabinyonyi P/S in Nakasongora T/C valued at 34,998,800 UGX
- Phase one fencing of Nakasongola sports center valued at 19,643,932 UGX

Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b) If the DEO, Environment Officer and CDO certified works on Education construction projects implemented in the previous FY before the LG made payments to the contractors score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that LG made payments for sector infrastructure and executed as per contract as follows:

Name of project: Renovation of 2 classroom block at Kikoiro Primary School

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0015

Contract Sum: UGX 24,979,420

Requisition was on 24/04/2023

Signed by the DEO on 24/04/2023

District Engineer signed on 08/05/2023

CAO signed on 08/05/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed 08/05/2023

Payment was on 15/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX22,523,816;

Name of project: Fencing of Nakasongola Sports Center at Wabinyonyi

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0009

Contract Sum: UGX 19,643,932

Requisition was on 18/01/2023

Signed by the DEO on 11/04/2023

District Engineer signed on 19/04/2023

CAO signed on 19/04/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed 11/04/2023

Payment was on 12/05/2023

Amount paid was UGX17,712,833; and

Name of project: Construction of 5 stance lined pit latrine at Kirumuko Primary school

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0005

Contract Sum: UGX 30,000,000

Requisition was on 03/03/2023

Signed by the DEO on 03/03/2023

District Engineer signed on 06/03/2023

CAO signed on 05/03/2023

Environment/CDO Officer signed 06/03/2023

Payment was on 25/04/2023

Amount paid was UGX27,390,648.

3

Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

c) If the variations in the contract price are within +/- 20% of the MoWT estimates score 2 or else score 0

2

There was evidence that the variations in priced projects under MoWT for the previous FY were within +/-20% of the Engineers estimate as follows:

Construction of Nakitoma Seed Secondary School

Contract No: MoES/UGIFT/WORKS/21-22/0004

Contractor: Rohi Services Ltd

Contract Sum: UGX 3,426,020,850

Engineer's Sum: UGX 3,500,000,000

Variation: 2.11%

3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed education projects as per guidelines	d) Evidence that education projects (Seed Secondary Schools) were completed as per the work plan in the previous FY	Construction of Nakitoma Seed Secondary school started 27/02/2023 in the previous FY. The contract is 24 months long and the progress was as per the work schedule i.e 38%.	2
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 • Between 80 – 99% score 1 • Below 80% score 0 		
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met prescribed school staffing and infrastructure standards	a) Evidence that the LG has recruited primary school teachers as per the prescribed MoES staffing guidelines	There was evidence from the Human resource office, LG staffing structure dated 1/07/2023, and teacher staff list that the LG had recruited 1,166 (92%) primary school teachers out of 1,268 teachers on the LG staff establishment. All the 144 schools with P7 had a headteacher and a minimum of seven teachers.	2
	Maximum 6 points on this performance measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100%: score 3 • If 80 - 99%: score 2 • If 70 – 79% score: 1 • Below 70% score 0 		
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met prescribed school staffing and infrastructure standards	b) Percent of schools in LG that meet basic requirements and minimum standards set out in the DES guidelines,	From the list of registered 144 UPE and 10 USE schools; and the consolidated Schools asset Register for both UPE and USE schools from the previous two FYs, it was evident that 97 (63%) schools out of 144 UPE and 10 USE meet the prescribed minimum standards of: classrooms 1:53 UPE and 1:60 USE, toilets 1:40, desks 1:3, accommodation for 4 teachers and one changing room.	2
	Maximum 6 points on this performance measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If above 70% and above score: 3 • If between 60 - 69%, score: 2 • If between 50 - 59%, score: 1 • Below 50 score: 0 		

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

5

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has accurately reported on teaching staff in place, school infrastructure, and service performance.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

a) Evidence that the LG has accurately reported on teachers and where they are deployed.

- If the accuracy of information is 100% score 2
- Else score: 0

The LG had accurately reported on teachers and where they are deployed, the sampled schools had the same teachers as those on the deployment list as shown below: SSasira RC P/S in Wabinyonyi sub-county had 09 teachers; Wabinyonyi SDA P/S in Nakasongora Town had 09 teachers while Kakooge UMEA in Kakooge sub-county had 09 teachers as listed below:

Kakooge UMEA P/S

Gabiri David, Kivumbi Mohammed, Lwanga Prossy Nakanjako, Mpanimanya Juma (Head teacher) Nabbumba Grace, Nakiwu Christine, Nanyonga Evelyn, Nattendero Lillian and Obete Patrick

Ssaasira RC

Baire Robert, Kansiime Annet, Mirembe Moreen, Mukasa John Chrysestom Semakula, Nabisenge Rose, Nabitaka Eron, Nakanjako Margeret (head teacher) Namakanga Jane, Natozo Annet and Okedi John

Wabinyonyi SDA P/S

Mutabazi Marion, Nabikolo Deborah (head teacher), Namanya Faith, Namubiru Allen, Nansukusa Josephine, Nnamayengo Esther, Sebbuga Tom, Sekirime Tomaths, Senyonga Benard and Ssebukeera David

2

5

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has accurately reported on teaching staff in place, school infrastructure, and service performance.

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

b) Evidence that LG has a school asset register accurately reporting on the infrastructure in all registered primary schools.

- If the accuracy of information is 100% score 2
- Else score: 0

From the LG education office and from the sampled schools; it was evident that LG had a school asset register accurately reporting on the infrastructure in all registered primary schools as shown below: Kakooge UMEA had 00 teachers' houses; 90 desks; 10 toilet stances and 7 classrooms. SSasira RC P/S 02 teachers' houses; 8 classrooms; 144 desks and 08 toilet stances while Wabinyonyi SDA school had 02 teachers' houses;07 classrooms;86 desks; and 12 toilet stances.

2

6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG has ensured that all registered primary schools have complied with MoES annual budgeting and reporting guidelines and that they have submitted reports (signed by the head teacher and chair of the SMC) to the DEO by January 30. Reports should include among others, i) highlights of school performance, ii) a reconciled cash flow statement, iii) an annual budget and expenditure report, and iv) an asset register:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% school submission to LG, score: 4 • Between 80 - 99% score: 2 • Below 80% score 0 	<p>There was no evidence to show that the LG has ensured that all registered primary schools have complied with MoES annual budgeting and reporting guidelines and that they had submitted reports that included highlights of school performance, a reconciled cash flow statement, an annual budget and expenditure report, and an asset register: that were signed by the head teacher and chair of the SMC to the DEO by January 30. Reports should include among others. Only Kakooge UMEA of the three (33%) had submitted her complete report by 12/12/2023.</p>	0
6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) UPE schools supported to prepare and implement SIPs in line with inspection recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 50% score: 4 • Between 30- 49% score: 2 • Below 30% score 0 	<p>There was evidence that all UPE schools particularly the sampled schools: Kakooge UMEA P/S; Wabinyonyi and SSasira RC P/S all of (100%) were supported to prepare and implement SIPs in line with inspection recommendations. They developed their SIPS as follows; Ssaasira on 19/03/2023; Kakooge UMEA25/1/2023 and Wabinyonyi SDA on 24/01/2023.</p>	4
6	<p>School compliance and performance improvement:</p> <p>Maximum 12 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) If the LG has collected and compiled EMIS return forms for all registered schools from the previous FY year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score: 4: • Between 90 - 99% score 2 • Below 90% score 0 	<p>The LG has collected and compiled OTIMS data for all 144 registered schools from the previous FY year with an enrolment of 43,975 pupils.</p>	4

Human Resource Management and Development

7

Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

a) Evidence that the LG has budgeted for a head teacher and a minimum of 7 teachers per school or a minimum of one teacher per class for schools with less than P.7 for the current FY:

Score 4 or else, score: 0

From the LG budget on page 37, it was evident that the LG had budgeted **8,810,708,000** UGX to cater for 1268 teachers including a head teacher and a minimum of 7 teachers per school or a minimum of one teacher per class for schools with less than P.7 for the current FY.

4

7

Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b) Evidence that the LG has deployed teachers as per sector guidelines in the current FY,

Score 3 else score: 0

The LG had accurately reported on teachers and where they are deployed, the sampled schools had the same teachers as those on the deployment list as shown below: SSasira RC P/S in Wabinyonyi sub-county had 09 teachers; Wabinyonyi SDA P/S in Nakasongora Town had 09 teachers while Kakooge UMEA in Kakooge sub-county had 09 teachers as listed below:

Kakooge UMEA P/S

Gabiri David, Kivumbi Mohammed, Lwanga Prossy Nakanjako, Mpanimanya Juma (Head teacher) Nabbumba Grace, Nakiwu Christine, Nanyonga Evelyn, Nattendero Lillian and Obete Patrick

Ssaasira RC

Baire Robert, Kansiiime Annet, Mirembe Moreen, Mukasa John Chrysestom Semakula, Nabisenge Rose, Nabitaka Eron, Nakanjako Margeret (head teacher) Namakanga Jane, Natozo Annet and Okedi John

Wabinyonyi SDA P/S

Mutabazi Marion, Nabikolo Deborah (head teacher), Namanya Faith, Namubiru Allen, Nansukusa Josephine, Nnamayengo Esther, Sebbuga Tom, Sekirime Tomaths, Senyonga Benard and Ssebukeera David .

3

Budgeting for and actual recruitment and deployment of staff: LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

c) If teacher deployment data has been disseminated or publicized on LG and or school notice board, score: 1 else, score: 0

There was evidence from the LG notice board dated 30/09/2023 and notice boards of respective schools to show that teacher deployment data had been disseminated or publicized on the LG and or school notice board. The displayed teachers on respective school notice boards were as follows:

Kakooge UMEA P/S

Gabiri David, Kivumbi Mohammed, Lwanga Prossy Nakanjako, Mpanimanya Juma (Headteacher) Nabbumba Grace, Nakiwu Christine, Nanyonga Evelyn, Nattendero Lillian and Obete Patrick

Ssaasira RC

Baire Robert, Kansiime Annet, Mirembe Moreen, Mukasa John Chrysestom Semakula, Nabisenge Rose, Nabitaka Eron, Nakanjako Margeret (head teacher) Namakanga Jane, Natozo Annet and Okedi John

Wabinyonyi SDA P/S

Mutabazi Marion, Nabikolo Deborah (head teacher), Namanya Faith, Namubiru Allen, Nansukusa Josephine, Nnamayengo Esther, Sebbuga Tom, Sekirime Tomaths, Senyonga Benard and Ssebukeera David.

8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p>	<p>a) If all primary school head teachers have been appraised with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM with copt to DEO/MEO</p>	<p>Seven out the ten sampled head teachers of primary schools had not been appraised by 31.12. 2022</p>	0
		<p>Score: 2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>Nakityo Joyce, Nakatoogo PS. Appraised on 03.10.2023 by Dr. Serunjogi</p>	
			<p>Kiryia Henry, Nakataka PS. Appraised on 30.12.2022</p>	
			<p>Kihembo Jovia Kyambogo Bululi sps appraised by Dr. Serunjoji on 03.02.2023</p>	
			<p>Nankula Robinah Kyangongolo ps appraised by Dr. Serunjoji on 05.12.2022</p>	
			<p>Nakazibwe Damalie Nabwita PS. Appraised by DR, serunjoji on 28.12.2023</p>	
			<p>Kakande Isa, Kyalweza PS. Appraised by Dr. Serunjoji on 03.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Nakanjako Margaret Ssasira PS. Appraised by Nakimuli Robinah on 2.10.2023</p>	
			<p>Twongeirwe John Mary, Bamusuuta ps. Appraised by Dr. Serunjogi on 03.10.2023</p>	
			<p>Seninde Tom Bagaya Primary Sch. Appraised by Dr. Serunjoji on 03.10.2023</p>	
			<p>Bekalanze Fredrick, Iumba PS. Appraised on 03.10.2023</p>	
8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p>	<p>b) If all secondary school head teachers have been appraised by D/CAO (or Chair BoG) with evidence of appraisal reports submitted to HRM</p>	<p>The Secondary school heads teachers were not appraised by 31.12.2022</p>	0
		<p>Score: 2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>Kyambadde John Patrick, Nakasongola SS appraised by DCAO Okwir Samuel Robert on 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Damulira Musa Numba , Lwabita Seed Secondary SCH. Appraised by Okwir Samuel Robert on 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Wannema Gordon Wabinyonyi seed sec. appraised on 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Kiggo Moses, Kisaalizi Sec sch. 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Ssamula Athanus Kakooge sec. sch. 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Muhimbise Portase Begumisa , St. Josephs vocational sch. 07/01/2023</p>	
			<p>Bangirana Haruna Kalongo seed ssch. 07.01.2023</p>	
			<p>Wanayana EVE, Kisenyi Lake view sec. sch. 07.01.2023 Sendagire Yusuf Migyera UWESO SSS 07.01.2023</p>	

8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) If all staff in the LG Education department have been appraised against their performance plans</p> <p>score: 2. Else, score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that all staff in the LG Education department had been appraised against their performance plans</p> <p>Serunjogi Charles, senior education officer appraised by Mbangire Sam on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Nakimuli Robinah, sports Officer appraised by DCAO Okwir Samuel on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Birungi Rebecca, Education special needs officer appraised by DCAO Okwir Samuel on 30.06.2023</p>	2
---	--	---	---	---

8	<p>Performance management: Appraisals have been conducted for all education management staff, head teachers in the registered primary and secondary schools, and training conducted to address identified capacity gaps.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d) The LG has prepared a training plan to address identified staff capacity gaps at the school and LG level,</p> <p>score: 2 Else, score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG had prepared a training plan on 4/07/2022 for the FY 2022/2023 to address identified staff capacity gaps at the school and LG level. The areas of focus that the training aimed at addressing were ; Financial management in schools, management of sports in schools, management of Music Dance and Drama(MDD) in schools, performance management and instructional leadership.</p>	2
---	--	--	--	---

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) The LG has confirmed in writing the list of schools, their enrolment, and budget allocation in the Programme Budgeting System (PBS) by December 15th annually.</p> <p>If 100% compliance, score:2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>It was evident that on 19/11/2022 the LG had confirmed in writing the list of schools, their enrolment, and budget allocation in the Programme Budgeting System (PBS) by communicating corrections and revision of the school list and enrolment of 43,975 pupils in 144 schools before the 15th December annual deadline.</p>	2
---	---	---	---	---

9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG made allocations to inspection and monitoring functions in line with the sector guidelines. If 100% compliance, score:2 else, score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence from the DEO reports that the LG Education department had conducted activities to mobilize, attract, and retain children at school. Such activities included holding a stakeholder engagement workshop for government schools on 31/05/23.</p>	2
	<p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>			
9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p>	<p>c) Evidence that LG submitted warrants for school's capitation within 5 days for the last 3 quarters If 100% compliance, score: 2 else score: 0</p>	<p>The LG did not submit the warrants for school capitation within 5 working days as below</p> <p>Quarter 1: Release was on 06/07/2023 Current FY UGX 337,445,000 warranted on 26/07/2023, 16days</p> <p>Quarter 3: Release was on 05/01/2023 UGX 276,263,166, warranted on 19/01/2023, 9days</p> <p>Quarter 4: Release was on 17/04/2023 of UGX 276,263,166 warranted on 25/04/2023, 6days</p>	0
	<p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>			
9	<p>Planning, Budgeting, and Transfer of Funds for Service Delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has invoiced and the DEO/ MEO has communicated/ publicized capitation releases to schools within three working days of release from MoFPED. If 100% compliance, score: 2 else, score: 0</p>	<p>The LG did not communicate and invoice capitation grand within 3 working days as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 release was on 06/07/2022 communicated on 26/08/2022, after 20 days;</p> <p>Quarter 2: Release was om 7/10/2022, warranted, CAO communicated on 18/10/2022; after 8 days</p> <p>Quarter 3: release was on 5/01/2023 of UGX 738,720,897, communicated on 13/01/2023, within 6 days</p>	0
	<p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>			
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring <i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG Education department has prepared an inspection plan and meetings conducted to plan for school inspections. • If 100% compliance, score: 2, else score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG Education department had prepared an inspection plan and meetings conducted to plan for school inspections during a preparatory meeting held on 15/07/2022. The key activity of the plan was to ensure quality implementation of the curriculum and all education policies.</p>	2

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) Percent of registered UPE schools that have been inspected and monitored, and findings compiled in the DEO/MEO's monitoring report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score: 2 • Between 80 – 99% score 1 • Below 80%: score 0 	<p>On average 68.4% of all the 144 registered UPE schools had been inspected at least once per term and reports produced as follows: Term111(2022): 45 out of 144 (31.25%). Term 1(2023):125out of 144 (87%) were inspected while in Term 11(2023): 125 out of 144 (87%)</p>	0
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c) Evidence that inspection reports have been discussed and used to recommend corrective actions, and that those actions have subsequently been followed-up,</p> <p>Score: 2 or else, score: 0</p>	<p>From the departmental meeting held on 17/01/2023 under minute number 06/01/2023; it was evidence that inspection reports had been discussed and used to recommend corrective actions. However, there was no evidence from the sampled schools to show that those actions had been subsequently followed up .</p>	0
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>d) Evidence that the DIS and DEO have presented findings from inspection and monitoring results to respective schools and submitted these reports to the Directorate of Education Standards (DES) in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES): Score 2 or else score: 0</p>	<p>From the letter of acknowledgment from DES dated 04/10/2022 and 05/01/2023 respectively, it was evident that the district inspector of the school had submitted reports to the Directorate of Education Standards (DES) in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES), however, there was evidence to show that the copies of inspection reports from the previous three terms were left behind.</p>	0

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>e) Evidence that the council committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery issues including inspection and monitoring findings, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports etc. during the previous FY: score 2 or else score: 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the committee responsible for education met and discuss;</p> <p>Quarter 1:</p> <p>Meeting was held on 25/01/2023</p> <p>Min NDC/SSSC/06/01/2023</p> <p>The issues discussed were performance, inspection report PLE and learner's performance in general, achievements, construction of latrine, procurement of furniture, monitoring of enrolment, child performance in skills of work and lesson plan.</p> <p>Meeting on 14/06/ 2023</p> <p>Min NDC/SSSC/04/06/2023</p> <p>Budget performance and accountability in schools, teacher's attendance in schools and schemes of work</p> <p>Syllabus, coverage in primary school</p> <p>Schools performance in games and sports</p> <p>Conducting school management committee performance, training</p> <p>Quarter 3: Meeting was held on 12/09/2022</p> <p>Min NDC/SSSC/31/09/2022</p> <p>Issues;</p> <p>Budget performance in schools, and inspection reports,</p>	2
11	<p>Mobilization of parents to attract learners</p> <p><i>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Evidence that the LG Education department has conducted activities to mobilize, attract and retain children at school,</p> <p><i>score: 2 or else score: 0</i></p>	<p>There was evidence from the DEO reports that the LG Education department had conducted activities to mobilize, attract, and retain children at school. Such activities included holding a stakeholder engagement meeting on 31/05/2023 with parents, teachers, and community leaders of public schools.</p>	2

Investment Management

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a) Evidence that there is an up-to-date LG asset register which sets out school facilities and equipment relative to basic standards, <i>score: 2, else score: 0</i></p>	<p>From the LG education office and from the sampled schools; it was evident that LG had an updated school asset register dated 3 July 2023, accurately reporting on the infrastructure in all registered primary schools as shown below: Kakooge UMEA had 00 teachers' houses; 90 desks; 10 toilet stances and 7 classrooms. SSasira RC P/S 02 teachers' houses; 8 classrooms; 144 desks and 08 toilet stances while Wabinyonyi SDA school had 02 teachers' houses; 07 classrooms; 86 desks; and 12 toilet stances.</p>	2
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG has conducted a desk appraisal for all sector projects in the budget to establish whether the prioritized investment is: (i) derived from the LGDP III; (ii) eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, DDEG). If appraisals were conducted for all projects that were planned in the previous FY, <i>score: 1 or else, score: 0</i></p>	<p>The following were the prioritized investments for education in the previous FY , desk appraisal was on 17/02/2022</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 5 stance lined pit latrine block at Kirumiko Primary school UGX 30,000,000 pages 57-75; 2. Phase renovation of 2 classroom block at Kikoiro Primary school UGX 25,555,000 pages 57-75; and 3. Construction of 2 classroom block at Bulwanda primary school UGX 105,000,000 pages 57-75. 	1
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has conducted field Appraisal for (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environmental and social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs over the previous FY, <i>score 1 else score: 0</i></p>	<p>The field appraisals for these projects were all done on 02/03/2022</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 5 stance lined pit latrine block at Kirumiko Primary school UGX 30,000,000 page 57-75 of LGDP; 2. Phase renovation of 2 classroom block at Kikoiro Primary school UGX 25,555,000 pages 57-75 pf LGDP; and 3. Construction of 2 classroom block at Bulwanda primary school UGX 105,000,000 pages 57-75 of LGDP. 	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a) If the LG Education department has budgeted for and ensured that planned sector infrastructure projects have been approved and incorporated into the procurement plan, <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i></p>	<p>There was no seed secondary school incorporated into the LG procurement plan for the current FY.</p>	0

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) Evidence that the school infrastructure was approved by the Contracts Committee and cleared by the Solicitor General (where above the threshold) before the commencement of construction, <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i></p>	<p>There was evidence that the construction of Nakitoma seed secondary school was approved before commencement of construction as follows;</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting date: 21/09/2022</p> <p>Min No:23/CC/9/22</p> <p>Solicitor General Letter: 13/01/2022</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG established a Project Implementation Team (PIT) for school construction projects constructed within the last FY as per the guidelines. <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i></p>	<p>The LG had established PIT and their appointment letters were dated as follows:</p> <p>Contract manager (DHO): 01/02/2023</p> <p>Project manager (Deng): 01/02/2023</p> <p>Clerk of works (Kaye Abel Wasswa): 27/02/2023</p> <p>Environment officer, Community Development Officer, Labour Officer:10/01/2023</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>d) Evidence that the school infrastructure followed the standard technical designs provided by the MoES</p> <p><i>Score: 1, else, score: 0</i></p>	<p>The standard technical designs provided by MoES were followed during the construction of Nakitoma Seed Secondary School. Sample checks were done for external dimensions for the following: Administration Block (18.33m x 7.40m); 2-Unit Science Lab Block (21.30m x 9.23m); ICT Laboratory Block (34.23m x 8.53m); 2-Classroom Block (18.30m x 7.44m); Multipurpose Hall (27.34m x 7.40m); 2-Unit Teacher's House (14.0m x 7.0m); Kitchen (5.90m x 3.75m)</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution</p> <p><i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>e) Evidence that monthly site meetings were conducted for all sector infrastructure projects planned in the previous FY <i>score: 1, else score: 0</i></p>	<p>There was evidence that site meetings were conducted during the construction of Nakitoma seed secondary school project and were held as follows; 17/04/2023, 31/05/2023, 04/08/2023, 18/08/2023</p>	1

Procurement, contract management/execution

Maximum 9 points on this performance measure

f) If there's evidence that during critical stages of construction of planned sector infrastructure projects in the previous FY, at least 1 monthly joint technical supervision involving engineers, environment officers, CDOs etc .., has been conducted *score: 1, else score: 0*

There was evidence that the LG had joint technical supervision involving relevant officers for the construction of Nakitoma Seed secondary School according to the site supervision meetings held on; 17/04/2023, 31/05/2023, 04/08/2023, 18/08/2023. The relevant members included members of the project implementation team; District Education Officer - Mbangire Sam, Senior Environment Officer - Andama Charles, District Community Development Officer - Buyinza Simon, Senior labour Officer - Nabukeera Juliet, District Engineer - Arinaitwe Joseph, Clerk of works - Kaye Abel

Procurement, contract management/execution

Maximum 9 points on this performance measure

g) If sector infrastructure projects have been properly executed and payments to contractors made within specified timeframes within the contract, *score: 1, else score: 0*

There was evidence that LG made payments for sector infrastructure and executed as per contract as follows:

Name of project: Renovation of 2 classroom block at Kikoiro Primary School

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0015

Contract Sum: UGX 24,979,420

Requisition was on 24/04/2023

Signed by the DEO on 24/0/2023

District Engineer signed on 08/05/2023

CAO signed on 08/05/2023

Payment was on 15/06/2023

Amount paid was UGX22,523,816;

Name of project: Fencing of Nakasongola Sports Center at Wabinyonyi

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0009

Contract Sum: UGX 19,643,932

Requisition was on 18/01/2023

Signed by the DEO on 11/04/2023

District Engineer signed on 19/04/2023

CAO signed on 19/04/2023

Payment was on 12/05/2023

Amount paid was UGX17,712,833; and

Name of project: Construction of 5 stance lined pit latrine at Kirumuko Primary school

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0005

Contract Sum: UGX 30,000,000

Requisition was on 03/03/2023

Signed by the DEO on 03/03/2023

District Engineer signed on 06/03/2023

CAO signed on 24/04/2023

Payment was on 25/04/2023

Amount paid was UGX27,390,648.

13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	h) If the LG Education department timely submitted a procurement plan in accordance with the PPDA requirements to the procurement unit by April 30, score: 1, else, score: 0	The Procurement Plan for the Education sector of the previous FY was submitted after 30th April on 18/08/2022.	0
----	---	--	--	----------

13	Procurement, contract management/execution <i>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</i>	i) Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each school infrastructure contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law score 1 or else score 0	<p>There was evidence that the seed secondary school for the previous FY had a procurement file as follows;</p> <p>File Name: Construction of Nakitoma Seed Secondary School</p> <p>Contract No: MoES/UGIFT/WORKS/22-23/0004</p> <p>Contract signed: 13/02/2023</p> <p>Evaluation report: 08/01/2022</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting date: 21/09/2022</p> <p>Min No: MIN 23/CC/9/22</p>	1
----	---	--	--	----------

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	Grievance redress: LG Education grievances have been recorded, investigated, and responded to in line with the LG grievance redress framework. <i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i>	Evidence that grievances have been recorded, investigated, responded to and recorded in line with the grievance redress framework, score: 3, else score: 0	There was no evidence from the log of grievances that the LG had recorded, investigated, responded to and redress reported health related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework.	0
----	---	--	---	----------

15	Safeguards for service delivery. <i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i>	Evidence that LG has disseminated the Education guidelines to provide for access to land (without encumbrance), proper siting of schools, 'green' schools, and energy and water conservation <i>Score: 3, or else score: 0</i>	There was no evidence from either the environmental officer or sampled schools to show that LG had disseminated the Education guidelines to provide for access to land (without encumbrance), proper siting of schools, 'green' schools, and energy and water conservation.	0
----	---	---	---	----------

Safeguards in the delivery of investments

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

a) LG has in place a costed ESMP and this is incorporated within the BoQs and contractual documents, score: 2, else score: 0

There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG had Costed ESMP incorporated in BoQs and contractual documents to comply with safeguards requirements within the Education sector as exemplified by the following education projects.

1.The ESMPs for Phase II renovation of 2 classroom block at Kikoiro P/S, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00015 was incorporated in the in BoQs, Items C, D, E, F and G: Environmental compliance, dated 28/11/22;

2.The ESMPs for Phase II renovation of 4 classroom block at Wabinyonyi SDA P/S, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00063 was incorporated in the in BoQs, Items C, D, E, F and G: Environmental compliance, dated 11/1/2023; and

The ESMPs for partial fencing of Nakasongola sports centre at Wabinyonyi, contract no. NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00009 was incorporated in the in BOQs, Items A, B, C, D, E, F, G: Environmental compliance, dated 24/11/2022.

16	<p>Safeguards in the delivery of investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) If there is proof of land ownership, access of school construction projects, <i>score: 1, else score:0</i></p>	<p>There was evidence to ascertain that school construction projects in Nakasongola district LG are implemented where there is proof of land ownership as exemplified by the following land titles/certificates of customary ownership.</p> <p>1.Consent letter dated 10/6/2022, addressed Nakasongola district local government through the CAO by the school management committee of Kikoiro PS to renovate a 2 -classroom block whose legal take over had been secured. The consent letter is signed by Headteacher (0775456237) Kikoiro P/S, witnessed by the Chairperson, School management committee.</p> <p>2.A letter dated 13/6/2022, prepared and endorsed by the head teacher and the chairperson School Management Committee of Kibira PS, addressed to the District education officer confirming that the school owns the land where to construct the new classroom blocks.</p> <p>3.A letter dated 10/6/2022, prepared and endorsed by the head teacher (0777866507) and the chairperson School Management Committee of Kirumiko PS, addressed to the District education officer confirming that the school has land measuring 10 acres where to construct a ventilated pit latrine for the school.</p>	1
16	<p>Safeguards in the delivery of investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c) Evidence that the Environment Officer and CDO conducted support supervision and monitoring (with the technical team) to ascertain compliance with ESMPs including follow up on recommended corrective actions; and prepared monthly monitoring reports, <i>score: 2, else score:0</i></p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out consistent monitoring of education projects and engagement throughout the contract period by the DCDO and District Natural Resources Officer including follow up on recommended corrective actions as the monthly reports from monitoring and supervision did not show consistency in monitoring projects.</p>	0

Safeguards in the delivery of investments

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

d) If the E&S certifications were approved and signed by the environmental officer and CDO prior to executing the project contractor payments

Score: 1, else score:0

There was evidence that all education contractor payments certificates in Nakasongola district LG had been signed off by the SEO and CDO prior to payments of contractors' invoices/certificates as the signatures by the SEO and DCDO on Contractor certification forms were not available.

1.Phase II renovation of a 2-classroom block at Kikoiro, Lwampanga subcounty, dated 8/5/2023;

2.Partial payment: Fencing Nakasongora sports centre at Wabinyonyi subcounty, 19/4/2023;

3.Construction of a 2-classroom block at Bulwandi P/S, Kalongo subcounty, dated 19/4/2023; and

4.Construction of a 5-stance lined pit latrine at Kirumiko P/S, Kalongo subcounty, dated 6/3/2023.

**Health
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>New_Outcome: The LG has registered higher percentage of the population accessing health care services.</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG registered Increased utilization of Health Care Services (focus on total deliveries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By 20% or more, score 2 • Less than 20%, score 0 	<p>From the 3 sampled health facilities, there deliveries were only 7.2% below required 20% or more.</p> <p>The sampled health facilities were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nakasongola HCIV; 2. Kakooge HCIII; and 3. Nakayonza HCIII. <p>FY 2021/2022 Deliveries were as follows:</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV 1258;</p> <p>Kakooge HCIII 589; and</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII 585.</p> <p>Total 2432</p> <p>FY 2022/2023 Deliveries were as follows:</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV 1342;</p> <p>Kakooge HCIII 522;and</p> <p>Nakayoza HCIII 743.</p> <p>Total 2,607</p> <p>The percentage change in deliveries were 7.2 % only.</p>	0

2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the Health LLG performance assessment.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the average score in Health for LLG performance assessment is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 70% and above, score 2 • 50% - 69%, score 1 • Below 50%, score 0 	<p>The LLG performance report average score was 99% as follows:</p> <p>FY 2022/2023 average score was 1480/15 =99%</p> <p>Wabinyinyi 100%;</p> <p>Nakitoma 70%;</p> <p>Nakasongola T/C 100%;</p> <p>Nabiswera 100%;</p> <p>Migeera T/C 70%;</p> <p>Miyirikiti 70%;</p> <p>Lwampanga T/C 100%;</p> <p>Lwampanga S/C 100%;</p> <p>Lwabiyata 100%;</p> <p>Kazwama T/C 100%;</p> <p>Katuugo T/C 100%;</p> <p>Kalungi S/C 100%;</p> <p>Kalongo S/C 70%;</p> <p>Kakooge S/C 100%; and</p> <p>Kakooge T/C 100%.</p>	2
2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the Health LLG performance assessment.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. If the average score in the RBF quality facility assessment for HC IIIs and IVs previous FY is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75% and above; score 2 • 65 - 74%; score 1 • Below 65; score 0 	<p>Not applicable because LG are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.</p> <p>RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.</p>	0
3	<p>Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG budgeted and spent all the health development grant for the previous FY on eligible activities as per the health grant and budget guidelines, score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The LG budget for Health Development grant was UGX133,487,000 page of AWP</p> <p>Spent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of pit latrine Kiralamba Health center III UGX 11,875,000; 2. Phase II fencing of Nabisweera Health Center IV UGX 38,000,000; and 3. Phase construction of Emergency unit at Nakasongola Health center IV UGX 65,062,170 page 63 of Quarter 4. 	2
3				2

Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b. If the DHO/MMOH, LG Engineer, Environment Officer and CDO certified works on health projects before the LG made payments to the contractors/ suppliers score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the LG DHO, CDO and Environmental officer certified all works for the previous FY as follows:

Name of project: Construction of 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00002

Contract Sum: UGX 11,779,940

Requisition was on 25/01/2023

Signed by the DHO on 06/02/2023

District Engineer signed on 06/2/2023

CAO signed on 06/02/2023

Environment Officer signed on 06/02/2023

CDO Officer signed 06/02/2023

Payment was on 5/04/2023

Amount paid was UGX 10,621,912;

Name of project: Construction of Emergency unity at Nakasongola Health Cener IV

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00006

Contractor/S AMBIT Services LTD

Contract Sum: UGX 64,276,016

Requisition was on 13/12/2022

Signed by the DHO on 08/02/2023

District Engineer signed on 08/02/2023

CAO signed on 08/02/2023

Environment Officer signed on 08/02/2023

CDO Officer signed 09/02/2023

Payment was on 05/04/2023

Amount paid was UGX 61,062,215; and

Name of project: Phase II fencing of Nabiseera Health Center IV

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00002

Contract Sum: UGX 37,840,712

Requisition was on 10/01/2023

Signed by the DHO on 10/01/2023

District Engineer signed on 10/01/2023

CAO signed on 10/01/2023
Environment Officer signed on 10/01/2023
CDO Officer signed 10/01/2023
Payment was on 05/02/2023
Amount paid was UGX 34,120,777.

3

Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

c. If the variations in the contract price of sampled health infrastructure investments are within +/- 20% of the MoWT Engineers estimates, score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the variations in priced health projects for the previous FY were within +/-20% of the Engineers estimate as follows;

Project: Construction of Emergency unit at Nakasongola HCIV Phase II

Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0006

Contractor: Ambt Services Ltd

Engineer's sum: UGX 65,062,170

Contract sum: UGX 64,276,016

Variation: 1.21%

Project: Construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII and a fence at Nabiswera HCIV

Contract No: Naks544/WRKS/22-23/0002

Contractor: PK Universal Enterprises Ltd

Engineer's sum: UGX 11,875,000

Contract sum: UGX 11,779,940

Variation: 0.8%

2

3

Investment performance: The LG has managed health projects as per guidelines.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

d. Evidence that the health sector investment projects implemented in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of the FY

- If 100 % Score 2
- Between 80 and 99% score 1
- less than 80 %: Score 0

Not applicable as there was no upgrade project from HC II to HC III and no new HC III in previous FY

2

4	Achievement of Standards: The LG has met health staffing and infrastructure facility standards Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	a. Evidence that the LG has recruited staff for all HCIIIs and HCIVs as per staffing structure • If above 90% score 2 • If 75% - 90%: score 1 • Below 75 %: score 0	There was evidence that the LG has recruited staff for all HCIIIs and HCIVs as per staffing structure at 82% HCIV (2) Filled 101, 101% HCIII (8) Filled 136, 89% HCII (17) Filled 85, 56% Average 82%	1
4	Achievement of Standards: The LG has met health staffing and infrastructure facility standards Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	b. Evidence that the LG health infrastructure construction projects meet the approved MoH Facility Infrastructure Designs. • If 100 % score 2 or else score 0	Not applicable as there was no upgrade project from HC II to HC III and no new HC III in previous FY	2

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

5	Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG maintains and reports accurate information Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	a. Evidence that information on positions of health workers filled is accurate: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that information on postilion of health workers filled was as follows: Nakasongola HCIV, had a total of 66 staff; Nakayonza HCIII, had 19 staff; and Kakooge HCIII, had 19 staff. This was from the staff lists and the attendance registers. The number of health workers are in place as indicated in the staff list.	2
5	Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG maintains and reports accurate information Maximum 4 points on this performance measure	b. Evidence that information on health facilities upgraded or constructed and functional is accurate: Score 2 or else 0	There was no upgrading and construction of new health facilities last FY. However, there was evidence of other constructions carried out as follows: 1. 2 stance Pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII; 2. Construction of a fence at Nabiswera HCIV; and 3. Construction of an emergence unit at Nakasongola HCIV. The information was submitted in the PBS (pages 158, 167 and 174) and the facilities were functional.	2

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Health facilities prepared and submitted Annual Workplans & budgets to the DHO/MMOH by March 31st of the previous FY as per the LG Planning Guidelines for Health Sector:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence that the facility budgets and work plans of the three sampled facilities were prepared in accordance with the guidelines.</p> <p>The facilities were Nakasongola HCIV, Nakayonza HCIII and Kakooge HCIII the dates for submission to DHO were as follows:</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV on 30/3/2022;</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII on 23/3/2022; and</p> <p>Kakooge HCIII on 4/3/2022.</p> <p>All the sampled health facility work plans and budgets were signed by the chairpersons of the HUMC.</p>	2
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Health facilities prepared and submitted to the DHO/MMOH Annual Budget Performance Reports for the previous FY by July 15th of the previous FY as per the Budget and Grant Guidelines :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence of budget performance reports for the three sampled facilities, they highlighted the budget performance, and bank balances and were signed by the Facility in charge, and the chairman of the HUMC.</p> <p>The submission dates were as follows:</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII was submitted on 6/7/2023;</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV was submitted on 15/7/2023; and</p> <p>Kakooge HCIII was submitted on 6/7/2023.</p>	2
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Health facilities have developed and reported on implementation of facility improvement plans that incorporate performance issues identified in monitoring and assessment reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Score 2 or else 0 	<p>There was evidence of Performance improvement plans for FY 2023/2024 for Nakasongola HCIV, Kakooge HCIII and Nakayonza HCIII and were submitted as follows:</p> <p>Nakayonza on 3rd March 2023;</p> <p>Kakooge on 9th March 2023; and</p> <p>Nakasongola on 30th June 2023.</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII included the issue of poor hygiene and sanitation in their PIP as identified by the DHMT monitoring visits.</p>	2
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant</p>	<p>d) Evidence that health facilities submitted up to date monthly and quarterly HMIS</p>	<p>There was evidence that the selected health Facilities submitted updated monthly and quarterly HMIS reports</p>	2

Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

reports timely (7 days following the end of each month and quarter) If 100%,

- score 2 or else score 0

timely (7 days following the end of each month and quarter) as indicated below:

Nakasongola HCIV (HMIS 105) dates of submission:

July 2/8/2022;

Aug 5/9/2022;

Sept 3/10/2022;

Oct 3/11/2022;

Nov 6/12/2022;

Dec 3/1/2023;

Jan 2/2/2023;

Feb 1/3/2023;

March 4/4/2023;

April 5/5/2023;

May 2/6/2023; and

June 7/7/2023.

Nakayonza HCIII (HMIS 105) dates of submission:

July 6/8/2022;

Aug 7/9/2022;

Sept 7/10/2022;

Oct 6/11/2022;

Nov 7/12/2022;

Dec 6/1/2023;

Jan 4/2/2023;

Feb 6/3/2023

March 4/4/2023;

April 5/5/2023;

May 6/6/2023; and

June 4/7/2023.

Kakooge HCIII (HMIS 105) dates of submission:

July 6/8/2022;

Aug 5/9/2022;

Sept 4/10/2022;

Oct 4/11/2022;

Nov 7/12/2022;

Dec 6/1/2022;

Jan 6/2/2023;

Feb 6/3/2023;

March 5/4/2023;

April 4/5/2023;

May 5/6/2023; and

June 6/7/2023.

Nakasongola HCIV (106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 4/10/2022;

2nd Quarter 6/1/2023;

3rd Quarter 3/4/2023; and

4th Quarter 5/7/2023.

Nakayonza HCIII (HMIS 106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 5/10/2022;

2nd Quarter 6/1/2023;

3rd Quarter 6/4/2023; and

4th Quarter 6/7/2023.

Kakooge (HMIS 106a) dates of submission:

1st Quarter 4/10/2022;

2nd Quarter 6/1/2023;

3rd Quarter 4/4/2023; and

4th Quarter 6/7/2023.

6

Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

e) Evidence that Health facilities submitted RBF invoices timely (by 15th of the month following end of the quarter). If 100%, score 2 or else score 0

Note: Municipalities submit to districts

Not applicable because LG are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.

RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.

0

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>f) If the LG timely (by end of 3rd week of the month following end of the quarter) verified, compiled and submitted to MOH facility RBF invoices for all RBF Health Facilities, if 100%, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>Not applicable because LG are no longer required to submit invoices following health facility RBF assessment for funding.</p> <p>RBF funds are sent together with PHC NWR funds.</p>	0
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>g) If the LG timely (by end of the first month of the following quarter) compiled and submitted all quarterly (4) Budget Performance Reports. If 100%, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG timely compile and submit all the 4 quarterly budget performance reports as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 was submitted on 13/10/2022;</p> <p>Quarter 2 was submitted on 14/01/2023;</p> <p>Quarter 3 was submitted on 14/04/2023; and</p> <p>Quarter 4 was submitted on 11/07/2023.</p>	1
6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and implemented Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>h) Evidence that the LG has:</p> <p>i. Developed an approved Performance Improvement Plan for the weakest performing health facilities, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence of that the LG had developed and approved performance improvement plan for the weakest performing health facilities as per document dated 30/3/2023.</p> <p>The weak performing health facilities that were included in PIP were:</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV, Nabiswera HClv, Wabigalo HCIII, Kalungi HCIII, Kakoola HCII, Kamirampango HCII, Kamunina HCII, Mulonzi HCII, and Kisaalizi HCII.</p>	1

6	<p>Health Facility Compliance to the Budget and Grant Guidelines, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement: LG has enforced Health Facility Compliance, Result Based Financing and Performance Improvement support.</p> <p>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Implemented Performance Improvement Plan for weakest performing facilities, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence of implementation of performance improvement plan for weakest facilities.</p>	0
---	--	--	--	----------

Human Resource Management and Development

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has:</p> <p>i. Budgeted for health workers as per guidelines/in accordance with the staffing norms score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG budgeted for the health workers in accordance with the staffing norms.</p> <p>Total staff were 366 representing 80% of staff requirement.</p> <p>The budget for salary was UGX: 5,679,851,000/= Page 30 of 79 of the District budget.</p>	2
---	---	---	---	----------

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has:</p> <p>ii. Deployed health workers as per guidelines (all the health facilities to have at least 75% of staff required) in accordance with the staffing norms score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that LG deployed health workers as per guidelines. All the health center III facilities had at least 75% of staff but center IV like Nakasongola had 143% and II had less than 75%.</p>	0
---	---	---	--	----------

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Evidence that health workers are working in health facilities where they are deployed, score 3 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was Evidence that health workers were working in facilities where they were deployed as per health staff list for FY 2023/2024 dated 31/8/2023.</p> <p>The staff lists had the following number of staff:</p> <p>Kakoge HCIII had 18;</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII had 19; and</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV had 70.</p> <p>From the Facility attendance books, there was evidence that the health workers were working according to their deployment.</p>	3
---	---	--	---	---

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted for, recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines (at least 75% of the staff required).</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has publicized health workers deployment and disseminated by, among others, posting on facility notice boards, for the current FY score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the list of health workers deployed was displayed on the facility notice board as follows:</p> <p>Nakasongola HCIV, displayed on 15/2/2023 with 66 staff;</p> <p>Nakayonza HCIII, displayed on 10/2/2023 with 19 staff; and</p> <p>Kakooge HCIII, displayed on 8/3/2023 with 19 staff.</p> <p>The deployment list for Kakooge was missing Birungi Lydia (Nursing Assistant) transferred from Lwampanga HCIII to Kakooge HCIII.</p> <p>The deployment list for Nakasongola HCIV has 70 staff while the displayed list at Nakosongola HCIV was missing 4 staff namely:</p> <p>Nakato Patricia;</p> <p>Nakyanzi Ruth;</p> <p>Ssekago Kalema James; and</p> <p>Nakabiri Joyce</p> <p>The reason is that they are on study leave as per submission letter dated 5/1/2023.</p>	2
---	---	--	---	---

Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

a) Evidence that the DHO/MMOHs has:

i. Conducted annual performance appraisal of all Health facility In-charges against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

The health in charges were not appraised by 30.06.2023.

Dr. Mutebi Thadaeus, appraised by Dr. Byamukama Agaba 14.06.2023
Nakasongola HCIV

Dralema Godfrey appraised by Nambago J Malira ,

Lugobe Samuel Kakooge HCIII, appraised by Tashobya Stephen on 3.07.2023

Bwami TITO appraised by kyagaba Rogers on 30.07.2023(Kalungi HCIII

Isiko Ben appraised by Bwami Tito (Bamugolodde HCIII) 03.07.2023

Mugaya Tonny Irima HCII, Appraised by Kyagaba Rogers on 04.08.2023

Mugerwa tonny Mayirikiti HCII appraised by Appraised by Amanyanya Charles on 08.08.2023

Tibeita Oliver K Batuusa HCII, appraised by Namwega Rebecca on 27.07.2023

Kasule Kalule Robert Wampiti HCII, appraised by Drucu Lawrence on 28.08.2023

Muyobokye Paul, Kyeyindula HCII, appraised by Namwega Rebecca on 07.08.2023

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Ensured that Health Facility In-charges conducted performance appraisal of all health facility workers against the agreed performance plans and submitted a copy through DHO/MMOH to HRO during the previous FY score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The health staff had not been appraised by 30.06.2023</p> <p>Namujju Justine nursing officer appraised by Bwami tito on 24.07.2023</p> <p>Namaganda Allen enrolled Midwife appraised by Nakakande Oliva on 30.07.2023</p> <p>Akullo Brenda, Enrolled Nurse appraised by Bazitiire Nalubega Leocardia on 04.08.2023.</p> <p>Kabanda John, Clinical officer appraised by Dr. Mutebi on 02.08.2023</p> <p>Sendkadiwa Henry Senior Clinicala officer appraised by Mutebi Thadeus on 12.07.2023</p> <p>Kiryia Ronald, Health inspector appraised by Dr. Mutebi Thadius on 07.07.2023</p> <p>Kusiima Annet Labouratory Assistant appraised by Nakiyingi Juliet on 25.07.2023</p> <p>Ssebunya Herbert, Clinical officer appraised by Dr. Mutebi Thadeus on 02.08.2023</p> <p>Namwase Joyce, clinical officer Appaised by Dr. Thadaeus Mutebi on 05.07.2023</p> <p>Nakatudde Regina Enrolled Nurse appraised by Akello Ketty on 04.08.2023</p>	0
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>iii. Taken corrective actions based on the appraisal reports, score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There were no corrective actions identified on appraisal thus no recommended corrective action for the local government to take corrective actions on.</p>	2
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG:</p> <p>i. conducted training of health workers (Continuous Professional Development) in accordance to the training plans at District/MC level, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence of the district training plan available.</p>	0

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Health Workers.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>ii. Documented training activities in the training/CPD database, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence of documented training activities as indicated below;</p> <p>Training in field epidemiology training program (FETP);</p> <p>Training in Bio risk management for Laboratory focal persons;</p> <p>Training on Intergraded child health days; and</p> <p>EPI vaccine management.</p>	1
---	--	---	--	---

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the CAO/Town Clerk confirmed the list of Health facilities (GoU and PNFP receiving PHC NWR grants) and notified the MOH in writing by September 30th if a health facility had been listed incorrectly or missed in the previous FY, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that CAO confirmed the list of health facilities receiving PHC NWR grant and notified the MOH in writing as per letter dated 22/9/2023.</p> <p>The list is composed of 33 health facilities in the following categories:</p> <p>Government Health facilities were 29; and</p> <p>PNFPs Health facilities were 4.</p> <p>There were 3 HCIVs (2 GOU and 1 PNFP) and no General hospital.</p>	2
9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG made allocations towards monitoring service delivery and management of District health services in line with the health sector grant guidelines (15% of the PHC NWR Grant for LLHF allocation made for DHO/MMOH), score 2 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The health budget for PHC non-wage UGX 379,014,000-page 34 AWP and budget</p> <p>Health monitoring and management UGX 68,641,000-page 35 annual performance report. This represented 18%</p>	2

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If the LG made timely warranting/verification of direct grant transfers to health facilities for the last FY, in accordance to the requirements of the budget score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not warrant in a timely manner as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Cash limit 28/07/2022, warranted was on 10/08/2023 UGX 51,828,775; after 10 days</p> <p>Quarter 2: cash limit 7/10/2022 warrant 17/10/2022 UGX113,670,811; within 5 days</p> <p>Quarter 3 cash limit 5/01/2023, warranted 18/01/2023; UGX62,560,482 after 10 days and</p> <p>Quarter 4 cash limit 17/04/2023, warrant on 25/04/2023 UGX75,840,723 after 6 days.</p>	0
---	--	---	---	---

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. If the LG invoiced and communicated all PHC NWR Grant transfers for the previous FY to health facilities within 5 working days from the day of receipt of the funds release in each quarter, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not communicate to the LLG within 5 working days as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Cash limit 28/07/2022, CAO communicated on 10/08/2023 UGX 51,828,750; after 10 days;</p> <p>Quarter 2: cash limit 7/10/2022 CAO communicated on 17/10/2022 UGX113,670,811; within 5days;</p> <p>Quarter 3 cash limit 5/01/2023, CAO communicate on 18/01/2023; UGX62,560,482, after 10 days and</p> <p>Quarter 4 cash limit 17/04/2023, communicated on 25/04/2023 UGX75,840,723, after 6 days.</p>	0
---	--	--	---	---

9	<p>N23_Planning, budgeting, and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>e. Evidence that the LG has publicized all the quarterly financial releases to all health facilities within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the expenditure limits from MoFPED- e.g. through posting on public notice boards: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG publicized all the quarterly financial releases within 5 working days as follows:</p> <p>Quarter 1 Release was on 28/7/2022 of UGX 47,376,767 and publicized on 22/7//2022 done before the release date;</p> <p>Quarter 2 Release was on 7/10/2022 of UGX 142,130,245 and publicized on 13/10/2022, within 4 working day;</p> <p>Quarter 3 Release was on 5/1//2023 of UGX 94,753,532 and. publicized on 4/1/2023 done a day before the release;</p> <p>Quarter 4 Release was on 17/4//2023 of UGX 94753,532 and. publicized on 12/4/2023 done 5 days before the release.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum 9 points on this performance measure</p>			
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG health department implemented action(s) recommended by the DHMT Quarterly performance review meeting (s) held during the previous FY, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that The LG held quarterly performance review meetings as follow:</p> <p>1st Quarter on 13/10/2022;</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 23/2/2023;</p> <p>3rd Quarter on 20/6/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter on 17/8/2023.</p> <p>There was evidence of implementation of actions recommended by DHMT, the issue was over HIV testing, minutes of 1st Quarter dated 13/10/2022, page 9 of 10)</p> <p>The action was taken as indicated in the minutes of the 2nd quarter dated 23/2/2023 by conducting mentorships on the use of HTS eligibility screening tools (page 8 of 9)</p>	2
	<p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>			

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. If the LG quarterly performance review meetings involve all health facilities in charges, implementing partners, DHMTs, key LG departments e.g. WASH, Community Development, Education department, score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG quarterly performance review meetings involve all facility in-charge implementing partners, DHMT, and Key LG departments.</p> <p>Meetings included the Health In-charges, secretary for Health, CAO, and implementing partners as shown on the attendance lists of the following minutes:</p> <p>1st quarter had 39 in-charges, 18 DHMTs, and 11 IPs;</p> <p>2nd quarter had 33 in-charges, 6 DHMTs, and 12 IPs;</p> <p>3rd quarter had 29 in-charges, 5 DHMTs, and 6 IPs; and</p> <p>4th quarter had 54 in-charges, 4 DHMTs, and 3 IPs;</p> <p>The LG held quarterly performance review meetings on the dated as indicated below:</p> <p>1st Quarter on 13/10/2022;</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 23/2/2023;</p> <p>3rd Quarter on 20/6/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter on 17/8/2023.</p>	1
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If the LG supervised 100% of HC IVs and General hospitals (including PNFPs receiving PHC grant) at least once every quarter in the previous FY (where applicable) : score 1 or else, score 0</p> <p>If not applicable, provide the score</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG supervised all the HCIV and General Hospitals (including PNFPs receiving PHC grants) at least once quarterly as indicated below:</p> <p>1st Quarter on 31/10/2022;</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 20/12/2022;</p> <p>3rd Quarter on 5/4/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter on 5/7/2023.</p>	1

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that DHT/MHT ensured that Health Sub Districts (HSDs) carried out support supervision of lower level health facilities within the previous FY (where applicable), score 1 or else score 0</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If not applicable, provide the score 	<p>There was evidence that the DHT ensured that HSDs carried out support supervision of lower level health facilities as shown by the dated below:</p> <p>Nakasongola HSD (Nakasongola HCIV)</p> <p>1st Quarter on 25/9/2022:</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 52012/2022;</p> <p>3rd Quarter on 28/52023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter on 29//2023.</p> <p>Budyebo HSD (Nabiswera HCIV)</p> <p>1st Quarter on 18/10/2022:</p> <p>2nd Quarter on 19/1/2023;</p> <p>3rd Quarter on 19/4/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter on 3/7/2023.</p>	1
----	---	---	---	----------

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>e. Evidence that the LG used results/reports from discussion of the support supervision and monitoring visits, to make recommendations for specific corrective actions and that implementation of these were followed up during the previous FY, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG used the reports from discussion of the support supervision and monitoring visits to make specific corrective actions as indicated below:</p> <p>From the support supervision report for Budyebo HSD for 4th Quarter dated 3/7/2023, it was recommended that the fence for Nabiswera HCIV be completed.</p> <p>Action had been taken by DHO to include completion of fencing of Nabiswera HCIV in the procurement plan for FY 2023/2024 dated 30/3/2023.</p>	1
----	---	---	--	----------

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands -on support supervision to health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>f. Evidence that the LG provided support to all health facilities in the management of medicines and health supplies, during the previous FY: score 1 or else, score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG provided support to all health facilities in the management of medicines and health supplies as shown in the following reports:</p> <p>1st Quarter report on essential medicines supervision dated 10/8/2022;</p> <p>2nd Quarter report on essential medicines supervision dated 3/1/2023;</p> <p>3rd Quarter report on essential medicines SPARS support supervision dated 25/3/2023; and</p> <p>4th Quarter report on essential medicines SPARS support supervision dated 14/7/2023.</p>	1
11	<p>Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. If the LG allocated at least 30% of District / Municipal Health Office budget to health promotion and prevention activities, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Health promotion and prevention activities budget was UGX 16,167,500</p> <p>The Health budget DHO UGX 63,886,255</p> <p>The LG allocated 25% on health promotion and prevention activities.</p>	0
11	<p>Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. Evidence of DHT/MHT led health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities as per ToRs for DHTs, during the previous FY score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that DHT led health promotion and social mobilization activities as indicated by the following activity reports:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. VHT bi-annual support supervision dated 18/7/2022; 2. VHT bi-annual support supervision dated 18/7/2023; 3. Community sensitization on hygiene promotion and appropriate sanitation practices dated 29/11/2022; 4. Monitoring sanitation and hygiene status in the community dated 3/1/2023; 5. Health and sanitation awareness in Kiralamba ward dated 15/3/2023; and 6. Community sensitization on solid waste management dated 4/5/2023; 	1

11

Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization: The LG Health department conducted Health promotion, disease prevention and social mobilization activities

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure

c. Evidence of follow-up actions taken by the DHT/MHT on health promotion and disease prevention issues in their minutes and reports: score 1 or else score 0

There was evidence that follow-up actions were taken by the DHT as indicated below:

In the VHT bi-annual support supervision report dated 18/7/2022, the issue was that some NGOs do not pay VHTs while others take long to pay.

The action was under Min.3 of the DHMT meeting held on 20/12 2022. (Due to change of the method of payment from cash to e-payment)

Under the bi-annual support supervision of the VHTs report dated 18/7/2023, there was an issue of child immunization registers being taken by the district supervisor.

The action taken was under Min.2 of the DHMT meeting held on 20/6/2023. (The officer who took the registers was instructed to return them to enable the capture of the EPI defaulters.)

1

Investment Management

12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG has an updated Asset register which sets out health facilities and equipment relative to basic standards: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The available assets register does not conform to the required standard. There were individual health facility asset registers not integrated as one document for the health department. It does not have buildings and the status of both equipments and bulidings..</p>	0
	<p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>			
12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the prioritized investments in the health sector for the previous FY were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDPIII);</p>	<p>There was evidence that the prioritized investments in the health sector for the previous FY were: (i) derived from the third LG Development Plan (LGDPIII) as follows</p>	1
	<p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>(ii) desk appraisal by the LG; and</p> <p>(iii) eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG));</p> <p>score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>1. Construction of fence at Nabisweera Health Center IV UGX 38,000,000 page 57- 75 of LGDP;</p> <p>2. Phase II construction of emergency unit of Nakasongola Health Center IV UGX 65,062,170 page 57-75 of LGDP; and</p> <p>3. Construction of 2 stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III UGX 11,875,000 Page 57-75</p> <p>The desk appraisal were carried on 17/02 /2022.</p>	
12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p>	<p>c. Evidence that the LG has conducted field Appraisal to check for: (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environment and social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs to site conditions: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The field appraisals were carried for the prioritized projects on 02/03/2022 as follows:</p> <p>1. Construction of fence at Nabisweera Health Center IV UGX 38,000,000 page 57- 75 of LGDP</p> <p>2. Phase II construction of emergency unit of Nakasongola Health Center IV UGX 65,062,170 page 57-75 of LGDP</p> <p>3. Construction of 2 stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III UGX 11,875,000 Page 57-75</p> <p>The desk appraisals were carried on 17/02 /2022</p>	1
	<p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>			

12	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments: The LG has carried out Planning and Budgeting for health investments as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the health facility investments were screened for environmental and social risks and mitigation measures put in place before being approved for construction using the checklist: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence to ascertain that all health infrastructure projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) were screened and EMPS prepared and costed as exemplified by the filled screening forms and ESMPs for the following health projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Phase 2 construction of perimeter fence at Nabiswera HC IV, dated 2/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and 2.Construction of 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III, Katuugo Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and 3.Phase 2 construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, Nakasongola TC, dated 1/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO. <p>Compliance to the risk mitigation plans as exemplified by the environment and social impact reports for the following health projects</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Phase 2 construction of perimeter fence at Nabiswera HC IV, dated 2/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and 2.Construction of 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III, Katuugo Town Council, dated 12/2/2023, endorsed by the SEO; and <p>Phase 2 construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, Nakasongola TC, dated 10/8/2022.</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG health department timely (by April 30 for the current FY) submitted all its infrastructure and other procurement requests to PDU for incorporation into the approved LG annual work plan, budget and procurement plans: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The Procurement Plan for the Health sector for the current FY was submitted after 30th April on 01/08/2023.</p>	0
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>b. If the LG Health department submitted procurement request form (Form PP1) to the PDU by 1st Quarter of the current FY: score 1 or else, score 0</p>	<p>The LG Health department submit the procurement request form by 1st Quarter of the current FY on 01/08/2023.</p>	1

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. Evidence that the health infrastructure investments for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee and cleared by the Solicitor General (where above the threshold), before commencement of construction: score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that health projects for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee as follows:</p> <p>Project: Construction of Emergency unit at Nakasongola HCIV Phase II</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting:15/11/2022</p> <p>Min No: 40/CC/11/22(ii)</p> <p>Project: Construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII and a fence at Nabiswera HCIV</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting:11/10/2022</p> <p>Min No: 29/CC/10/22</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. Evidence that the LG properly established a Project Implementation team for all health projects composed of: (i) : score 1 or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG established the Project Implementation Team (PIT) for health projects for the previous FY on 10/01/2023 and it included the following; District Health Officer, District Engineer, Community Development Officer, Senior Environment Officer, District Labour Officer, the Supervisor works in charge of buildings.</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>e. Evidence that the health infrastructure followed the standard technical designs provided by the MoH: score 1 or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>There was no upgrade project from HC II to HC III and no new HC III in previous FY</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>f. Evidence that the Clerk of Works maintains daily records that are consolidated weekly to the District Engineer in copy to the DHO, for each health infrastructure project: score 1 or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>There was evidence that the clerk of works kept daily records that were consolidated in reports dated; 29/11/2022, 20/12/2022, 25/01/2023 for the Construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII and a fence at Nabiswera HCIV and 31/01/2023 for the construction of Emergency unit at Nakasongola HCIV Phase II.</p>	1

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>g. Evidence that the LG held monthly site meetings by project site committee: chaired by the CAO/Town Clerk and comprised of the Sub-county Chief (SAS), the designated contract and project managers, chairperson of the HUMC, in-charge for beneficiary facility , the Community Development and Environmental officers: score 1 or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>Not applicable as there was no upgrade project from HC II to HC III and no new HC III in previous FY</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>h. Evidence that the LG carried out technical supervision of works at all health infrastructure projects at least monthly, by the relevant officers including the Engineers, Environment officers, CDOs, at critical stages of construction: score 1, or else score 0</p> <p>If there is no project, provide the score</p>	<p>Not applicable as there was no upgrade project from HC II to HC III and no new HC III in previous FY</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>i. Evidence that the DHO/MMOH verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes (within 2 weeks or 10 working days), score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>The LG did not make payments within 5 working days as per the projects that follows:</p> <p>Name of project: Construction of 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba Health Center III</p> <p>Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00002</p> <p>Contract Sum: UGX 11,779,940</p> <p>Requisition was on 25/01/2023</p> <p>Signed by the DHO on 06/02/2023</p> <p>District Engineer signed on 06/2/2023</p> <p>CAO signed on 06/02/2023</p> <p>Environment Officer signed on 06/02/2023</p> <p>CDO Officer signed 06/02/2023</p> <p>Payment was on 5/04/2023</p> <p>Amount paid was UGX 10,621,912;</p> <p>Name of project: Construction of Emergency unity at Nakasongola</p>	0

Health Cener IV

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00006

Contractor/S AMBIT Services LTD

Contract Sum: UGX 64,276,016

Requisition was on 13/12/2022

Signed by the DHO on 08/02/2023

District Engineer signed on 08/02/2023

CAO signed on 08/02/2023

Environment Officer signed on 08/02/2023

CDO Officer signed 09/02/2023

Payment was on 05/04/2023

Amount paid was UGX 61,062,215; and

Name of project: Phase II fencing of Nabiseera Health Center IV

Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/0002

Contract Sum: UGX 37,840,712

Requisition was on 10/01/2023

Signed by the DHO on 10/01/2023

District Engineer signed on 10/01/2023

CAO signed on 10/01/2023

Environment Officer signed on 10/01/2023

CDO Officer signed 10/01/2023

Payment was on 05/02/2023

Amount paid was UGX 34,120,777.

13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed health contracts as per guidelines	j. Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each health infrastructure contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that each contract for health infrastructure projects had a complete procurement file as sampled below:	1
	Maximum 10 points on this performance measure		File Name: Construction of Emergency Unit at Nakasongola HCIV Phase II	
			Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0006	
			Contract signed: 08/12/2022	
			Evaluation report: 11/11/2022	
			Contracts Committee Meeting date: 15/11/2022	
			Min No: MIN 40/CC/11/22(ii)	
			File Name: Construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at Kiralamba HCIII and a Fence at Nabiswera HCIV	
			Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0002	
			Contract signed: 26/10/2022	
			Evaluation report: 23/09/2022	
			Contracts Committee Meeting date: 11/10/2022	
			Min No: MIN 29/CC/11/22	

Environment and Social Safeguards

14	Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing health sector grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework	a. Evidence that the Local Government has recorded, investigated, responded and reported in line with the LG grievance redress framework score 2 or else 0	There was no evidence that the LG had recorded, investigated, responded to and redress reported health related grievances as the centralized complaints log was not available.	0
	Maximum 2 points on this performance measure			
15	Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery	a. Evidence that the LG has disseminated guidelines on health care / medical waste management to health facilities : score 2 points or else score 0	There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG has issued and disseminated guidelines on health care / medical waste management to health facilities as exemplified by the Standard Operating Procedures dated 15/11/2021 and approved by the in-charge of Nakasongola HC IV for implementation during operation.	2
	Maximum 5 points on this performance measure			

15	Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery	b. Evidence that the LG has in place a functional system for Medical waste management or central infrastructures for managing medical waste (either an incinerator or Registered waste management service provider): score 2 or else score 0	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG has in place a functional /equipped system for health care waste management as exemplified by Nakasongola HC IV and Kiralamba H/C III. For example:</p> <p>1.A placenta pit, concentrator and Ash pit are available at the Nakasongola hospital;</p> <p>2.The system is comprised of medical waste generation,segregation, transfer of waste to waste pit / incinerator. Waste bins with bin liners are available;</p> <p>3.A registered waste management service provider - Green label Ltd, HCWM waste transfer form, serial no. 1032, dated 9/10/2023 and signed by the Lab Manager. The company transports and deposits the hazardous waste for further management.</p> <p>Kiralamba H/C III, a work plan and budget for the FY 2023-2024, approved by the DHO in March 2023, Item 13: purchase of sanitary materials, budgeted at UGX 80,000 per quarter. A burn pit and placenta pit were available.</p>	2
15	Safeguards for service delivery: LG Health Department ensures safeguards for service delivery	c. Evidence that the LG has conducted training (s) and created awareness in healthcare waste management score 1 or else score 0	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG had conducted training and created awareness in health care waste management as exemplified by a report on community training in general environment and natural resources management, conducted at Katuugo Town Council, 12/10/2023. The scope of the training included proper waste management in the community. Thirty-five participants, including health workers, benefited from the training.</p>	1
Maximum 5 points on this performance measure	Maximum 5 points on this performance measure			

16

Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

a. Evidence that a costed ESMP was incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents for health infrastructure projects of the previous FY: score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence to ascertain that all health infrastructure projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) were screened and EMPS prepared and costed as exemplified by the filled screening forms and ESMPs for the following health projects.

1.Phase 2 construction of perimeter fence at Nabiswera HC IV, dated 2/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and

2.Construction of 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III, Katuugo Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and

3.Phase 2 construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, Nakasongola TC, dated 1/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO.

Compliance to the risk mitigation plans as exemplified by the environment and social impact reports for the following health projects

1.Phase 2 construction of perimeter fence at Nabiswera HC IV, dated 2/8/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and

2.Construction of 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III, Katuugo Town Council, dated 12/2/2023, endorsed by the SEO; and

Phase 2 construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, Nakasongola TC, dated 10/8/2022.

16

Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b. Evidence that all health sector projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of ownership, access and availability (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances: score 2 or else, score 0

There was evidence to ascertain that health construction projects in Nakasongola district LG were implemented on land where the LG had proof of ownership as exemplified by the following land agreement and land titles.

1.Certificate of Titles for Kiwambya HC II in the names of Nakasongola district local government, Buruli county in Nakasongola district, Leasehold registered land, Block 193, Plot 54, measuring 1.2340 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 5/10/2023; and

2.Certificate of Titles for Kyeyindula HC II in the names of Nakasongola district local government, Buruli county in Nakasongola district, Leasehold registered land, Block 234, Plot 143, measuring 2.7560 hectares, endorsed by the Registrar of Titles on 17/05/2023.

2

16	Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments	c. Evidence that the LG Environment Officer and CDO conducted support supervision and monitoring of health projects to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports: score 2 or else score 0.	There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out consistent monitoring and engagement through the contract period of as health projects of the previous FY (2022/2023) were not consistently monitored.	0
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure			
16	Safeguards in the Delivery of Investment Management: LG Health infrastructure projects incorporate Environment and Social Safeguards in the delivery of the investments	d. Evidence that Environment and Social Certification forms were completed and signed by the LG Environment Officer and CDO, prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of all health infrastructure projects score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG contractor payment certificates were signed by the LG Environmental Officer and DCDO prior to payment of contractor invoices/certificates as health projects of the previous FY (2022/2023) were not implemented as exemplified by the contractor payment certificates of the following projects.	2
	Maximum 8 points on this performance measure		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Phase II construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, dated 8/2/2023; 2.Construction of a 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III in Katuugo TC, dated 8/2/2023; and 3.Phase II fencing of Nabiswera HCIV in Nabiswera sub-county, dated 10/1/2023. 	

**Water &
Environment
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	Water & Environment Outcomes: The LG has registered high functionality of water sources and management committees <i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i>	a. % of rural water sources that are functional. If the district rural water source functionality as per the sector MIS is: o 90 - 100%: score 2 o 80-89%: score 1 o Below 80%: 0	According to MWE MIS as at end of FY22/23, Nakasongola registered 97% functionality of rural water sources. This is above 90%, hence, score = 2.	2
1	Water & Environment Outcomes: The LG has registered high functionality of water sources and management committees <i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i>	b. % of facilities with functional water & sanitation committees (documented water user fee collection records and utilization with the approval of the WSCs). If the district WSS facilities that have functional WSCs is: o 90 - 100%: score 2 o 80-89%: score 1 o Below 80%: 0	According to MWE MIS for FY20/21, the functionality of WSCs was 88%. This is in range 80 - 89% hence score =1.	1
2	N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment <i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i>	a. The LG average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment for the current. FY. If LG average scores is; • Above 80%, score 2 • 60% - 80%, score 1 • Below 60%, score 0	LG average score was 72%. This is in range 60 - 80%. Hence, score =1. The respective % score for the LLGs was as follows: Kakooge TC - 0, Katuugo TC - 0, Kazwama TC - 0, Lwampanga SC - 0, Lwampanga TC - 0, Mayirikiti TC - 0, Migeera TC - 0, Nakasongola TC - 0, Wabinyonyi SC - 0, Lwabiyata SC - 30, Nakitoma SC - 60, Kakooge SC - 100, Kalongo SC - 100, Kalungi SC - 100, Nabiswera SC - 100.	1

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b. % of budgeted water projects implemented in the sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average in the previous FY.

o If 100 % of water projects are implemented in the targeted S/Cs: Score 2

o If 80-99%: Score 1

o If below 80 %: Score 0

Using FY22/23, 4th Quarter and Annual report dated 24/7/2023, it was established that District Coverage was 85% and the subcounties with coverage below district average were as follows:

Lwabiyata - 44%; Lwampanga - 44%; Kalungi - 79%.

Construction projects in FY22/23 were:

- Construction of 4 no. deep boreholes: in Lwabiyata - 1no at UGX31,000,000 out of UGX124,000,000.

- Rehabilitation of 4no. deep boreholes: wabinyonyi - 1no.; Lwabyata - 2no; Lwampanga - 2no.; and the rest in the other subcounties; all at UGX161,900,000. Of these, 8 bhs were in Lwabiyata, Lwampanga and Wabinyonyi thus 38.1%.

- Rehabilitation by NGO [CO2 Balance (UK)] of 32no. deep boreholes: Lwabyata - 1no and Wabinyonyi - 9no. and the rest is other sucouties. = UGX252,800,000; Of these, 10 bhs were in Lwabiyata and Wabinyonyi thus 31.3%.

- Rehabilitation by NGO [Busoga Trust] of 71no. deep boreholes at UGX 560,900,000 : Lwampanga - 13no. and wabinyonyi - 1no. thus 19.7%

- Thus total projects implemented in Lwampanga, Lwabiyata, Wabinyonyi subcounties = UGX391,800,000 whereas the total investment was UGX1,365,600,000 thus 28.7%.

This is below 80%, hence score = 0.

2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>c. If variations in the contract price of sampled WSS infrastructure investments for the previous FY are within +/- 20% of engineer's estimates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If within +/-20% score 2 o If not score 0 	<p>From contract files of water supply and sanitation Projects, awards were made and contracts implemented in FY22/23 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of 4 no. deep boreholes by ICON Projects Ltd at a Contract price of UGX(16,779,600 + 105,350,400) = UGX122,130,000/- against an Engineer's estimate of UGX122,385,185/- = 99.8%. • Completion of 1no. Public Toilet at Kityobba Landing site (ph2) at a contract price of UGX9,586,320 against an Estimate of UGX9.919,018/- = 97%; • Construction of 2no. brick masonry tanks at Kabakazi and Nakatoogo Primary Schools by Perfresserk Inv. Ltd. at contract price of UGX39,187,467 against an Estimate of UGX39,200,000/- = 99.99% <p>All these projects were within the 80% - 120% range; hence, score = 2.</p>	2
2	<p>N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the water and environment LLGs performance assessment</p> <p>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>d. % of WSS infrastructure projects completed as per annual work plan by end of FY.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If 100% projects completed: score 2 o If 80-99% projects completed: score 1 o If projects completed are below 80%: 0 	<p>All projects were fully completed by end of FY22/23, hence score =2.</p> <p>The projects were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of 4 no. deep boreholes by ICON Projects Ltd was started on 04/12/22 and ended on 11/02/23 . • Completion of 1no. Public Toilet at Kityobba Landing site (ph2) by Kakalubo Ltd. was started on 30/11/22 and ended on 20/01/23; • Construction of 2no. brick masonry tanks at Kabakazi and Nakatoogo Primary Schools by Perfresserk Inv. Ltd. was started on 03/02/23 and ended on 27/02/23. 	2

3	<p>New_Achievement of Standards:</p> <p>The LG has met WSS infrastructure facility standards</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. If there is an increase in the % of water supply facilities that are functioning</p> <p>o If there is an increase: score 2</p> <p>o If no increase: score 0.</p>	<p>According to MWE MIS FY21/22, functionality was 93% while that for FY22/23 was 97%.</p> <p>Thus, functionality improved by 5%. Hence score = 2.</p>	2
3	<p>New_Achievement of Standards:</p> <p>The LG has met WSS infrastructure facility standards</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. If there is an Increase in % of facilities with functional water & sanitation committees (with documented water user fee collection records and utilization with the approval of the WSCs).</p> <p>o If increase is more than 1% score 2</p> <p>o If increase is between 0-1%, score 1</p> <p>o If there is no increase : score 0.</p>	<p>According to MWE MIS FY21/22, functionality of WSCs was 87% while that for FY22/23 was 88%.</p> <p>There was a 1% increase of water supply facilities with functional WSCs; hence score =1.</p>	1

Performance Reporting and Performance Improvement

4	<p>Accuracy of Reported Information: The LG has accurately reported on constructed WSS infrastructure projects and service performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>The DWO has accurately reported on WSS facilities constructed in the previous FY and performance of the facilities is as reported: Score: 3</p>	<p>There was evidence that DWO accurately reported on WSS facilities constructed in FY22/23 as per annual Report dated 24/7/2023.</p> <p>A field visit on 06/11/23 was made to the following three WSS facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kityobba lined pit latrine in Lwampanga subcounty; • Nalukonge WSS in Lwabiyata subcounty; • Kyeyindula deep borehole in Kakooge subcounty. <p>The three sampled facilities were completed as planned and are functioning properly.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3
---	---	--	--	---

5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the LG Water Office collects and compiles quarterly information on sub-county water supply and sanitation, functionality of facilities and WSCs, safe water collection and storage and community involvement): Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that that the LG Water Office, using data capture forms, collects and compiles quarterly information on sub-county water supply and sanitation facilities, functionality of facilities and WSCs by way of Quarterly reports availed in hard copy for 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th quarter / annual report for FY22/23 dated 14/10/22, 19/01/23, 16/5/23 and 24/7/23 respectively.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2
5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG Water Office updates the MIS (WSS data) quarterly with water supply and sanitation information (new facilities, population served, functionality of WSCs and WSS facilities, etc.) and uses compiled information for planning purposes: Score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that quarterly Reports (for 1st, 2nd and 4th quarter; and annual report for FY22/23 dated 14/10/22, 19/01/23, 16/5/23 and 24/7/23 respectively) were availed and these show facilities constructed.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3
5	<p>Reporting and performance improvement: The LG compiles, updates WSS information and supports LLGs to improve their performance</p> <p><i>Maximum 7 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. Evidence that DWO has supported the 25% lowest performing LLGs in the previous FY LLG assessment to develop and implement performance improvement plans: Score 2 or else 0</p> <p><i>Note: Only applicable from the assessment where there has been a previous assessment of the LLGs' performance. In case there is no previous assessment score 0.</i></p>	<p>Using FY22/23, 4th Quarter and Annual report dated 24/7/2023, it was established that District Coverage was 85% and the subcounties with coverage below district average were as follows:</p> <p>Lwabiyata – 44%; Lwampanga – 44%; Kalungi – 79%.</p> <p>In the AWP for FY23/24, the DWO planned to invest UGX244,000,000/- as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Rehabilitation of 3 boreholes at UGX24,000,000/-; ii. Construction of Nalukonge WSS (phase2) in Lwabiyata subcounty at UGX200,000,000/-; iii. Construction of 1no. brick-lined rainwater tank at Lwampangfa subcounty. <p>All this was planned out of a total investment of of UGX443,390,000/-.</p> <p>Thus, 55%.</p> <p>Hence, score =2.</p>	2

Human Resource Management and Development

6	<p>Budgeting for Water & Sanitation and Environment & Natural Resources: The Local Government has budgeted for staff</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the DWO has budgeted for the following Water & Sanitation staff: 1 Civil Engineer(Water); 2 Assistant Water Officers (1 for mobilization and 1 for sanitation & hygiene); 1 Engineering Assistant (Water) & 1 Borehole Maintenance Technician: Score 2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There was evidence that the DWO has budgeted for the following Water & Sanitation staff: 1 Civil Engineer(Water); 2 Assistant Water Officers (1 for mobilization and 1 for sanitation & hygiene); 1 Engineering Assistant (Water) & 1 Borehole Maintenance Technician:• As per the budget estimates 2023/24 at 90,057,000/=	2
6	<p>Budgeting for Water & Sanitation and Environment & Natural Resources: The Local Government has budgeted for staff</p> <p><i>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. Evidence that the Environment and Natural Resources Officer has budgeted for the following Environment & Natural Resources staff: 1 Natural Resources Officer; 1 Environment Officer; 1 Forestry Officer: Score 2</p>	<p>There was evidence that the Environment and Natural Resources Officer had budgeted for the following Environment & Natural Resources staff: 1 Natural Resources Officer; 1 Environment Officer; 1 Forestry Officer</p> <p>As per the LG approved Budget estimates 2023/24 at 408,797,000/=</p>	2
7	<p>Performance Management: The LG appraised staff and conducted trainings in line with the district training plans.</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. The DWO has appraised District Water Office staff against the agreed performance plans during the previous FY: Score 3</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG appraised District Water Office staff during the previous FY. The department had one staff</p> <p>Mr. Mulondo Hussei, Appointment date 12.12.2018, DSC min no 120 of 2018 (120.1)</p> <p>Appraised by Uma Charles on 28.06.2023.</p>	3

Performance Management: The LG appraised staff and conducted trainings in line with the district training plans.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

b. The District Water Office has identified capacity needs of staff from the performance appraisal process and ensured that training activities have been conducted in adherence to the training plans at district level and documented in the training database :
Score 3

- There was evidence that the DWO carried out capacity needs assessment for ALL staff (including seconded ones) during the FY22/23.

- A training plan for DWO staff was formulated and sent to HR Department.

- Training plan indicated the following: i) training in water quality surveillance and monitoring for sources near R.Kafu and L.Kyoga shores; ii) Refresher course for hand-pump repair and maintenance for Asst Engineering Officer; iii) training in HSSSE for supervisors (DWO, AWO - mobilisation and AWO - sanitation) of construction projects.

Hence score =3.

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for service delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

- a) Evidence that the DWO has prioritized budget allocations to sub-counties that have safe water coverage below that of the district:
-
- • If 100 % of the budget allocation for the current FY is allocated to S/Cs below the district average coverage: Score 3
- • If 80-99%: Score 2
- • If 60-79: Score 1
- • If below 60 %: Score 0

There was evidence that the DWO has prioritized budget allocations in FY23/24 to sub-counties that have safe water coverage below that of the district.

Using FY22/23, 4th Quarter and Annual report dated 24/7/2023, it was established that District Coverage was 85% and the subcounties with coverage below district average were as follows:

Lwabiyata - 44%; Lwampanga - 44%; Kalungi - 79%.

In the AWP for FY23/24, the DWO planned to invest UGX244,000,000/- as follows:

- i. Rehabilitation of 3 boreholes at UGX24,000,000/-;
- ii. Construction of Nalukonge WSS (phase2) in Lwabiyata subcounty at UGX200,000,000/-;
- iii. Construction of 1no. brick-lined rainwater tank at Lwampanga subcounty.

Thus, investment in subcounties with coverage below district average = UGX244,000,000/=.

out of a district total investment in WSS sector = UGXUGX443,900,000/=.

This represents 55%.

This is below =60%; hence a score =0.

8	<p>Planning, Budgeting and Transfer of Funds for service delivery: The Local Government has allocated and spent funds for service delivery as prescribed in the sector guidelines.</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b) Evidence that the DWO communicated to the LLGs their respective allocations per source to be constructed in the current FY: Score 3</p>	<p>There was evidence that DWO communicated to the LLGs their respective allocations per source to be constructed in the current FY as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DWO presented to Finance and Works Committee as draft AWP for FY23/24 on 19/5/23. • DWO also put a notice on the District notice boards dated 28/7/23. • DWO presented and District Council approved AWP for FY23/24 on 30/5/23. <p>Hence score =3.</p>	3
9	<p>Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.</p> <p><i>Maximum 8 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that the district Water Office has monitored each of WSS facilities at least quarterly (key areas to include functionality of Water supply and public sanitation facilities, environment, and social safeguards, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 95% and above of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: score 4 • If 80-94% of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: score 2 • If less than 80% of the WSS facilities monitored quarterly: Score 0 	<p>There was evidence that DWO monitored newly constructed and old WSS facilities during FY22/23.</p> <p>It is evident from monitoring reports that (691+693+200+321) out of (1396+599+135) water supply and sanitation facilities were visited and reported on by district staff on 10/10/22, 04/01/23, 31/3/23, 29/6/23.</p> <p>Hence, $1905/2040 = 93\%$.</p> <p>This is in range 80 - 95%, hence, score = 2.</p>	2

Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

b. Evidence that the DWO conducted quarterly DWSCC meetings and among other agenda items, key issues identified from quarterly monitoring of WSS facilities were discussed and remedial actions incorporated in the current FY AWP. Score 2

There was evidence that DWSCC meetings were conducted on 20/9/22; 14/12/22; 30/3/23; 02/6/23 and remedial action for identified issues was also planned for and implemented starting in FY 22/23 with spill-over into FY23/24.

For example in DWSCC Meeting of:

- a) 20/9/22, it was observed that the safe water chain is still elusive leading isolated emergence of water borne diseases.
- b) 14/12/22, it was also resolved to establish subcounty water and sanitation coordination committees to enhance collaboration with NGOs.
- c) 30/3/23. It was noted that there was inadequate funds for O&M of boreholes leading to increased non-functionality of hand-pumps; and pump mechanics charging dubious amounts of money to fix the boreholes. This necessitated revitalization of water user committees for various communities.

Hence score = 2.

Routine Oversight and Monitoring: The LG has monitored WSS facilities and provided follow up support.

Maximum 8 points on this performance measure

c. The District Water Officer publicizes budget allocations for the current FY to LLGs with safe water coverage below the LG average to all sub-counties: Score 2

There is evidence that DWO publicized budget allocations for the current FY to LLGs with safe water coverage below the LG average to all sub-counties as per the following:

- DWO presented to Finance and Works Committee as draft AWP for FY23/24 on 19/5/23.
- DWO also put a notice on the District notice boards dated 28/7/23.
- DWO presented and District Council approved AWP for FY23/24 on 30/5/23.

Hence, score =2.

10	<p>Mobilization for WSS is conducted</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. For previous FY, the DWO allocated a minimum of 40% of the NWR rural water and sanitation budget as per sector guidelines towards mobilization activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If funds were allocated score 3 • If not score 0 	<p>AWP for FY22/23 showed allocation for social mobilization of UGX45,900,000 in a NWR budget of UGX74,102,536 which was 62%.</p> <p>This is above 40%, hence a score = 3.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3
10	<p>Mobilization for WSS is conducted</p> <p><i>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>b. For the previous FY, the District Water Officer in liaison with the Community Development Officer trained WSCs on their roles on O&M of WSS facilities: Score 3.</p>	<p>There was a training report dated 30/3/23 which showed that DWO in liaison with the AWO Mobilisation formed and trained 6no. new WSCs on their roles on O&M of WSS facilities.</p> <p>A field visit was conducted on 07/11/23 to the following three WSS facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kityobba lined pit latrine in Lwampanga subcounty; • Nalukonge WSS in Lwabiyata subcounty; • Kyeyindula deep borehole in Kakooge subcounty. <p>It is true that facilities were completed as planned; were functioning properly and the WSCs were in place.</p> <p>It should be noted that at Kityobba Latrine, the hand-washing water tank, the tap was broken evidently by tampering.</p> <p>At Kyeyindula borehole, the community contribution was not fully paid up but local mobilization to this effect was well underway.</p> <p>At Nalukonge piped WSS, the community was eagerly waiting for phase 2, covering power supply, pipeline installation and tanks, to start enjoy the water supply.</p> <p>Hence, score =3.</p>	3

Investment Management

11	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Existence of an up-to-date LG asset register which sets out water supply and sanitation facilities by location and LLG:</p> <p>Score 4 or else 0</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An asset register (as part of the annual report) dated 24/7/23, was made showing water supply and sanitation facilities and assets by location and/or subcounty. • There was evidence that this database is updated quarterly at reporting time <p>for WSS facilities: 1st quarter dated 14/10/22; 2nd quarter dated 19/01/23; 3rd quarter dated 16/5/23 and 4th quarter dated 24/7/23.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This register shows total number of WSS facilities (latrines, shallow wells, springs, rainwater tanks, deep boreholes, valley tanks and deep wells) in a subcounty and other assets present at the LG Water office. <p>Hence, score =4.</p>
----	--	--	--

11	<p>Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively</p> <p><i>Maximum 14 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Evidence that the LG DWO has conducted a desk appraisal for all WSS projects in the budget to establish whether the prioritized investments were derived from the approved district development plans (LGDPIII) and are eligible for expenditure under sector guidelines (prioritize investments for sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average and rehabilitation of non-functional facilities) and funding source (e.g. sector development grant, DDEG). If desk appraisal was conducted and if all projects are derived from the LGDP and are eligible:</p> <p>Score 4 or else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that the DWO conducted a desk appraisal on 28/02/2023 for the following projects:</p> <p>All projects were in LGDP page 57-75 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construction of 4 stance pit latrine at Ntuuti trading Center UGX 25,000,000; 2. Completion of Nalukonge Piped water system UGX 161,000,000; 3. Construction of 2 brick masonry water tanks at Nakitoma Seed secondary school and Kisalizi UGX 40,000,000; and 4. Drilling of 4 boreholes at Malombe, Kirumiko, Kikooge and Wakasimba UGX 150,000,000.
----	--	---	--

11

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

c. All budgeted investments for current FY have completed applications from beneficiary communities: Score 2

There was evidence that community applications were received for the construction projects.

For example:

- Kityobba lined pit latrine in Lwampanga subcounty. The community application was signed by Nakamya Jane and dated 29/3/23, with a contribution of 50ft x 100ft plot of land from Muwanguzi Saddam dated 27/01/22;
- Kyeyindula deep borehole in Kakooge subcounty. The community application was signed by Kizza Mohammed and dated 11/4/22, with a contribution of 50ft x 100ft plot of land from Lwebuga Daniel dated 27/04/22;
- Nalukonge Piped WSS in Lwabiyata subcounty. The community application was signed by Yonasani Ziwa and dated 11/01/22, with a contribution of 50ft x 50ft plot of land from Segawa Rogers dated 27/04/22.

Hence, score =2.

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

d. Evidence that the LG has conducted field appraisal to check for: (i) technical feasibility; (ii) environmental social acceptability; and (iii) customized designs for WSS projects for current FY. Score 2

There was evidence that the LG conducted field appraisal to check for technical feasibility, environmental, social acceptability; and customized designs for the following project:

- Kityobba lined pit latrine in Lwampanga subcounty. Visit was conducted on 08/3/23.

On the above it was observed that the pit latrine will not pose any pollution threat to ground water resources as the nearest borehole is 1km away. Also due to the semi-stable nature of the soils, it was custom-designed to line the pit with burnt clay bricks to enable emptying and realize stability of hole.

Standard Technical drawings were used for construction as follows:

- For the lined pit latrine - Drawing no. DWSCG/LPL/4ST dated Aug 2020;
- For deep boreholes: a) MWE standard Hole Designs A & B; b) MWE standard Designs for Borehole Headworks.

Hence, score =2.

Planning and Budgeting for Investments is conducted effectively

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

e. Evidence that all water infrastructure projects for the current FY were screened for environmental and social risks/ impacts and ESIA/ESMPs prepared before being approved for construction - costed ESMPs incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contract documents. Score 2

There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement and costed ESMPs were prepared and mitigation put in place for water and environment projects as exemplified by the costed ESMPs for the following projects.

1. Construction of rainwater harvesting tank at Kabakazi P/S, Kakooge sub-county. Estimated cost of mitigation measures was UGX 3,000,000/=, endorsed by the SEO;

2. Drilling of a borehole at Njeru central, Nakitoma sub-county, dated 2/8/2022. Estimated cost of mitigation measures was UGX 3,000,000/=, endorsed by the SEO, and

3. Drilling of a borehole at Buseebwe village, Kakooge sub-county, dated 2/8/2022. Estimated cost of mitigation measures was UGX 3,000,000/=, endorsed by the SEO.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

a. Evidence that the water infrastructure investments were incorporated in the LG approved: Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that the WSS infrastructure projects were incorporated in the approved procurement plan for the previous FY on page 4 as follows:

There was evidence that the water infrastructure investments were incorporated in the LG approved procurement plan dated 18/08/22 which reflected the following projects:

Sampled projects were:

- Construction of 4no. deep boreholes - Item 2.;
- Phase I construction of piped water at Lwabyata - item 3
- Construction of 02no. brick masonry water tanks - Item 4;
- Construction of a 4-stance lined pit-latrine at Kityobba Landing Site, Lwampanga subcounty.

All these projects were approved by the LG Contracts Committee on 11/10/22, 29/11/22 and 25/01/23.

Hence, score =2.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

b. Evidence that the water supply and public sanitation infrastructure for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee before commencement of construction Score 2:

There was evidence provided that water supply and public sanitation infrastructure projects for the FY 20/21 were approved by the Contracts Committee on 13/10/20 before commencement of construction. Sampled projects were:

1. Construction of 04no. deep boreholes: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 29/11/22; Contract awarded to ICON PROJECTS Ltd.; Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0003; Contract sum: UGX105,350,400

Construction started on 28/01/23 and ended on 11/2/23;

2. Siting and drilling Supervision of 04no. Deep boreholes: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 11/10/22; Contract awarded to Scan Water Contractors and Consultants Ltd ; Contract No. NAKS/903/SRVCS/22-23/0008; Contract sum: UGX16,708,800; Work started on 03/11/22 and ended on 20/02/23.

3. Construction of 2no. brick masonry tanks at Kabakazi and Nakatoogo Primary Schools: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 25/01/23; Contractor: Perfresserk Inv. Ltd. Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0009; Contract Sum: UGX39,197,476. Construction started on 03/02/23 and ended on 27/02/23.

Hence, score =2

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

c. Evidence that the District Water Officer properly established the Project Implementation team as specified in the Water sector guidelines Score 2:

There was evidence that a Project Implementation Team for projects to be implemented in the FY22/23 was established by Office of the CAO in a letter dated 10/01/23 appointing a Rural Water and Sanitation Projects Implementation Team. This Team comprised of the District Engineer (Project Manager), District Water Engineer (Contract Manager); District Community Development Officer and Senior Environment Officer as other members. This team was tasked to oversee Projects of Siting and Drilling boreholes; Construction of a 4-stance lined Pit-latrine; and Construction of Nalukonge Piped WSS . Hence, score =2.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

d. Evidence that water and public sanitation infrastructure sampled were constructed as per the standard technical designs provided by the DWO: Score 2

There was evidence that water and public sanitation infrastructure sampled were constructed as per the standard technical designs provided by the DWO.

Drawings used for construction were:

- Drawing no. DWSCG/LPL/4ST for a 4-stance lined pit latrine;
- For deep boreholes: a) MWE standard Hole Designs A & B; b) MWE standard Designs for Borehole Headworks.
- For Nalukonge WSS in Lwabiyata GOU/NLG/NAL/PH/001 for the Pump House.

The following constructed WSS facilities were sampled on 06/11/23:

- Kityobba lined pit latrine in Lwampanga subcounty;
- Nalukonge WSS in Lwabiyata subcounty;
- Kyeyindula deep borehole in Kakooge subcounty.

It was found that the WSS facilities were well constructed in accordance with standard MWE guidelines.

Hence, score=2.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

e. Evidence that the relevant technical officers carry out monthly technical supervision of WSS infrastructure projects: Score 2

There was evidence that the relevant technical officers [DWO, ADWO (Sanitation) and District Internal Auditor] participated in supervising WSS projects as per Supervision report dated 30/3/23.

Consequently, the lead projects for implementation in FY23/24 were earmarked as follows:

- Completion of Nalukonge piped WSS in Lwabayata subcounty;
- Construction of 2no. brick-masonry rainwater tanks at Nakitoma SS in Nakitoma; and Kisaalizi SS un Lwampanga subcounty;
- Construction of a 4-stance lined pit latrine at Ntuuti Traading Centre;
- Construction of 5no. deep boreholes in Nakitoma, Nabiswera and Wabinyonyi subcounties.

Hence, score =2.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

f. For the sampled contracts, there is evidence that the DWO has verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes in the contracts

o If 100 % contracts paid on time: Score 2

o If not score 0

There was evidence that the DWO verified works and initiated payments of contractors within specified timeframes in the contracts for the sampled projects as follows:

1. Construction of 04no. deep boreholes: Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0003; Contract sum: UGX105,350,400/-

Payment was requested on 20/02/23;

DWO certified on 03/3/23

CAO approved and paid UGX99,690,530/- on 07/3/23.

2. Construction of 2no. brick masonry tanks at Kabakazi and Nakatoogo Primary Schools: Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0009;

Contract Sum: UGX39,197,476.

Payment was requested on 27/02/23;

DWO certified on 03/3/23

CAO approved and paid UGX38,791,308/- on 06/3/23.

3. Construction of Nalukonge piped WSS: Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0008;

Payment was requested on 11/5/23;

DWO certified on 12/5/23

CAO approved and paid UGX143,924,937/- on 01/6/23

Hence, score =2.

Procurement and Contract Management/execution: The LG has effectively managed the WSS procurements

Maximum 14 points on this performance measure

g. Evidence that a complete procurement file for water infrastructure investments is in place for each contract with all records as required by the PPDA Law:

Score 2, If not score 0

1. Construction of 04no. deep boreholes: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 29/11/22 under Minute 40/CC/11/22(iv); Contract awarded to ICON PROJECTS Ltd.; Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0003; Contract sum: UGX105,350,400/-.
Construction started on 28/01/23 and ended on 11/2/23; Payment was requested on

20/02/23;

DWO certified on 03/3/23 and approved payment of UGX99,690,530/-; CAO approved and paid UGX99,690,530/- on 07/3/23.

2. Siting and drilling
Supervision of 04no. Deep boreholes: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 11/10/22 under Minute 04/CC/10/22; Contract awarded to Scan Water Contractors and Consultants Ltd Contract No. NAKS/903/SRVCS/22-23/0008;

Contract sum: UGX16,708,800;
Work started on 03/11/22 and ended on 20/02/23;

Payment was requested on 20/02/23;

DWO certified on 03/3/23

CAO approved and paid UGX16,708,800/- on 07/3/23.

3. Construction of 2no. brick masonry tanks at Kabakazi and Nakatoogo Primary Schools: Invitation to Bid dated 12/10/22; Evaluation approved on 25/01/23 under Minute 67/CC/1/23; Contractor: Perfresserk Inv. Ltd.

Contract No. NAKS/903/WRKS/22-23/0009;

Contract Sum: UGX39,197,476.

Construction started on 03/02/23 and ended on 27/02/23;

Payment was requested on 27/02/23;

DWO certified on 03/3/23

CAO approved and paid UGX38,791,308/- on 06/3/23.

Hence, score = 2.

Environment and Social Requirements

13	<p>Grievance Redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing WSS related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p><i>Maximum 3 points this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Evidence that the DWO in liaison with the District Grievances Redress Committee recorded, investigated, responded to and reported on water and environment grievances as per the LG grievance redress framework:</p> <p>Score 3, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG had recorded, investigated, responded to and reported on all water and environment related grievances as the centralized complaints log was not available.</p>	0
14	<p>Safeguards for service delivery</p> <p><i>Maximum 3 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>Evidence that the DWO and the Environment Officer have disseminated guidelines on water source & catchment protection and natural resource management to CDOs:</p> <p>Score 3, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG disseminated water source protection and natural resource management guidelines to CDOs. as exemplified by the minutes of the district water and sanitation meeting for dissemination of guidelines on water source and catchment protection and natural resources management, dated 20/4/2023, endorsed by the Deputy CAO.</p>	3
15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>a. Evidence that water source protection plans & natural resource management plans for WSS facilities constructed in the previous FY were prepared and implemented: Score 3, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG prepared and implemented water source protection plans and natural resource management plans for WSS infrastructure projects as no water protection plans or natural resource management plans for the FY 2022-2023 were available.</p>	0

Safeguards in the
Delivery of Investments

*Maximum 10 points on
this performance
measure*

b. Evidence that all WSS projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of consent (e.g. a land title, agreement; Formal Consent, MoUs, etc.), without any encumbrances:

Score 3, If not score 0

There was evidence that WSS projects are implemented on land where there is proof of land ownership as exemplified by the following agreements for water and environment projects.

1. Mr Kamuregyeya Ben donated land at Kikwansenyi village, Njeru parish, Nakitoma sub-county for construction of a community water facility, endorsed by the LC 1 chairman, Moses Natutali on 5/5/2022;

2. Mr Lwebuga Daniel donated land at Kyeyindura village, Kyeyindura parish, Kakooge sub-county for construction of a water source/sanitation facility for the community. The agreement was endorsed by the LC 1 chairman, Kizza Mahammad on 29/4/2022;

3. Mr Lwebuga Bosco donated land at Kyankanga village, Namika parish, Lwabyata sub-county for construction of a water source/sanitation facility for the community. The agreement was endorsed by the LC 1 chairman, Kyakoba Peter on 31/3/2022; and

4. Mr David Katumba donated land at Nakatoogo village, Nalukonge parish, Lwabyata sub-county for construction of a water source/sanitation facility for the community, The agreement was endorsed by the LC 1 chairman, Yonasani Zziwa on 25/1/2022.

15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>c. Evidence that E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer and CDO prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects:</p> <p>Score 2, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the E&S are implemented and signed by environmental office and CDO before Water and environment projects are done prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates advice as exemplified by the following project.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Completion of a 4-stance lined pit latrine at Kityoba landing sight in Lwampanga sub-county, 19/1/2023; 2. Construction of 2 brick masonry tanks 30,000 litres capacity at Nakatoogo and the Kabaka's P/S in Lwabiyata and Kakooge sub-counties respectively, 3/3/2023; and 3. Drilling, pump tasting and the installation of 4 hand pump boreholes in Kakooge, Lwabiyata and Nakituma sub-counties, dated 3/3/2023. 	2
15	<p>Safeguards in the Delivery of Investments</p> <p><i>Maximum 10 points on this performance measure</i></p>	<p>d. Evidence that the CDO and environment Officers undertakes monitoring to ascertain compliance with ESMPs; and provide monthly reports:</p> <p>Score 2, If not score 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the DCDO and SEO undertook monitoring of the Water and Environment projects to ascertain compliance with ESMPs and check that mitigation measures were implemented as exemplified by the following E & S impact reports, endorsed by both the SEO and DCDO.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Borehole rehabilitation in Mulungi-Omu village, Kakooge sub-county, dated 12/2/2023; 2. Drilling of a borehole at Njeru central, Nakitoma sub-county, dated 2/8/2022; and 3. Borehole rehabilitation at Lwabiyata PS, Lwabiyata sub-county, dated 4/4/2023. 	2

**Micro-scale
Irrigation
Performance
Measures**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Local Government Service Delivery Results				
1	<p>Outcome: The LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p> <p>Maximum 20 points for this performance area</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has up to-date data on irrigated land for the last two FYs disaggregated between micro-scale irrigation grant beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries – score 2 or else</p>	<p>There was evidence that LG has up to - date data on irrigated land for the last two FYs. There was available data on irrigated land disaggregated between micro-scale irrigation grant beneficiaries and non- beneficiaries from the district Senior Irrigation Engineer for 2021/22 FY (0 acres for beneficiaries and 75.5 acres for non-beneficiaries) and for 2022/23 FY (5.8 acres for beneficiaries and 75.5 acres for non-beneficiaries totaling 81.3acres). The data was obtained from the following documents:</p> <p>i. 'Status of Agricultural Irrigation in Nakasongola District, FY 2021/2022'</p> <p>ii. 'Status of Agricultural Irrigation in Nakasongola District, FY 2022/2023'</p>	2
1	<p>Outcome: The LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p> <p>Maximum 20 points for this performance area</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous FY but one:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By more than 5% score 2 • Between 1% and 4% score 1 • If no increase score 0 	<p>There was evidence that the LG has increased acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous FY but one.</p> <p>The percentage increase in acreage of newly irrigated land in the previous FY as compared to previous but one FY as can be seen from (1a) above. The increment = $((81.3-75.5)/(75.5))*100$ 7.68%</p>	2

2

N23_Service Delivery Performance: Average score in the micro-scale irrigation for the LLG performance assessment. Maximum score 4

a) Evidence that the average score in the micro-scale irrigation for LLG performance assessment is:

- Above 70%, score 4
- 60% - 70%, score 2
- Below 60%, score 0

The average score for the micro scale irrigation was 88% as per the details that follows:

In FY 2022/2023 average score was $1320/15 = 88\%$

1. Wabinyinyi 100%;
2. Nakitoma 80%;
3. Nakasongola TC 80%%;
4. Nabiswera SC 80%;
5. Migeera T/C 80%;
6. Miyirikiti 0%;
7. Lwampanga 100%;
8. Lwampanga S/C 100%;
9. Lwabiyata 0% 100%;
10. Kazwama T/C 100%;
11. Katuugo T/C 100%;
12. Kalungi S/C 100%;
13. Kalongo S/C 100%;
14. Kakooge S/C 100%; and
15. Kakooge T/C 100%.

4

3

Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines

Maximum score 6

a) Evidence that the development component of micro-scale irrigation grant has been used on eligible activities (procurement and installation of irrigation equipment, including accompanying supplier manuals and training): Score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the development component of micro-scale irrigation grant has been used on eligible activities. The budget performance report for the micro-scale irrigation grant was available from the CAO's office in 'Budget Performance Report for the Micro-Scale Irrigation Program for the FY 2022/2023' Nakasongola district dated 12/07/2023. The report was addressed to the PS, MAAIF and stamped on 25/09/2023. Irrigation grant amount was Ugx 157,240,075 and all was used on eligible activities:

- i) Activities on awareness raising for local leaders Ugx 23,586,011;
- ii) Awareness raising for farmers Ugx 62,896,030;
- iii) Farm visits Ugx 23,586,011 and
- iv) Demonstrations Ugx 47,172,023

2

3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	b) Evidence that the approved farmer signed an Acceptance Form confirming that equipment is working well, before the LG made payments to the suppliers: Score 1 or else score 0	The production department did not receive any grant for micro irrigation. They have just received the supplementary being budgeted and planned. But not approved yet.	1
	Maximum score 6			
3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	Evidence that the variations in the contract price are within +/-20% of the Agriculture Engineers estimates: Score 1 or else score 0	There was evidence that the variations in the contract price are within +/-20% of the Agriculture Engineers estimates. There was data from the senior irrigation engineer's office on engineer's estimates and supplier contracts. The information was available from 'Re-Submission of Micro-Scale Irrigation Demonstration Site Drawings and Cost Estimates for Nakasongola' report dated 08/05/2023 form CAO to PS MAAIF. The second document was 'Contract for Installation of Micro-Scale Irrigation Demonstration Sites at Kabasombwa in Lwampanga S/C and Wakasibwa in Kakooge s/C' between Nakasongola LG and PK universal Enterpices Ltd. The price variation percentage: i. Agricultural engineer's estimate was 47,337,151/= and the contract price was 46,705,497/=. The variation in price = $(10.7-10.068)/10.7 * 100 = 1.33\%$	1
	Maximum score 6			
3	Investment Performance: The LG has managed the supply and installation of micro-scale irrigations equipment as per guidelines	d) Evidence that micro-scale irrigation equipment where contracts were signed during the previous FY were installed/completed within the previous FY • If 100% score 2 • Between 80 – 99% score 1 • Below 80% score 0	There was evidence that micro-scale irrigation equipment where contracts were signed during the previous FY were installed /completed within the previous FY. From senior agricultural engineer's office contract for PK Universal Enterprises Ltd was signed on 12/04/2023 for two irrigation Demonstration sites (Kabasombwa in Lwampanga S/C and Wakasimba in Kakooge) and works were completed according the completion certificate 'Nakasongola LG payment certificate No. 1' dated 19/06/2023. Both sites were completed (100%).	2
	Maximum score 6			

4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards	a) Evidence that the LG has recruited LLG extension workers as per staffing structure	The LG had recruited LLG extension workers as per staffing structure at 53%	0
	Maximum score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 • If 75 – 99% score 1 • If below 75% score 0 	LLG extension staff establishment 68, filled 36, vacant 19. Which is 53%	
4	Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards	b) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment meets standards as defined by MAAIF	There was evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment meets standards as defined by MAAIF. The senior agricultural engineer had the inventory of all the installed sites dated 28/06/2023.	2
	Maximum score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 100% score 2 or else score 0 	<p>Sampling of 2 micro-scale irrigation facilities were carried on 07/10/2023. The 2 sampled installations meet standards as per the inventory and MAAIF Guidelines. The guideline requires the following components to be available when ever needed in the design:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Pumping system. This can be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Solar pump system(panels, frame, controller, electric cables), b. Electric pump c. petrol/diesel pump ii. Suction and delivery pipe system iii. Water tank iv. Water application system. This can be <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle b. Sprinklers c. Dripper/drip lines <p>The following equipment may also be necessary for O&M:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Soil moisture monitor ii. Soil nutrient monitor iii. User/maintenance manual iv. Spare parts and maintenance equipment and tools <p>The two sampled sites are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Wakasimba, Kakooge SC. The system installed (June 2023, by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd) is for 3.6 acres for growing coffee and banaa. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There 	

was no site book seen. The water source is a production well. The equipment installed include:

- o Existing Solar pump (submersible),
- o Existing Solar panels
- o Water application: Drip, sprinkler and flexible horse pipes with nozzle,
- o Existing 10,000l tanks
- o 8 hydrants and
- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Zengebe, Lwapanga TC. The system was installed by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd. The area under irrigation is 2.3 acres for growing banana and vegetables/fruits. The farm manager had site book but with information on supervision and installation activities. The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is Lake Kyoga. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible)
- o solar panels (3)
- o water application system: sprinklers ,drip lines and hose pipe with sprayer
- o 4 hydrants and
- o Pipe lines system
- o 5,000l Tank.

Both two sites sampled (100%) meet standards as defined by MAAIF.

Achievement of standards: The LG has met staffing and micro-scale irrigation standards

Maximum score 6

b) Evidence that the installed micro-scale irrigation systems during last FY are functional

- If 100% are functional score 2 or else score 0

There was evidence that the installed micro-scale irrigation systems during last FY are functional.

The list of micro-scale irrigation installed was available in the inventory dated 21/06/2023.

The two sampled sites are:

i. Wakasimba, Kakooge SC. The system installed (June 2023, by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd) is for 3.6 acres for growing coffee and banana. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a production well. The equipment installed include:

- o Existing Solar pump (submersible),
- o Existing Solar panels
- o Water application: Drip, sprinkler and flexible horse pipes with nozzle,
- o Existing 10,000l tanks
- o 8 hydrants and
- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Zengebe, Lwapanga TC. The system was installed by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd. The area under irrigation is 2.3 acres for growing banana and vegetables/fruits. The farm manager had site book but with information on supervision and installation activities. The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is Lake Kyoga. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible)
- o solar panels (3)
- o water application system: sprinklers ,drip lines and hose pipe with sprayer
- o 4 hydrants and
- o Pipe lines system
- o 5,000 l Tank.

Both two sites sampled (100%) meet standards as defined by MAAIF.

From inspection carried out on 07/11/2023 both sites (100%) were found to be functional

5

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has reported accurate information

Maximum score 4

a) Evidence that information on position of extension workers filled is accurate: Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that the positions of extension workers filled was correct

2

Lwampanga SC:

Sentamu Derrick veterinary officer

Kigenyi Abel fisheries officer

Kajura silvester assistant fisheries officer

Iragamba Herbert Agricultural officer

As per staff list dated 8.8.2022

Kakooge TC

Kabaseke Stephen Assistant Veterinary officer

Semujuzi Anthony Assistant Agricultural officer

As per staff list dated 8.8.2023

Nakitooma SC:

Serubidde Cosima, Assistant veterinary officer

Semwanga Richard, Agricultural officer

Mukuubya Isaac, Veterinary officer.

Staff list not dated

Accuracy of reported information: The LG has reported accurate information

Maximum score 4

b) Evidence that information on micro-scale irrigation system installed and functioning is accurate: Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that information on Micro scale irrigation system installed and functioning is accurate.

The list of micro-scale irrigation installed was seen in the inventory dated 28/06/2023.

The two sampled sites are:

The two sampled sites are:

i. Wakasimba, Kakooge SC. The system installed (June 2023, by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd) is for 3.6 acres for growing coffee and banaa. The farmer got technical training from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. There was no site book seen. The water source is a production well. The equipment installed include:

- o Existing Solar pump (submersible),

- o Existing Solar panels

- o Water application: Drip, sprinkler and flexible horse pipes with nozzle,

- o Existing 10,000l tanks

- o 8 hydrants and

- o Pipe line system. All the equipment meets the standards of MAAIF.

ii. Zengebe, Lwapanga TC. The system was installed by PK Universal Enterprise Ltd. The area under irrigation is 2.3 acres for growing banana and vegetables/fruits. The farm manager had site book but with information on supervision and installation activities. The farmer got technical training on O&M from the contract and senior irrigation engineer. The water source is Lake Kyoga. The equipment installed include;

- o solar pump (submersible)

- o solar panels (3)

- o water application system: sprinklers ,drip lines and hose pipe with sprayer

- o 4 hydrants and

- o Pipe lines system

- o 5,000l Tank.

The two sampled sites show information is accurate as per inventory and functionality on inspection on 07/11/2023 and testing in the field.

6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>a) Evidence that information is collected quarterly on newly irrigated land, functionality of irrigation equipment installed; provision of complementary services and farmer Expression of Interest: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that information is collected quarterly on newly irrigated land. There were Micro-scale irrigation sub-grant quarterly monitoring and supervision reports from CAO to Commissioner Agricultural Infrastructure Mechanization and Water for Production, MAAIF dated 12/07/2023 (quarter 4), 04//04/2023(quarter 3), 10/01/2023(quarter 2) and 08/12/2022 (quarter1). These reports had information on training, farm visits, awareness raising events, beneficiary farmer sites, expression of interests (EOIs), installation of equipment and completion of works</p>	2
6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG has entered up to-date LLG information into MIS: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has entered up to-date LLG information into MIS. The MIS online data showed 371 attendees of awareness raising events, 251 successful EOI (Nabiswers SC-62, Kalungi SC-28, Lwapanga TC- 24, Rwabyata -21, Kakooge SC -22, Wabinyonyi SC -22, Kalongi SC -18, KakoogeTC -16, Myirikiti TC- 12, Lwanpanga SC -14, Nakasongola TC 11, Nakitoma SC-8, Migyera -4), 27 preparation of farm visits, 15 farm visits, percentage of awareness raising events by gender(female 28.7%, male 62.3%). In the Irritrak online, the latest entry was on 19/10/2023 for Mubiru Charles (Nakasongola/2023-10-11/Male/68890) EOI</p>	1
6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>c.Evidence that the LG has prepared a quarterly report using information compiled from LLGs in the MIS: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG has prepared a quarterly report using information compiled from LLGs in the MIS. From the District Senior Agricultural Engineer's officer there was no MIS generated quarterly report available</p>	0
6	<p>Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has: i. Developed an approved Performance Improvement Plan for the lowest performing LLGs score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence the LG has developed an approved Performance Implementation Plan. There was no performance improvement plan from the DPO's office. Nakasongola district was enrolled into micro scale irrigation program last FY 2022/2023</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score 6</p>			

6	Reporting and Performance Improvement: The LG has collected and entered information into MIS, and developed and implemented performance improvement plans	ii. Implemented Performance Improvement Plan for lowest performing LLGs: Score 1 or else 0	There was no evidence the LG has implemented Performance Improvement Plan for lowest performing LLGs. There was no report from the DPO's office. Nakasongola district was enrolled into micro scale irrigation program last FY 2022/2023	0
	Maximum score 6			

Human Resource Management and Development

7	Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines	a) Evidence that the LG has: i. Budgeted for extension workers as per guidelines/in accordance with the staffing norms score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that the LG budgeted for extension workers. From the DPO's office there was budget for extension workers salary for the FY 2023/2024 totaling 1,779,132,312/= . The Micro scale irrigation budget was 492,219,865/=	1
	Maximum score 6			

7	Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines	ii Deployed extension workers as per guidelines score 1 or else 0	There was no evidence that the LG did deployed extension workers as per guidelines. From the DPO's office there was data on staff list for FY 2023/2024. The established positions for production department were 88. The filled positions were 50. There were 25 vacant positions in the production department. These included: DPO, 2 Principal Agriculture Officers, Laboratory Attendant, Entomology Officer, 1 Agricultural Officer, 1 Veterinary Officer, 11 Assistant Agricultural Officers, 4 Assistant Veterinary Officers, 2 Assistant Entomology officers	0
	Maximum score 6			

7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Evidence that extension workers are working in LLGs where they are deployed: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The extension workers are working in LLGs where they were deployed as per sampled LLGs reports shown below:</p> <p>Lwampanga SC</p> <p>Report dated 25.10.2022, on farm field visit report.</p> <p>Training report dated 11.04.2023, training in commercial Agriculture and business plan development</p> <p>Wakyato SC:</p> <p>Monthly report dated 25.01.2023 prepared by Nakamoga viola</p> <p>Training report dated 09.01.2023 on market Linkages, report for Wakyato SC cassava farmers</p> <p>Kakooge TC</p> <p>Monitoring report dated 24.01.2023, monitoring and oversight of extension activities in Kakooge TC</p> <p>Report dated 14.01.23 Training livestock farmers in pasture management, preservation, conservation and demonstration of silage making</p>	2
7	<p>Budgeting for, actual recruitment and deployment of staff: The Local Government has budgeted, actually recruited and deployed staff as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>c) Evidence that extension workers' deployment has been publicized and disseminated to LLGs by among others displaying staff list on the LLG notice board. Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that extension workers deployment has been publicized and disseminated to LLGs by among others displaying staff list on the LLG notice board on 08.8.2023 of Lwampanga SC, Kakooge TC and Nakitoma SC</p> <p>Ngoma TC:</p> <p>VO Komulembe Harriet</p> <p>VO Dr. Kisitu George William</p> <p>AO Mr. Matovu</p> <p>Ngoma SC:</p> <p>VO Dr. Ssagala Billy Bulasio</p> <p>AO Mr. Kabonge Henry</p> <p>Wakyato SC:</p> <p>AO Bukenya Peter</p> <p>AVO Mugyenyi John</p>	2

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the District Production Coordinator has:</p> <p>i. Conducted annual performance appraisal of all Extension Workers against the agreed performance plans and has submitted a copy to HRO during the previous FY: Score 1 else 0</p>	<p>The extension staff had not been appraised by 30.06.2023</p> <p>Kasozi Paul, assistant fisheries officer Appraised on 20.07.2023</p> <p>By Kitonsa edrward</p> <p>Twesigye JohnBosco Assistant Fisheries officer appraised by Kyagaba Rogers on 18.07.2023</p> <p>Sekate John Agricultural officer appraised by Kakande Disan on 11.08.2023</p> <p>Kaweesi Henry senior Agricultural officer appraised by Nakamya Sarah on 20.07.2023</p> <p>Nsamba David, Principal fisheries officer appraised by Nakamya Sarah on 25.07.2023</p> <p>Eswagu Samuel, senior vet officer appraised by Nakamya Sarah on 25.07.2023</p> <p>Zalwango Monica, assistant Fisheries officer appraised by Kyagaba Rogers on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Kabaseke Stephen, Assistant Veterinary officer appraised by Tashobya Stephen on 30.06.2023</p> <p>Seggayi Vicent, Agricultural officer appraised by Bukenya.M. David on 24.07.2023</p> <p>Senabulya Moses Entomology attendant appraised by Kyagaba Rogers on 24.07.2023</p>	0
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the District Production Coordinator has;</p> <p>Taken corrective actions: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There were no corrective actions recommended for action</p>	1

8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>b) Evidence that:</p> <p>i. Training activities were conducted in accordance to the training plans at District level: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that training activities were conducted in accordance to the training plans at district level. From the DPO's office there was 'Sub Programs, Interventions and Planned Outputs FY 2022/2023'. The work plan included training activities such as: improved skills and competencies of agricultural labor force at technical and managerial levels (07/05/2023), strengthening agricultural extension system (15/06/2023), strengthening farmer capacity in climate smart agriculture (12/07/2023).</p>	1
8	<p>Performance management: The LG has appraised, taken corrective action and trained Extension Workers</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>ii Evidence that training activities were documented in the training database: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that training activities were documented in the training database. From the DPO's office there was 'A Report for HOS Exposure and study Tour to Jinja Agricultural show, Source of the Nile Ground, Jinja City on the August 7th 2023'. There was another report on 'Capacity Building Report for Lower Local Government PDM Focal Persons'. From Senior Agricultural Engineer's office there was also 'Staff Training on the farm Visits Under Micro-Scale irrigation Program' dated 15/06/2023</p>	1

Management, Monitoring and Supervision of Services.

9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score 10</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has appropriately allocated the micro scale irrigation grant between (i) capital development (micro scale irrigation equipment); and (ii) complementary services (in FY 2020/21 100% to complementary services; starting from FY 2021/22 – 75% capital development; and 25% complementary services): Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG appropriately allocated the micro scale irrigation grant between (i) capital development (micro scale irrigation equipment); and (ii) complementary services.</p> <p>The LG did not receive the money for implementation in the FY 2022/2023.</p>	0
---	---	---	--	---

9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>b) Evidence that budget allocations have been made towards complementary services in line with the sector guidelines i.e. (i) maximum 25% for enhancing LG capacity to support irrigated agriculture (of which maximum 15% awareness raising of local leaders and maximum 10% procurement, Monitoring and Supervision); and (ii) minimum 75% for enhancing farmer capacity for uptake of micro scale irrigation (Awareness raising of farmers, Farm visit, Demonstrations, Farmer Field Schools): Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There was no allocations made for complementary services .</p>	0
<p>Maximum score 10</p>				
9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the co-funding is reflected in the LG Budget and allocated as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>No information available</p>	0
<p>Maximum score 10</p>				
9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has used the farmer co-funding following the same rules applicable to the micro scale irrigation grant: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>No information available</p>	0
<p>Maximum score 10</p>				

9	<p>Planning, budgeting and transfer of funds for service delivery: The Local Government has budgeted, used and disseminated funds for service delivery as per guidelines.</p>	<p>e) Evidence that the LG has disseminated information on use of the farmer co-funding: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has disseminated information on use of the farmer co-funding. From the Senior Agriculture Engineer there was 'Presentation to DTTC on the Utilization of the Co-Funding from the Micro-Scale Irrigation Program' dated 27th March r 2023. There was another report 'Awareness Raising on Micro-Scale Irrigation Program at the Sub county Level' dated 29/09/2022. There was another report 'Awareness Raising on the Micro Scale Irrigation Program at Parish level' dated 20/05/2023. The participants in the reports included DTTC members, LG officials, LLG officials, farmers, LLG leaders. The reports also contained picture of the meetings</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 10</p>			
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the DPO has monitored on a monthly basis installed micro-scale irrigation equipment (key areas to include functionality of equipment, environment and social safeguards including adequacy of water source, efficiency of micro irrigation equipment in terms of water conservation, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If more than 90% of the micro-irrigation equipment monitored: Score 2 • 70-89% monitored score 1 Less than 70% score 0 	<p>There was no evidence that the DPO has monitored on monthly basis installed micro-scale irrigation equipment. There were no monthly monitoring reports</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>			
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p>	<p>b. Evidence that the LG has overseen technical training & support to the Approved Farmer to achieve servicing and maintenance during the warranty period: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has overseen technical training and support to the approved farmers to achieve servicing and maintenance. From Senior Agricultural Engineer there was 'Farmer Field Days on Micro Scale Irrigation Program in Quarter 4, FY 2022/2023 ' dated 12/07/202. The farmers got hands on training from senior agricultural engineer on sprinklers, drag hose, drip and water reservoirs</p>	2
	<p>Maximum score 8</p>			

10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the LG has provided hands-on support to the LLG extension workers during the implementation of complementary services within the previous FY as per guidelines score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has provided hands on support to the LLG extension workers within the previous FY. From Senior Agricultural Engineer, there was</p> <p>i. 'Staff training on Farm Visit under the Micro Scale Irrigation Program' dated 15/06/2023. The trainees included extension staff from LLG. They were trained on how to use the IriiTrack application, how to conduct farm visits</p>	2
10	<p>Routine oversight and monitoring: The LG monitored, provided hands-on support and ran farmer field schools as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>d) Evidence that the LG has established and run farmer field schools as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the LG has established and run farmer field schools as per guidelines.</p> <p>Nakasongola district was enrolled into micro scale irrigation program last FY 2022/2023. The farmer field schools are expected to be established this financial year</p>	0
11	<p>Mobilization of farmers: The LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers to participate in irrigation and irrigated agriculture.</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers as per guidelines: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers:</p> <p>i. There was report 'Awareness Raising on Micro-Scale Irrigation Program at the Sub county Level' dated 29/09/2022.</p> <p>There was another report on 'Awareness Raising on the Micro Scale Irrigation Program at Parish level' dated 20/05/2023. The MIS online data showed 371 attendees of awareness raising events. The percentage of awareness raising events by gender was female 28.7%, male 62.3%</p>	2

11	<p>Mobilization of farmers: The LG has conducted activities to mobilize farmers to participate in irrigation and irrigated agriculture.</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the District has trained staff and political leaders at District and LLG levels: Score 2 or else 0</p> <p>There was evidence that the District has trained staff and political leaders at District and LLG levels:</p> <p>i. From Senior Agricultural Engineer there was report on 'Awareness Raising on the Micro-scale Irrigation Program at the District level' dated 29/12/2022. The participants included: LG officers, District executive Committee, RDC, DTPC, DISO, Religious leaders and development partners.</p> <p>ii. From Senior Agricultural Engineer there was report on 'Awareness Raising on the Micro-scale Irrigation Program at the Sub -County level' dated 29/12/2022. The awareness training was attended by farmers and local leaders, sub county technical staff. 392 people attended the training</p>	2
----	--	--	---

Investment Management

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the LG has an updated register of micro-scale irrigation equipment supplied to farmers in the previous FY as per the format: Score 2 or else 0</p> <p>There was evidence that the LG has an updated register of micro-scale irrigation equipment supplied to farmers in the previous FY .There was an inventory of micro-scale irrigation equipment dated 28/06/2022. The inventory has two demonstration sites(Wakasimba and Zengebe)</p>	2
----	---	---	---

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG keeps an up-to-date database of applications at the time of the assessment: Score 2 or else 0</p> <p>There was evidence that the LG keeps an up-to-date database of applications at the time of the assessment. There were copies of applications filed. There was also data base in the MIS for applications (324). The last date of update was 19/10/2023. Sampled applications include:</p> <p>Current FY;</p> <p>i. Mubiru Charles (Nakasongola/2023-10-11/Male/68890)</p> <p>ii. Bugeza James (Nakasongola/2023-08-09/Male/65806)</p> <p>Last FY;</p> <p>iii. Semanda Moses (Nakasongola/2023-04-22/Male/51280)</p>	2
----	---	---	---

12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>c) Evidence that the District has carried out farm visits to farmers that submitted complete Expressions of Interest (EOI): Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the District has carried out farm visits to farmers that submitted complete Expressions of Interest (EOI). There were 18 farm visits and 7 farm visit reports dated; 27/04/2023, 14/05/2023, 13/05/2023, 16/06/2023, 01/05/2023, 31/08/2023 and 31/08/2023.</p> <p>There were 18 agreements to precede forms available. A few examples include:</p> <p>i. Muwanguzi Steven sebinujje (Nakasongola/2023-01-12/Male/30913)</p> <p>ii. Nampungu Ephrance (Nakasongola/2022-12-22/Female/30019)</p> <p>iii. Wamala John (Nakasongola/2022-12-22/Male/29973)</p>	2
12	<p>Planning and budgeting for investments: The LG has selected farmers and budgeted for micro-scale irrigation as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 8</p>	<p>d) For DDEG financed projects:</p> <p>Evidence that the LG District Agricultural Engineer (as Secretariat) publicized the eligible farmers that they have been approved by posting on the District and LLG noticeboards: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG was in its initial stages so it had not identified eligible farmers</p>	2
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation systems were incorporated in the LG approved procurement plan for the current FY: Score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>The Micro-scale irrigation systems were not incorporated in the approved procurement plan for the current FY</p>	0
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>b) Evidence that the LG requested for quotation from irrigation equipment suppliers pre-qualified by the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF): Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The LG requested for quotations from pre-qualified irrigation equipment suppliers through a procurement notice under selective bidding on 17/02/23.</p> <p>The prequalified suppliers were; Aquines Enterprise Ltd, Musekura General Enterprise Ltd, Joztee Holdings Ltd, PK Universal Enterprises Ltd</p>	2

13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines	c) Evidence that the LG concluded the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier based on the set criteria: Score 2 or else 0	There was evidence that the Contracts Committee concluded the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier as per the meeting held on 20/03/2023 under Min No: MIN 82/CC/3/23 (iv). Only PK Universal Enterprises Limited responded to the invitation to bid among the prequalified bidders and qualified for the award of the contract to supply irrigation equipment.	2
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines	d) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation systems for the previous FY was approved by the Contracts Committee: Score 1 or else 0	There was evidence that that the Contracts Committee approved the selection of the irrigation equipment supplier as per the meeting held on 20/03/2023 under Min No: MIN 82/CC/3/23 (iv)	1
13	Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines	e. Evidence that the LG signed the contract with the lowest priced technically responsive irrigation equipment supplier for the farmer with a farmer as a witness before commencement of installation score 2 or else 0	There was display of successful and unsuccessful bidders dated 20/03/2023.	2
	Maximum score 18			

Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines

Maximum score 18

f) Evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment installed is in line with the design output sheet (generated by IrriTrack App): Score 2 or else 0

There was evidence that the micro-scale irrigation equipment installed is in line with the design output sheet. The design is in line with the design output sheet generated from IrriTrack online and also per Technical Guidelines provided by MAAIF. The guideline requires the following components to be available when ever needed in the design:

- i. Pumping system. This can be:
 - a. Solar pump system(panels, frame, controller, electric cables),
 - b. Electric pump
 - c. petrol/diesel pump
- ii. Suction and delivery pipe system
- iii. Water tank
- iv. Water application system. This can be
 - a. Flexible hosepipe with spray nozzle
 - b. Sprinklers
 - c. Dripper/drip lines

The following equipment may also be necessary for O&M:

- i. Soil moisture monitor
- ii. Soil nutrient monitor
- iii. User/maintenance manual
- iv. Spare parts and maintenance equipment and tools

Two sites where visited from on 07/11/2023;

- i. Wakasimba, Kakooge SC
- ii. Zengebe, Lwapanga TC

On inspection , the two sites were found to have been installed as per design

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>g) Evidence that the LG have conducted regular technical supervision of micro-scale irrigation projects by the relevant technical officers (District Senior Agricultural Engineer or Contracted staff): Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG have conducted regular technical supervision of micro-scale irrigation projects by the relevant technical officers. From Senior Agricultural engineer's office there was a supervision report</p> <p>i. 'Technical Report on Demonstration Sites for the Micro Scale irrigation Program Project status at 13th June 2023' dated 13/06/2023. The report indicated 92% (Wakasimba) and 95% (Zengebe) completion of the sites. There were also some technical recommendations such as; replacement of sprinklers, re-enforcement of tank stand, connection of water meter.</p> <p>There were site books to verify these supervisions. For farmer Kibi Godfey Good (Wakasimbi), the supervisions were carried on 26/08/2023, 03/10/2023, 20/10/2023 and 30/10/2023 by SAE and DPO. For farmer Nakabira Immaculate (Zengebe) the supervisions were carried on 22/05/2023, 03/10/2023 and 03/11/2023 by SAE and DPO</p>	2
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>h) Evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during:</p> <p>i. Testing the functionality of the installed equipment: Score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during testing the functionality of the installed equipment. There was information from the sites books on supervision by SAE and DPO of sites during testing the functionality.'</p> <p>The supervisions were carried on 26/20/10/2023 and 30/10/2023 by SAE and DPO</p>	1
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>ii. Hand-over of the equipment to the Approved Farmer (delivery note by the supplies and goods received note by the approved farmer): Score 1 or 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG has overseen the irrigation equipment supplier during hand-over of the equipment to the Approved Farmer.</p> <p>The two sites where visited from on 07/11/2023; Wakasimba, Kakooge SC and Zengebe, Lwapanga TC.</p> <p>There was information from the sites books on supervision by SAE and DPO of sites during hand over.</p> <p>The supervisions were carried on 30/10/2023 and 03/11/2023 by SAE and DPO</p>	1

13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>i) Evidence that the Local Government has made payment of the supplier within specified timeframes subject to the presence of the Approved farmer's signed acceptance form: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG made payment of the suppliers within specified timeframes as follows:</p> <p>Name of project: Installation of micro scale irrigation site at Kabasobwa in Rwampanga Sub county and Wakisimba Kakonge Sub county</p> <p>Project NO: NAKS903/WRKS/22-23/00023</p> <p>Contract Sum: 46,705,479</p> <p>Requisition was on 12/06/2023</p> <p>DPMO signed on</p> <p>CAO signed on 19/06/2023</p> <p>Payment was on 26/06/2023; and</p> <p>Name of project: Supply of field equipment and protective wares for micro procurement</p> <p>Project NO: NAKS903/Spls/22-23/00006</p> <p>Contract Sum: 9,350,000</p> <p>Requisition was on 27/02/2023</p> <p>DPMO signed on</p> <p>CAO signed on</p> <p>Payment was on 12/05/2023.</p>	2
13	<p>Procurement, contract management/execution: The LG procured and managed micro-scale irrigation contracts as per guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score 18</p>	<p>j) Evidence that the LG has a complete procurement file for each contract and with all records required by the PPDA Law: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG had a complete procurement file micro-scale irrigation projects as follows:</p> <p>File Name: Installation of microscale irrigation demonstration sites at Kabasombwa in Lwampanga T/C and Wakasimba in Kakooge S/C</p> <p>Contract No: Naks903/WRKS/22-23/0023</p> <p>Contract signed: 12/04/2023</p> <p>Evaluation report: 06/03/2023</p> <p>Contracts Committee Meeting date: 20/03/2023</p> <p>Min No: MIN 82/CC/3/23 (iv)</p>	2

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>a) Evidence that the Local Government has displayed details of the nature and avenues to address grievance prominently in multiple public areas: Score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that the Local Government has displayed details of the nature and avenues to address grievance prominently. The Production department notice board had not displayed Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM). However, the Production department had Grievance record log book. Examples of grievance records:</p>	0
			<p>i. Lwebuga K. Daniels (0772-487074), District LG. His grievance was the host farmer rejected the project after procurement was done towards the end of FY. The date of grievance submission was 21/04/2023. The action taken: the host got another better deal from MWE. A new host farmer was contracted (Nakabira Immaculate -Zengebe Parish)</p>	
			<p>ii. Lwebuga K. Daniels (0772-487074), District LG. His grievance was that the host farmer relocated demonstration site. The date of grievance submission was 25/04/2023. The action taken was agreement on the new site</p>	
14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>i). Recorded score 1 or else 0</p> <p>ii). Investigated score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iii). Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv). Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence from the log of grievances that the LG had recorded all irrigation related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework.</p>	0
14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>ii. Investigated score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iii. Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence from the log of grievances that the LG had investigated all microscale irrigation related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework.</p>	0

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>iii. Responded to score 1 or else 0</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence from the log of grievances that the LG had responded to all micro-scale irrigation related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework.</p>	0
----	---	--	---	----------

14	<p>Grievance redress: The LG has established a mechanism of addressing micro-scale irrigation grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>b) Micro-scale irrigation grievances have been:</p> <p>iv. Reported on in line with LG grievance redress framework score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence from the log of grievances that the LG had reported all microscale irrigation related grievances in line with the LG grievance redress framework.</p>	0
----	---	---	--	----------

Environment and Social Requirements

15	<p>Safeguards in the delivery of investments</p> <p>Maximum score 6</p>	<p>a) Evidence that LGs have disseminated Micro- irrigation guidelines to provide for proper siting, land access (without encumbrance), proper use of agrochemicals and safe disposal of chemical waste containers etc.</p> <p>score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was some evidence that LG had disseminated Micro- scale irrigation guidelines and prepared MoU with farmers. Examples of MoUs:</p> <p>i. MoU between Nakasongola LG and Nakabira Immaculate dated 22/05/2023</p> <p>ii. MoU between Nakasongola LG and Kibi Godfeey Good dated 12/04/202</p> <p>However, these MoU did not include issues related to proper the use of agro-chemicals and safe chemical waste container disposal</p>	0
----	---	--	---	----------

15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	<p>b) Evidence that Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening have been carried out and where required, ESMPs developed, prior to installation of irrigation equipment.</p> <p>i. Costed ESMP were incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG had the Costed ESMP for Micro-scale projects incorporated into designs, BoQs, bidding and contractual documents (where required) as exemplified by the costed E & S Monitoring and management Plans for the following projects, endorsed by the SEO the DCDO.</p> <p>1.Construction of small scale irrigation scheme in Wakasimba village, Kyabutaika parish, Kakooge sub-county, dated 29/12/2022. Estimated cost of mitigation measures, dated 4/7/2023 was UGX 6,000,000/=,</p> <p>2.Construction of a small scale irrigation scheme in Zengebe Ward, Lwampanga TC, dated 29/2/2022. Estimated cost of mitigation measures was UGX 6,000,000/=.</p>	1
15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	<p>ii. Monitoring of irrigation impacts e.g. adequacy of water source (quality & quantity), efficiency of system in terms of water conservation, use of agro-chemicals & management of resultant chemical waste containers score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out monitoring of irrigation impacts as no monitoring for efficiency of irrigation system reports reports were available at the time of assessment.</p>	0
15	Safeguards in the delivery of investments Maximum score 6	<p>iii. E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by Environmental Officer prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that E & S certification forms were completed and signed by the SEO prior to payment of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the signed E and S certification reports for the following projects.</p> <p>1.Construction of small scale irrigation scheme in Wakasimba village, Kyabutaika parish, Kakoonge sub-county, dated 21/6/2023, endorsed by SEO; and</p> <p>2.Construction of a small scale irrigation scheme in Zengebe Ward, Lwampanga TC, dated 20/6/2023, endorsed by SEO.</p>	1

Safeguards in the delivery of investments

Maximum score 6

iv. E&S Certification forms are completed and signed by CDO prior to payments of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects
score 1 or else 0

There was evidence that E & S certification forms were completed and signed by the DCDO prior to payment of contractor invoices/certificates at interim and final stages of projects as exemplified by the signed E and S certification reports for the following projects.

1. Construction of small scale irrigation scheme in Wakasimba village, Kyabutaika parish, Kakooge sub-county, dated 21/6/2023, endorsed by CDO; and

2. Construction of a small scale irrigation scheme in Zengebe Ward, Lwampanga TC, dated 20/6/2023, endorsed by CDO.

**Crosscutting Minimum
Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	a. Chief Finance Officer/Principal Finance Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive CFO, Mrs Kiregga Nalunkuma Edith Marion, Appointment date 3rd July 2006, DSC min no 15/2006. Appraised by Uma Charles on 07.07.2023	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	b. District Planner/Senior Planner, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Planner, Ms Namayega Rose, Appointment date 10th may 2021, DSC min no 22/2021(22.1)	3
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	c. District Engineer/Principal Engineer, score 3 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive District Engineer.	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	d. District Natural Resources Officer/Senior Environment Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive District Natural Resources Officer	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	e. District Production Officer/Senior Veterinary Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive District Production Officer	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	f. District Community Development Officer/Principal CDO, score 3 or else 0	The LG had a substantive District Community Development Officer, Buyinza Simon Semaka , appointment date 13/07/2011, DSC min no 07/2000 29.06.2023	3

1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	g. District Commercial Officer/Principal Commercial Officer, score 3 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive District Commercial Officer.	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	i. A Senior Procurement Officer /Municipal: Procurement Officer, 2 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive Senior Procurement Officer, Niwagasha Sam, appointment date 10.05.2021, DSC min no 39/2021 (39.1) Appraised on 30.06.2023	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	ii. Procurement Officer /Municipal Assistant Procurement Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive Procurement Officer	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	i. Principal Human Resource Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Principal Human Resource Officer, Nabatanzi Atia Appointment date 10.05.2012, DSC min no 38/2021(38.1) Appraised by UMA Charles on 17/7/2023	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	j. A Senior Environment Officer, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Senior Environment Officer, Andama Charles Ajuni appointment dated 17.07.2018. DSC min no 90.1 of 2018 Appraised by Uma Charles on 17.07.2023	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	k. Senior Land Management Officer /Physical Planner, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Senior Land Management Officer, Mr. Segujja Mustafa, Appointment date 9.072023. DSC min no 45/2013. Appraised by DCAO Mr. Okwir Samuel on 16.08.2023	2

1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	l. A Senior Accountant, score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Senior Accountant, Mr. Galimaka Hosea appointment dated 10.05.2021. DSC min no 31/2021(31.1) Appraised by Kiregga Edith on 10.08.2023	2
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	m. Principal Internal Auditor /Senior Internal Auditor, score 2 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive Principal Internal Auditor	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Council departments. Maximum score is 37.	n. Principal Human Resource Officer (Secretary DSC), score 2 or else 0	The LG had a substantive Principal Human Resource Officer (Secretary DSC), Drici Charles Appointment date 31.03.2011. DSC min 05/2011. Appraised by Uma Charles on 3.7.2023	2
2	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG Maximum score is 15	a. Senior Assistant Secretary (Sub-Counties) /Town Clerk (Town Councils) / Senior Assistant Town Clerk (Municipal Divisions) in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0 (Consider the customized structure).	The LG did not have substantive a Senior Assistant Secretary (Sub-Counties) /Town Clerk (Town Councils) in all the LLGs 8 sub counties and 7 TCs Nankya Suzan (Nakitoma SC) min no 11/2019 (11.2) Begumye Robert (Nabisiwela SC) min no 23/2010 Toshobya Stephen (AG Kakooge TC) 10/2019(10.2) Kirumira James (AG kazwanga TC) Sebukera Geoffrey (ag Migeere TC) Bukunya Mwesigwa David (Lwabyata) Min no 18.2005 Obulu Lawrence (Wabinyonyi SC) Min no 16/2012 Sewanonda Hassan (AG Nkasongola TC) min no Ndikuno Arnold (Lwanpamga SC) AG Namecwa Rebecca (Kakoge SC) min no 11/2019 (11.1)	0

New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG

Maximum score is 15

b. A Community Development Officer / Senior CDO in case of Town Councils, in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0.

The LG did not have A Community Development Officer / Senior CDO in case of Town Councils, in all LLGS

8 sub counties and 7 TCs

Nsekanabo Sarah (Nakasongola TC) Min no 111/2018(111.1)

Migadde Micheal (wabinyonyi SC) Min no 41/2020(41.1)

Nsamba Simon (Migeera TC) min no 13/2021(13.1)

Buyinza Julius (Lwabyata SC) MIN NO 41/2020(41.2)

Semaganda Mathiaus (Nakitoma SC) min 15/2013

Sentale Fred (Nabiswela SC) MIN 10/2009

Kyagaba Rogers (Kalungi SC)

Namuyomba AgnesRose (Lwampanga SC) min no 17/2012

Amanya Charles (Kalongo SC) min no 74/2013)

Kakande Disan (Kakoge TC) min no 111/2018(111.2)

2	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all essential positions in every LLG</p> <p>Maximum score is 15</p>	<p>c. A Senior Accounts Assistant /an Accounts Assistant in all LLGS, score 5 or else 0.</p>	<p>The LG did not have a Senior Accounts Assistant /an Accounts Assistant in all LLGS</p> <p>8 sub counties and 7TCs</p> <p>Senyonjo Johnson (Nakasongola TC) Dsc min no 73/2017 (73.9)</p> <p>Nalugya Joyce (Wabinyonyi SC) DSC min no 33/2021(33.1)</p> <p>Judith O. Sizomu (Nakitoma SC)73/2017 (73.7)</p> <p>Kamoga Daniel (Kakooge TC) DSC min no 73/2017 973.4)</p> <p>Kababba Ester (Migera TC) 35/2021 (35.1)</p> <p>Kironde Vicent (Kalongo SC) 72/2017 (72.4)</p> <p>Kimeeze Samuel (Lwabyata SC) 73/2017 (73.8)</p> <p>Candia Simon (Lwampanga SC) 73/2017 (73.3)</p> <p>Butebona Joyce (Kakooge SC) 20/2019 (20.4)</p> <p>Adongo Constance (Kalungi SC) 73/2017 (73.1)</p>	0
---	---	--	---	---

Environment and Social Requirements

3	<p>Evidence that the LG has released all funds allocated for the implementation of environmental and social safeguards in the previous FY.</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>If the LG has released 100% of funds allocated in the previous FY to:</p> <p>a. Natural Resources department,</p> <p>score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>As per the LG Final Accounts 2022/2023, release budget was UGX470,673,821 and the LG released UGX 470,671,732 page 18 of the Final Account</p> <p>This represented 100%</p>	2
3	<p>Evidence that the LG has released all funds allocated for the implementation of environmental and social safeguards in the previous FY.</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>If the LG has released 100% of funds allocated in the previous FY to:</p> <p>b. Community Based Services department.</p> <p>score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>As per the LG Final account 2022/2023 Release budget was UGX402,265,617 and the LG released UGX 330,202,643 page 18 of the Final account.</p> <p>This represented 82%, therefore non compliant</p>	0

4

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.

Maximum score is 12

a. If the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening, score 4 or else 0

There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG) as exemplified by Environmental Impact Screening forms for the following projects.

1. Construction of a drying yard in Nakayonza, Lwabiyata sub-county, dated 8/7/2022, signed by the SEO,

2. Phase II fencing of production premises and and supply and installation of gates to ice making plant and production in Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, signed by the Senior Environmental Officer,

3. Completion of the reception centre for children in conflict with the law in Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, signed by the Senior Environmental Officer

4

4

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.

Maximum score is 12

b. If the LG has carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG),

score 4 or 0

There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all civil works for all projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications identified during **screening stage did not require ESIA.**

4

4	<p>Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and developed costed Environment and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, prior to commencement of all civil works.</p> <p>Maximum score is 12</p>	<p>c. If the LG has a Costed ESMPs for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG);;</p> <p>score 4 or 0</p>	4
			<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG had Costed ESMPs for all projects implemented using the Discretionary Development Equalization Grant (DDEG) prior to commencement of all civil works as exemplified by the Environmental, Social and Climate Change Management Plans for the following construction projects prepared by the DEO and addressed to the CAO.</p> <p>1.Phase II fencing of production premises and and supply and installation of gates to ice making plant and production in Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, signed by the Senior Environmental Officer; and</p> <p>2. Completion of the reception centre for children in conflict with the law in Nakasongola Town Council, dated 4/7/2022, signed by the Senior Environmental Officer.</p>

Financial management and reporting

5	<p>Evidence that the LG does not have an adverse or disclaimer audit opinion for the previous FY.</p> <p>Maximum score is 10</p>	<p>If a LG has a clean audit opinion, score 10;</p> <p>If a LG has a qualified audit opinion, score 5</p> <p>If a LG has an adverse or disclaimer audit opinion for the previous FY, score 0</p>	10
			<p>Nakasongola had clean / unqualified audit report 2022/2023</p>

6	<p>Evidence that the LG has provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General and Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by end of February (PFMA s. 11 2g). This statement includes issues, recommendations, and actions against all findings where the Internal Auditor and Auditor General recommended the Accounting Officer to act (PFM Act 2015).</p>	<p>If the LG has provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General and Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by end of February (PFMA s. 11 2g),</p>	<p>Nakasongola provided information to the PS on the status of the Internal Auditor General and Auditor Generals findings for the previous FY but one on 05/12/2022.</p>	10
	<p>maximum score is 10</p>	<p>score 10 or else 0.</p>	<p>Some of the issues included:</p>	
			<p>Un accounted for funds UGX 82,898,252</p>	
			<p>Education department failed to avail its books of accounts;</p>	
			<p>Un functionality of the District Service Commission; and</p>	
			<p>Lack of detailed implementation of priorities in the 5-year development plan</p>	
			<p>No data based on to get reserve prices for tendered facilities.</p>	
7	<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted an annual performance contract by August 31st of the current FY</p>	<p>If the LG has submitted an annual performance contract by August 31st of the current FY,</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG submitted annual performance contract on 31/07/2023</p>	4
	<p>Maximum Score 4</p>	<p>score 4 or else 0.</p>		
8	<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted the Annual Performance Report for the previous FY on or before August 31, of the current Financial Year</p>	<p>If the LG has submitted the Annual Performance Report for the previous FY on or before August 31, of the current Financial Year,</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG submitted annual performance report on 30/07/2023</p>	4
	<p>maximum score 4 or else 0</p>	<p>score 4 or else 0.</p>		
9	<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted Quarterly Budget Performance Reports (QBPRs) for all the four quarters of the previous FY by August 31, of the current Financial Year</p>	<p>If the LG has submitted Quarterly Budget Performance Reports (QBPRs) for all the four quarters of the previous FY by August 31, of the current Financial Year,</p>	<p>There was evidence that the LG submitted quarterly budget performance reports as follows:</p>	4
	<p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>score 4 or else 0.</p>	<p>Quarter 1 submitted on 29/12/2022;</p>	
			<p>Quarter 2 submitted on 13/02/2023;</p>	
			<p>Quarter 3 submitted on 16/05/2023; and</p>	
			<p>Quarter 4 submitted on 30/07/2023</p>	

**Education Minimum
Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Education Office.	a) District Education Officer (district)/ Principal Education Officer (municipal council), score 30 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive District Education Officer	0
<i>The Maximum Score of 70</i>				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District/Municipal Education Office.	b) All District/Municipal Inspector of Schools, score 40 or else 0.	The LG had only one of the two Inspectors schools, Mr. Mbangire Samuel appointment dated 21/06/2004 Appraised by Uma Charles on 30.06.2023	0
<i>The Maximum Score of 70</i>				
Environment and Social Requirements				
2	Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Education sector projects the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)	If the LG carried out: a. Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 15 or else 0.	There was evidence that Nakasongola District LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all education projects as exemplified by Environmental Impact Screening Reports for the following projects. 1. Renovation of a 4-classroom block at Wabinyonyi SDA P/S in Wabinyonyi sub-county, dated 4/7/2022, Signed by the SEO; 2. The construction of a 5-stance lined pit latrine at Kirumiko P/S in Nabiswera sub-county, dated 6/7/2022, signed by the SEO; and 3. The construction of a 5-stance lined pit latrine at Bulwandi P/S in Kalongo sub-county, dated 6/7/2022, signed by the SEO.	15
<i>The Maximum score is 30</i>				

Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Education sector projects the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)

If the LG carried out:
b. Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) ,
score 15 or else 0.

There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all education sector projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2022/2023) as the potential environmental and social implications identified during screening stage did not require ESIA.

The Maximum score is 30

**Health Minimum
Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	a. If the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for: District Health Officer, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive District Health Officer Dr. Byamukama Agaba Appointment date may 5 2016. DSC min no 20/2016 Appraised by CAO on 10.07.2023	10
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	b. Assistant District Health Officer Maternal, Child Health and Nursing, score 10 or else 0	The LG did not have a substantive Assistant District Health Officer Maternal, Child Health and Nursing officer.	0
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	c. Assistant District Health Officer Environmental Health, score 10 or else 0.	The LG did not have a substantive Assistant District Health Officer Environmental Health officer.	0
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	d. Principal Health Inspector (Senior Environment Officer), score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive Principal Health Inspector, Mr. Ziwa Moses, appointment date march 11.2014. DSSC MIN no 26/2014 (26.1) Appraised by Dr. Byabakama Agaba on 7.8.2023	10
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	e. Senior Health Educator, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive Senior Health Educator Nakityo Justine appointment date 1.3/2011 DSC min no 25/2010 Appraised by DR. Agaba Byamukama on 7.6.2023	10

1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	f. Biostatistician, score 10 or 0.	The LG did not have a Biostatistician	0
1	New_Evidence that the District has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to Districts only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	g. District Cold Chain Technician, score 10 or else 0.	The LG did not have a District Cold Chain Technician	0
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	h. Medical Officer of Health Services /Principal Medical Officer, score 30 or else 0.		
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	i. Principal Health Inspector, score 20 or else 0.		
1	New_Evidence that the Municipality has substantively recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Applicable to MCs only.</i> <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	j. Health Educator, score 20 or else 0		

Environment and Social Requirements

2	<p>Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Health sector projects, the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)</p> <p>Maximum score is 30</p>	<p>If the LG carried out:</p> <p>a. Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 15 or else 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all health projects as exemplified by the filled Environmental and Social Screening forms for the following projects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Phase II construction of emergency unit at Nakasongola HC IV, dated 4/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO; 2. The construction of a 2-stance lined pit latrine at Kiralamba HC III, Katuugo Town Council, dated 6/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO, and 3. Phase II Fencing of Nabiswera HC IV, Nakasongola sub-county, dated 8/7/2022, signed by the SEO. 	15
2	<p>Evidence that prior to commencement of all civil works for all Health sector projects, the LG has carried out: Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)</p> <p>Maximum score is 30</p>	<p>b. Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) , score 15 or else 0.</p>	<p>There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environment and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all health sector projects implemented using the DDEG for the previous FY(2020/2021) as the potential environmental and social implications identified during screening stage did not require ESIA.</p>	15

**Micro-scale Irrigation
Minimum Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions in the District Production Office responsible for Micro-Scale Irrigation</p> <p><i>Maximum score is 70</i></p>	<p>If the LG has recruited;</p> <p>a. the Senior Agriculture Engineer</p> <p><i>score 70 or else 0.</i></p>	<p>The LG had a substantive Senior Agriculture Engineer, Mr. Lwebuga K. Daniel appointment date 13.07.2022. DSC min no</p> <p>Appraised by Kaweesi Henry on 30.06.23</p>	70
Environment and Social Requirements				
2	<p>New_Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening have been carried out for potential investments and where required costed ESMPs developed.</p> <p><i>Maximum score is 30</i></p>	<p>If the LG:</p> <p>Carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening</p> <p><i>score 30 or else 0.</i></p>	<p>There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all micro-scale irrigation projects as exemplified by Environmental Impact Screening Reports for the following projects.</p> <p>1.Construction of small scale irrigation scheme in Zengebe, Lwampanga sub-county, Lwampanga Town Council, dated 30/12/2022, endorsed by the SEO; and</p> <p>2.Construction of a small scale irrigation scheme in Wakasimba village, Kyabutaika parish, Kakoonge sub-county, dated 29/12/2022, endorsed by the SEO.</p>	30

**Water & Environment
Minimum Conditions**

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
Human Resource Management and Development				
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	a. 1 Civil Engineer (Water), score 15 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive,DWO Mulondo Hussei was appointed on 12/12/2018; Ref.161/1 under Minute DSC/Min120/2018.	15
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	b. 1 Assistant Water Officer for mobilization, score 10 or else 0.	The LG did not have a substantive Assistant Water Officer for mobilization officer	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	c. 1 Borehole Maintenance Technician/Assistant Engineering Officer, score 10 or else 0.	The LG did not have a substantive Borehole Maintenance Technician/Assistant Engineering Officer	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	d. 1 Natural Resources Officer, score 15 or else 0.	The LG did not have a substantive Natural Resources Officer.	0
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	e. 1 Environment Officer, score 10 or else 0.	The LG had a substantive Environment Officer Andama Charles Ajuni appointment dated 17.07.2018. DSC min no 90.1 of 2018 Appraised by Uma Charles on 17.07.2023	10
1	New_Evidence that the LG has recruited or the seconded staff is in place for all critical positions. <i>Maximum score is 70</i>	f. Forestry Officer, score 10 or else 0.	The LG did not have a Forestry Officer	0

Environment and Social Requirements

2

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

If the LG:

a. Carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment, score 10 or else 0.

There was evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening prior to commencement of all water and environment projects as exemplified by Environmental Impact Screening Reports for the following projects.

1. Borehole drilling in Kyeyindula, Kakooge sub-county, dated 6/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO;
2. Drilling of a borehole at Njeru central, Nakitoma sub-county, dated 4/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO, and
3. Drilling of a borehole at Buseebwe village, Kakooge sub-county, dated 6/7/2022, endorsed by the SEO.

2

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

b. Carried out Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) , score 10 or else 0.

There was no evidence that Nakasongola district LG carried out Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) prior to commencement of all water and environment sector infrastructure projects for the previous FY (2022/2023) as the ESIAs Reports for water and environment sector projects for the previous financial year were not available.

0

2

Evidence that the LG has carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change screening/Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIAs) (including child protection plans) where applicable, and abstraction permits have been issued to contractors by the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) prior to commencement of all civil works on all water sector projects

c. Ensured that the LG got abstraction permits for all piped water systems issued by DWRM, score 10 or else 0.

There are entities abstracting surface and / or ground water but have not applied for and do not hold water abstraction permits. These are Nakasongola TC, Luwero Industries; Kazwama, Kakooge, Migyeera, Kikooba, Nakataka, Kikooba, Kalungi, Nakataka and Kateebe Town Councils for their respective Town Water Supply systems.

Hence, score =0.

0